

Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology

Volume 40, Issue 12, Page 164-171, 2022; Article no.AJAEES.94999 ISSN: 2320-7027

Market Integration and Price Transmission Analysis of Onion in Wholesale Markets of India

Mohit Kumar ^{a++*}, A. S. Shaikh ^{b#} and Rohit Kumar Sharma ^{c++}

^a Department of Agricultural Economics, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388110, Gujarat, India. ^b Department of Agricultural Economics, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388110, Gujarat, India.

^c International Agribusiness Management Institute, Anand Agricultural University, Anand-388110, Gujarat, India. Gujarat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2022/v40i121778

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94999

Original Research Article

Received: 15/10/2022 Accepted: 16/12/2022 Published: 20/12/2022

ABSTRACT

The present study was done in seven major onion wholesale markets in India, namely Pimpalgaon, Lasalgaon, Solapur, Pune, Bangalore, Hyderabad and Indore to explore the interdependence of wholesale prices amongst Indian onion markets. The study was conducted in all India perspective and the study period involves the seventeen years data of onion wholesale prices (January 2004 to December 2020). The study was majorly based on the prices of onion obtained through secondary source. These data were collected from various portals such as FAO, NHRDF, Agmarknet and

Asian J. Agric. Ext. Econ. Soc., vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 164-171, 2022

⁺⁺ Ph.D. Scholar;

[#]Assistant Professor and (I/C) Head of Department;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: dhmohitk@gmail.com;

NHB. The current study employs co-integration analysis of wholesale monthly onion prices in selected marketplaces to determine the degree of market integration. The Trace and Maximum Eigen-value tests results showed that the onion prices in India moves together in the long run equilibrium. As a result, it may be stated that India's onion markets are well-functioning. The direction of information flow was determined by using Granger Causality test. It was found that in few markets pairs, price transmissions were bi-directional whereas between Bangalore and Pune market, no transmission was found. The study reveals that Lasalgaon market is dominating in terms of price determination. The empirical study also recommends keeping a careful eye on diverse market behavioural patterns, since "news" in one market might have an influence on other markets due to the numerous interdependencies.

Keywords: Granger causality; price transmissions; stationary test; bi-directional.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Onion is considered as the most highly volatile crop among the vegetables, showing increasing fluctuation of unexpected price spikes & falls. In India, the marketing of onions is characterized by low market intelligence as well as uncertainty about future prices, which has been a major issue for producers & consumers. In recent vears, such extreme price movements have attracted the attention of policy makers. Prices in horticultural crops play an important role in the resources efficiently distributing and signalling shortages and surpluses, which help the farmers to respond to dynamic market conditions" [1]. "Onion production in India is concentrated primarily in Maharashtra, which accounts for around 30% of total onion output" [2]. "As a result, supply shocks in major onionproducing regions triggered by either excessive rainfall or drought are immediately transmitted to the country's other markets" [3,4]. Onion prices are more volatile than non-farm commodities due to inherently unstable production. India is the second largest producer of onion after China & it accounts 40.74% of the total annual production of the onion among top five onion producing countries of the world as mentioned in Table 1.

Table 1. Top five onion producing countriesof the world

Country	Production (Tonnes)	Share %
China	24775344	45.73
India	22071000	40.74
USA	3284420	06.06
Iran	2406718	04.44
Russia	1642106	03.03
Total	54,179,588	100.00
	Source: [5]	

In India, Maharashtra is the leading producer of onion in terms of area and production which accounts 29.55% share of the total onion production followed by M.P (16.97%), Karnataka (11.63%), Rajasthan (6.57%) and Gujarat (5.90%) of India in the year 2018-19 as showed in the Table 2.

Table 2. Percentage share	of area & production	under major onion	producing states (20	18-19)
---------------------------	----------------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------

Area-000 Hectare Production-000 Tonnes					
State	Area	% of All India	Production	% of All India	
Maharashtra	501.76	38.15	6522.84	29.55	
Madhya Pradesh	151.35	11.51	3745.47	16.97	
Karnataka	191.34	14.59	2566.43	11.63	
Rajasthan	64.15	4.88	1450.00	6.57	
Gujarat	52.13	3.96	1303.07	5.90	
Bihar	54.60	4.15	1261.45	5.72	
Andhra Pradesh	43.87	3.34	1078.22	4.89	
Haryana	29.75	2.26	690.99	3.13	
West Bengal	35.20	2.68	633.60	2.87	
Uttar Pradesh	26.85	2.04	439.64	1.99	
Others	163.75	12.45	2379.43	10.87	
All India	1315.24	100.00	22071.24	100.00	

Source: Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare

Because of the spillover impact to other onion markets, the rapid increase in onion market price affects both producers and consumers, resulting in significant inflation in the economy. One of the most essential responsibilities for market players is to understand the price transmission mechanism, which allows prices to move instantly from one market to another which helps in price control. The marketing of onions in the country has always been a source of concern for both farmers and consumers due to a lack of market intelligence and uncertainty about future pricing. In this background, an attempt has been made to examine market integration among major Indian onion markets. In literature, Granger [6], Engle and Granger [7], Johansen [8,9,10], and others set the basis for co-integration analysis in econometric modelling. Accordingly, Paul et al. [11] studied "the effectiveness of integration in price forecasting for onion in selected markets of Delhi". Wani et al. [12-14] described "market integration as a measure of the extent to which demand and supply in one location are transmitted to another".

The present study uses Augumented Dicky fuller test, Johnasen cointegration and Granger casuality test for estimating the market integration and studying the direction of causality in the long run in the selected markets.

2. METHODOLOGY

Time series data of monthly duration for the prices of onion were collected from the portal of NHRDF from the year January 2004 to December 2020 for the study under consideration. Seven national market from various states of India were selected on the basis of highest tri-anium ending average of onion arrivals in the market for the last three year from 2017 to 2020. Pimpalgaon, Lasalgaon, Solapur, Pune markets from Maharashtra, Bangalore market from Karnataka, Hyderabad market from Telangana & Indore market from Madhya Pradesh were selected as national market respectively for the study. The data collected for the study was analysed using the R, E-views 11 & SAS software, respectively.

2.1 Techniques and Tools Used

2.1.1 Unit root test

Stationarity in the data series explains the order of differences & it is utmost important for the markets to be in the same order in order to perform co-integration between market pairs. It is very important to test whether or not the time series is stationary because if a time series is not stationary, its behaviour can only be studied for the time period under consideration, it cannot be generalized to other periods & thus one cannot predict such a time series data. So in order to test the data is stationary or having unit root, the famous test known as Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) [15] test is used.

The presence of unit root (non-stationary) in the underlying series is tested by performing Augmented Dickey-Fuller test using the following regression:

$$\Delta Y_{t} = \alpha + \beta_{i}T + \delta_{i}Y_{it-1} + b_{i}\sum_{i=1}^{p} \Delta Y_{it-1} + e_{t} (1)$$

Where, Y_{it} = Price of a commodity in a given market 'i' at a time 't'; $\Delta Y_{t-i} = (Y_{t-1} - Y_{t-2})$ (t-i – lagged prices & Δ is Differenced series); e_t is pure white noise error-term, α is the drift parameter, T is the time trend effect, β_i , δ_i & b_i is coefficients. The null hypothesis that $\rho = 0$; signifying presence of unit root, *i.e.*, the time series is non-stationary and the alternative hypothesis is $\rho < 0$ signifying the time series is stationary, therefore, rejecting the null hypothesis.

2.1.2 Johansen co-integration test

The ADF test was supplemented by Johansen-Juselius Maximum Likelihood Method. The maximum likelihood (ML) approach of cointegration is used to examine the long-run relationship between wholesale prices of onion in selected markets of India [16].

The Johansen technique examines a Vector Auto Regression (VAR) model of Y_t , an (n x I) vector of variables that are integrated of the order one - I time series. This VAR can be expressed as equation:

$$Y_{t} = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \Gamma_{i} Y_{t-1} + \Pi Y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}$$
 (2)

Where, $\Gamma \& \Pi$ are matrices of parameters, p is the number of lags (selected on the basis of Akaike information criterion), ε_t is an (n x l) vector of innovations. Both Γ and Π are the n*n matrixes of the coefficient conveying the short and long run information respectively and ε_t is the n-dimensional vector of the residuals that is identical and independent distributed. To measure the number of cointegrating vectors, Johansen and Juselius [16] developed two likelihood ratio test statistics (Trace and Max Eigen test statistics) represented as equations:

$$J_{\text{trace}} = -T \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} \ln \left(1 - \hat{\lambda}_{i}\right)$$
(3)

$$J_{\max} = -T \ln(1 - \hat{\lambda}_i + 1) \tag{4}$$

Where, T is the sample size $\& \lambda_i$ is the ith largest canonical correlation, r is the number cointegrated vector. r cointegrating vector(s) against the alternative hypothesis of n cointegrating relations. The Max Eigen statistic tested the null hypothesis (r =0) against the alternative (r + 1) [17].

2.1.3 Granger causality test

Granger causality is a statistical concept of causality that is based on prediction. According to Granger causality, if a signal X "Granger causes" (or "G-causes") a signal Y, then present & past values of X may contain information that helps predict future Y [6]. At the same time, it is important to note that Granger causality measures precedence & information content but does not by itself indicate causality. The causality test was attempted by the equation given below:

$$\Delta Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Y_{i(t-1)} + \beta_2 Y_{j(t-1)} + \sum_{k=1}^m \delta_k \Delta y_{i(t-k)} \sum_{h=1}^n \alpha_h \Delta y_{j(t-h)} + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(5)

Where, Y_{it} = market 'i' at time 't', Y_{jt} = market 'J' at time 't' and m & n = number of lags determined by AIC.

The null hypothesis is that X does not Granger cause Y. Rejection of the null hypothesis that $\alpha h = 0$ where h = 1, 2, 3, ..., nindicates that prices in market " j" Granger-cause prices in market 'I'. If prices in market 'I' also Granger-cause prices in market 'j', then prices are determined by a simultaneous feed-back mechanism (SFM). This is the phenomenon of bi-directional causality. lf the Granger causality runs one way, it is called unidirectional Granger-causality & the market which Granger causes the other is tagged the exogenous market [17].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The descriptive statistics of the selected markets prices are reported in Table 3. High instability/ volatility of prices has been remained in case of Pimpalgaon market (87.17%) followed by Lasalgaon (83.72%) and Bangalore (82.55%) market while comparatively stable volatility found in case of Hyderabad (75.13%) market. The probable reason behind such instability is that the Nasik belt of Maharashtra is known for the highest onion producing belt in India, any factor whether it is seasonal change or loss of crop may result in such volatility and affects the other onion wholesale markets. The skewness value for all the markets show presence of asymmetric behaviour in them and also the coefficient of kurtosis is very high in Bangalore market followed by Pimpalgaon and Pune market which reflect the leptokurtic distribution and high degree of extreme values. Change in the pattern of arrivals in the market affects the price behavioural pattern as well as lack of proper supply of information to other wholesale markets may result in such changes.

Markets	Pimpal.	Lasal.	Solapur	Pune	Bangalore	Hydera.	Indore
Mean	1070.44	1085.91	750.86	1063.50	1203.69	1126.49	902.22
Max	5934.00	5105.00	3520.00	5008.00	9200.00	5159.05	3950.00
Min	163.00	151.00	122.00	223.00	320.00	238.00	158.00
Variance	870597	826540	370485	733302	987389	716260	540357
St. D.	933.06	909.14	608.67	856.33	993.67	846.32	735.09
C.V %	87.17	83.72	81.06	80.52	82.55	75.13	81.48
Skewness	2.378	2.052	2.020	2.171	3.780	2.129	1.892
Kurtosis	6.484	4.377	4.352	5.243	22.728	5.193	3.536

 Table 3. Descriptive statistics of onion prices in selected national markets

Note: Pimpal.-Pimpalgaon, Lasal.-Lasalgaon, Hydera.-Hyderabad, St. Deviation- Standard Deviation

3.1 Unit Root Test

Typically, the Johansen's procedure necessitated that the time series should be integrated at order one, *i.e.*, I (1). The time series data of onion prices in the selected markets were tested using the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test to see whether they are stationary at their current levels, followed by their differences. The null hypothesis of both the tests is accepted or rejected based on the critical value and corresponding probability value. The lag length was selected using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Results from the analysis mentioned in Table 4 revealed that prices were found to be non-stationary at their level for all the selected national markets of onion but become stationary after first differencing. Hence, the value of d was taken as 1 i.e., I(1) for all the markets.

Table 4. ADF test results of onion prices for selected national markets

Markets		ADF		
	Level	First Difference		
Pimpalgaon	-3.96	-5.42*		
	(0.115)	(0.0001)		
Lasalgaon	-3.76	-5.30*		
	(0.0207)	(0.0001)		
Solapur	-3.81	-5.05*		
	(0.0179)	(0.0002)		
Pune	-3.56	-5.38*		
	(0.0359)	(0.0001)		
Bangalore	-3.71	-5.27*		
	(0.0237)	(0.0001)		
Hyderabad	-3.94	-5.21*		
	(0.0120)	(0.0001)		
Indore	-3.85	-5.40*		
	(0.0158)	(0.0001)		
Null Hypothesis: Series has a unit root				
Statistical critic	al value of 19	%=-3.4625, 5 per cent = -		
2.8756				

* indicates of significance of values at 5 per cent level

3.2 Johansen's Co-integration Analysis

"Co-integration between the stationary price series was then evaluated using Johansen's Trace and Maximum Eigen-value tests as the following step. The Johansen procedure for the onion markets of India was applied by following the three steps firstly appropriate lag length was chosen as suggested by the various lag length criterion. Secondly, the order of integration was confirmed by using the ADF and In the third step, two tests, i.e., trace and max Eigen statistics of Johansen's approach based on the vector autoregressive model (VAR) were put into the application to analyze the co-integrating vectors between the selected onion markets. Table 5 and Table 6 shows the results of Johansen's maximum likelihood tests (trace test and maximum eigen-value). The trace test and maximum eigen-value pits no cointegration (r = 0) against the alternative hypothesis ($r \ge 1$) that at least one co-integrated equation predominated in the VAR system" [18].

The result clearly indicates the existence of at least seven co-integration equation. "The Trace and Maximum Eigen-value tests results showed that the onion prices in India moves together in the long run equilibrium. As a result, it may be stated that India's onion markets are wellfunctioning. Additionally, the Johansen's Trace and Maximum Eigen-value tests indicate that in these onion markets pairs, wholesale prices are competitive. The findings are consistent with the majority of regional studies, which indicated that the domestic onion markets are wellfunctioning and that prices are well-transmitted and cointegrated" [19,3,20]. Prices are governed not only based on market arrivals but also several factors prevailing in other markets like varieties, appearance, moisture content, colour, size and shape of the produce. However, the flow of market information across markets will help to realize the law of one price in onions.

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigen-Value	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	p-Value	
None *	0.63	830.38*	239.23	0.0001	
At most 1 *	0.31	266.85*	95.75	0.0000	
At most 2 *	0.23	191.86*	69.81	0.0000	
At most 3 *	0.22	136.95*	47.85	0.0000	
At most 4 *	0.17	85.51*	29.79	0.0000	
At most 5 *	0.12	47.21*	15.49	0.0000	
At most 6 *	0.09	20.90*	3.841	0.0000	

Note: Trace test indicates at least 7 co-integrating eq.(s) at the 5 per cent level * denotes rejection of hypothesis at 5 per cent level of significance

Hypothesized	Eigen-Value	Max-Eigen	0.05	p-Value
No. of CE(s)	-	Statistic	Critical Value	-
None *	0.638	205.41*	64.50	0.0001
At most 1 *	0.310	74.99*	40.07	0.0000
At most 2 *	0.238	54.91*	33.87	0.0000
At most 3 *	0.224	51.43*	27.58	0.0000
At most 4 *	0.172	38.29*	21.13	0.0001
At most 5 *	0.122	26.31*	14.26	0.0004
At most 6 *	0.098	20.90*	3.841	0.0000

Table 6. Unrestricted co-integration rank test (Maximum-Eigen value) between onion markets

Note: Max-Eigen statistics indicates at least 7 co-integrating eq.(s) at the 5% level, * denotes rejection of hypothesis at 5 per cent level of significance

Table 7. Results of pair wise Granger causality test of onion prices for selected national markets

Null Hypothesis	Obs.	F-Statistic	Prob.	Dir.
				Direction
LASALGAON does not Granger Cause PIMPALGAON	203	26.44*	6.E-07	
PIMPALGAON does not Granger Cause LASALGAON		0.19	0.65	Uni
SOLAPUR does not Granger Cause PIMPALGAON	203	29.40*	2.E-07	
PIMPALGAON does not Granger Cause SOLAPUR		0.63	0.42	Uni
PUNE does not Granger Cause PIMPALGAON	203	1.84	0.17	
PIMPALGAON does not Granger Cause PUNE		8.68*	0.03	Uni
BANGALORE does not Granger Cause PIMPALGAON	203	0.09	0.97	
PIMPALGAON does not Granger Cause BANGALORE		16.42*	7.E-05	Uni
HYDERABAD does not Granger Cause PIMPALGAON	203	4.82*	0.02	
PIMPALGAON does not Granger Cause HYDERABAD		22.98*	3.E-06	Bi
INDORE does not Granger Cause PIMPALGAON	203	1.21	0.27	
PIMPALGAON does not Granger Cause INDORE		34.97*	1.E-08	Uni
SOLAPUR does not Granger Cause LASALGAON	203	24.05*	2.E-06	
LASALGAON does not Granger Cause SOLAPUR		0.29	0.59	Uni
PUNE does not Granger Cause LASALGAON	203	0.08	0.76	
LASALGAON does not Granger Cause PUNE		28.15*	3.E-07	Uni
BANGALORE does not Granger Cause LASALGAON	203	0.20	0.65	
LASALGAON does not Granger Cause BANGALORE		42.64*	5.E-10	Uni
HYDERABAD does not Granger Cause LASALGAON	203	0.88	0.34	
LASALGAON does not Granger Cause HYDERABAD		21.93*	5.E-06	Uni
INDORE does not Granger Cause LASALGAON	203	0.19	0.66	
LASALGAON does not Granger Cause INDORE		41.48*	9.E-10	Uni
PUNE does not Granger Cause SOLAPUR	203	0.99	0.32	
SOLAPUR does not Granger Cause PUNE		23.82*	2.E-06	Uni
BANGALORE does not Granger Cause SOLAPUR	203	1.61	0.20	
SOLAPUR does not Granger Cause BANGALORE		26.34*	7.E-07	Uni
HYDERABAD does not Granger Cause SOLAPUR	203	0.11	0.73	
SOLAPUR does not Granger Cause HYDERABAD		26.43*	6.E-07	Uni
INDORE does not Granger Cause SOLAPUR	203	5.46*	0.02	
SOLAPUR does not Granger Cause INDORE		74.96*	2.E-15	Bi
BANGALORE does not Granger Cause PUNE	203	1.37	0.24	
PUNE does not Granger Cause BANGALORE		0.94	0.33	-
HYDERABAD does not Granger Cause PUNE	203	15.19*	0.01	
PUNE does not Granger Cause HYDERABAD		9.40*	0.02	Bi
INDORE does not Granger Cause PUNE	203	11.40*	0.09	
PUNE does not Granger Cause INDORE		16.44*	7.E-05	Bi
HYDERABAD does not Granger Cause BANGALORE	203	14.96*	0.01	Bi
BANGALORE does not Granger Cause HYDERABAD		15.13*	0.01	Bi

Null Hypothesis	Obs.	F-Statistic	Prob.	Dir. Direction
INDORE does not Granger Cause BANGALORE	203	35.44*	1.E-08	
BANGALORE does not Granger Cause INDORE		27.97*	3.E-07	Bi
INDORE does not Granger Cause HYDERABAD	203	13.38*	0.03	
HYDERABAD does not Granger Cause INDORE		20.68*	9.E-06	Bi
*indicate rejection of hypothesis at E n	or cont lov	al of aignificance	`	

indicate rejection of hypothesis at 5 per cent level of significance

3.3 Granger Causality Test

After confirming the integration of prices series, in the next step, pair-wise Granger causality test was performed for seven onion markets to comprehend causal relation between them.

The results presented in Table 7 explicates that the few market pairs such as Hyderabad-Pimpalgaon, Indore- Solapur, Hyderabad-Pune, Indore-Pune, Hyderabad-Bangalore, Indore-Indore-Hyderabad showed Bangalore. Bidirectional causality. In these situations, the former market in each pair granger causes the latter market's wholesale price formation, which then gives feedback to the former market. The rest of the market pairs have unidirectional causality. No causal relationship was found between Bangalore and Pune market and vice versa. Different markets of onion were closely linked with each other for the movement of Onion prices which shows long-run relationship with the co-integrating markets.

4. CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICA-TIONS

The co-integration and price transmission of wholesale onion prices in seven major Indian marketplaces namely Pimpalgaon, Lasalgaon, Solapur, Pune, Bangalore, Hyderabad and Indore, were investigated in this study. All of the price series in the study state were found to be indicating that some stationary. onion markets were highly integrated and had a longrun price relationship. It is seen that. Lasalgaon market causes the prices of majority of the markets under study in uni-directional manner whereas bi-directional causality has been found between few markets pairs. It indicates that Lasalgaon market is the dominating market in the price channel. The main reason behind the domination of Lasalgaon market is because Nashik district of Maharashtra is the main production hub in comparison to other. In order to continue the present system of market integration, there is need to establish cells to generate market information and market intelligence which would provide a better platform

for guiding the farmers in marketing their produce.

As a result, onion markets in India are highly cointegrated, implying that the government should continue to encourage the private sector's freedom to operate. The findings of this study might be beneficial in the development of a wholesale market network across the state to improve market integration and price transmission. Market integration is an important tool and prerequisite for effective marketing. This will assist to promote market integration in the long run by establishing marketing infrastructure, pricing information channels, road networks, and transportation facilities, which will help to lower transportation costs and boost inter-regional commerce. These modifications will prevent inefficient onion crop area allocation in India and increase the efficiency of the existing inefficient onion markets. Because the major onion markets in India have a strong long-run price transmission relationship, data on onion prices must be collected and disseminated to both consuming and producing markets. In addition, establishing a real-time Market Information Cell in Major onion producing marketplaces can aid merchants and farmers in analyzing pricing information in other markets throughout the state and country. Farmers can reallocate resources and improve production using this knowledge, and merchants can transfer commodity supply to other markets where there is a local scarcity, perhaps resulting in higher pricing.

SOURCE OF RESEARCH ARTICLE

This paper is part of the thesis submitted to Department of Agricultural Economics, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand- 388110 for the completion of M.Sc. (Agri.) degree during 2021.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Haji J, Gelaw F. Agricultural marketing and price analysis. In: Kassa B, editor. Review of the Economic Role of Prices and Approaches to the study of Agricultural Marketing Ethiopia. Alemaya University Press. 2011;15-21.
- GOI. Horticulture statistical year book India (2016). Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India; 2016.
- Sendhil R, Sundaramoorthy C, Venkatesh P, Thomas L. Testing market integration and convergence to the law of one price in Indian onions. Afr J Agric Res. 2014;9(40):2975-84. DOI: 10.5897/AJAR2013.8037
- 4. Singla N. Tearing onion crisis. Daily Post, Editorial and Opinion. 2015;8.
- 5. FAOSTAT; 2018. Available:https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#h ome
- Granger CWJ. Investigating causal relations by econometric models and cross-spectral methods. econometrica. 1969;37(3):424-38. DOI: 10.2307/1912791
- Engle RF, Granger CWJ. Co- integration and Error Correction: representation, Estimation, and Testing. Econometrica. 1987;55(2):251-76. DOI: 10.2307/1913236
- Johansen S. Estimation and hypotheses testing of cointegrating vectors in Gaussian vector autoregressive models. Econometrica. 1991;59(6):1551-80. DOI: 10.2307/2938278
- Johansen S. Identifying restrictions of linear equations with applications to Simultaneous equations and cointegration. J Econ. 1995;69(1):111-32. DOI: 10.1016/0304-4076(94)01664-L
- 10. Johansen S. Likelihood-based inference in cointegration vector autoregressive models. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1996.

- Paul RK, Rana S, Saxena R. Effectiveness of price forecasting techniques for capturing asymmetric volatility for onion in selected markets of Delhi. Indian J Agric Sci. 2016;86(3):303-9.
- Wani MH, Paul RK, Bazaz NH, Manzoor M. Market integration and price forecasting of apple in India. Indian J Agric Econ. 2015a;70(2):169-81.
- Wani MH, Paul RK, Bazaz NH, Bhat A. Market integration and causality in pear in India. Econ Affa. 2015b;60(4):735-40. DOI: 10.5958/0976-4666.2015.00103.5
- Wani MH, Bazaz NH, Paul RK, Itoo SA, Bhat A. Inter-sectoral linkages in Jammu and Kashmir economy-an econometric analysis. Agric Econ Res Rev. 2015c; 28(conf):11-24. DOI: 10.5958/0974-0279.2015.00018.X
- Dickey DA, Fuller WA. Distribution of estimator for autoregressive time series with a unit root. J Am Stat Assoc. 1979; 74(366a):427-31. DOI: 10.1080/01621459.1979.10482531
- Johansen S, Juselius K. Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration with application to demand for money. Oxf Bull Econ Stat. 1990;52(2):169-210. DOI:10.1111/j.1468-0084.1990.mp52002003.x.
- 17. Gujarati D. Econometrics by example. London: Macmillan Publishers; 2010.
- Ahmed M, Singla N. Market integration and price transmission in major onion markets of India. Econ Affa. 2017;62(3):405-17. DOI: 10.5958/0976-4666.2017.00051.1
- 19. Reddy BS, Chandrashekhar SM, Dikshit AK, Manohar NS. Price trend and integration of wholesale markets for onion in metro cities of India. J Econ Sustain Dev. 2012;3(70):120-30.
- Rajendran S. Price transmission process in vertical markets: An empirical analysis of onion markets in Tamil Nadu State (India). Eur J Sustain Dev. 2015;4(1):9-22. DOI: 10.14207/ejsd.2015.v4n1p9

© 2022 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94999