

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 34, Issue 24, Page 164-172, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.90392 ISSN: 2320-7035

Effect of Zinc Fertilization on Zinc Transformations and Yield of Blakgram Grown in Inceptisols: A Case Study from India

N. A. Vishwanatha ^{a*o}, T. Venkata Sridhar ^{a#}, I. Usha Rani ^{a†} and K. Chandrasekhar ^{b‡}

^a Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Agricultural College, Bapatla, ANGRAU, India. ^b Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla, ANGRAU, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242625

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90392

Original Research Article

Received: 23/06/2022 Accepted: 16/08/2022 Published: 22/12/2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to study the effect of zinc fertilization on zinc transformation under blakgram crop grown on Inceptisols at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla during *Rabi*, 2021. The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with three main treatments of zinc levels application (0, 25 and 50 kg Zn ha⁻¹) and five sub treatments of blackgram varieties (LBG 752, LBG 787, TBG 104, GBG 1 and PU 31) replicated three times. The various Zn fractions *viz.*, water

^e P.G. Research Scholar;

[#]Associate Professor;

[†] Assistant Professor;

[‡] Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: vishwanathanaa1998@gmail.com;

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 34, no. 24, pp. 164-172, 2022

soluble plus exchangeable Zn (WS+EX-Zn), organically complexed Zn(OCX-Zn), amorphous sesquioxide bound Zn(AMOX-Zn), crystalline sesquioxide bound Zn(CRYOX-Zn), residual Zn(Res-Zn) and total Zn were studied during different (pod development and harvest) stages of the crop. The results of experiment showed that the WS+EX-Zn, OCX-Zn, AMOX-Zn and CRYOX-Zncontent in soil significantly increased with increased levels of Zn application. Residual Zn was dominant fraction among all zinc fraction. Further, the concentration of WS+EX-Zn and OCX-Zn of soil significantly enhanced the yield of blackgram.

Keywords: Zinc levels; blackgram varieties and zinc fractions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zinc is an important micronutrient which is involved in many physiological functions of the plant. When the supply of plant available zinc is insufficient, crop yields are reduced and the quality of crop products is frequently impaired. Zinc deficiency is observed in almost all the crops and soils, mostly in calcareous, sandy soils and peat soils. Supply of Zn fertilizers can temporarily help the offset of plant Zn deficiency symptoms. Information on availability of soil zinc and its fractions and their distribution is essential for understanding its chemical reactions and bioavailability. In general, the order of preponderance of different zinc fractions in soil is water soluble plus exchangeable zinc (WS+EX-Zn) <organically bound zinc (OCX-Zn) < amorphous sesquioxide bound zinc (AMOX-Zn) < crystalline bound zinc (CRYOX-Zn) < residual zinc (Res-Zn) < total Zn. These fractions are in a state of dynamic equilibrium among different fractions and were influenced by different factors like pH, free CaCO₃ CEC, organic carbon, clay, free Fe₂O₃ etc. Hence, this study was taken with an objective of zinc fertilization on zinc transformations under blackgram grown soils.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural College Farm, Bapatla during Rabi, 2021. The experimental soil was clay in texture, very slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.42) and non-saline (EC 0.57 dSm⁻¹), medium in organic carbon (OC 5.7 5.7 g kg⁻¹), available P_2O_5 (39 kg ha⁻¹), K_2O (302 kg ha⁻¹), Zn (1.18 mg kg⁻¹), Fe (5.34mg kg⁻¹) and Mn (3.67mg kg⁻¹). The available N content (213 kg ha⁻¹) was low and available Cu (2.2 mg kg⁻¹) was high in concentration. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with fifteen treatments replicated thrice. The main plot treatments three levels Zn comprising of levels application viz., $M_1 - 0$ kg Zn ha⁻¹, M_2 - 25 kg Zn ha⁻¹ and M_3 - 50 kg Zn ha⁻¹ and sub plot treatments comprising five blackgram varieties namely, S₁ - LBG 752, S₂ - LBG 787, S₃ - TBG 104, S₄ - GBG 1 and S₅ - PU 31. A common dose of 100 % RDF *i.e.*, 20:50:0 kg ha⁻¹ N and P₂O₅ are applied at the time of sowing as basal dose through urea and SSP respectively. Zinc sulphate was supplied through zinc sulphate hepta hydrate (ZnSO₄.7H₂O) to all main treatments (M₁, M₂ and M₃) at threelevels (0, 25 and 50 kg ha⁻¹) to the respective plots as per the treatments as basal before sowing.

The blackgram crop was planted in the second week of November. The crop was raised with all the standard package of practices and protection measures also timely carried out as they required. Soil samples from 0 to 15 cm depth were collected at pod development and harvest stages of blackgram. These samples were analyzed using standard procedures in the laboratory. The sequential extraction of soil Zn fractions namely, WS+EX-Zn, OCX-Zn, AMOX-Zn, CRYOX-Zn and Res-Zn were carried out as per the procedure given by Murthy (1982) and later modified by Mandal and Mandal (1986). The data were analyzed statistically as suggested by Panse and Sukhathme (1978) for split plot design.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Effect of Different Levels of Zinc Application and Blackgram Varieties on Zn Transformations

3.1.1 Water soluble and exchangeable zinc (WS+EX-Zn)

Data pertaining to the effect of zinc fertilization on water soluble and exchangeable zinc was presented in the Table 1 and the results revealed the water soluble and exchangeable zinc in soil was significantly influenced by the levels of zinc application at different growth stages of crop. Among the main plots, the significant buildup of WS+EX-Zn content (1.38 and 1.23 mg kg⁻¹) was observed under the treatment M_3 (50 kg Zn ha⁻¹) followed by treatment M_2 (25 kg Zn ha⁻¹) (1.16 and 1.03 mg kg⁻¹) and lowest in M_1 (control) (0.87 and 0.75 mg kg1) at pod development and harvest stages of crop, respectively. WS+EX-Zn content was dramatically decreased from initial to harvest stage of crop. This might be due to zinc in largely accessible form, which is readily available to plant for biological uptake. These results were in agreement with the findings of Yadav et al. [1], Tabassum et al. [2], Nadaf et al. [3] and Yashona et al. [4]. Percentage of contribution of WS+EX-Zn to total zinc was lowest among all Zn fraction in present investigation might be due to presence of high clay content, elevated pH, sesquioxides and buffering capacity of soil. Similar results were demonstrated by Veeranagappa et al. [5].

Among varieties, the highest WS+EX-Zn concentration (1.18 and 1.06 mg kg⁻¹, respectively) was recorded with variety PU 31 (S_5) and the lowest value (1.08 and 0.93, respectively) was observed with variety GBG 1 (S_4) at pod development and harvest stages, respectively. Zinc application rates and blackgram varieties had no significant interactive effect on WS+EX-Zn content of soil at different crop growth stages.

3.1.2 Organically complexed zinc (OCX-Zn)

The results of organically complexed Zinc (OCX-Zn) were presented in Table 2 and the data revealed that the organically complexed zinc in soil was influenced significantly by the application of different doses of Zn fertilizer and it ranged from 1.90 to 2.66 mg kg⁻¹ and 1.81 to 2.52 mg kg⁻¹ at pod development and harvest stages of crop, respectively among main plot treatments. Significant higher concentration of OCX-Zn was recorded in the treatment M₃ (50 kg ha^{-1}) (2.66 and 2.52 mg kg⁻¹) which was on par with M_2 (25 kg ha⁻¹) (2.55 and 2.42 mg kg⁻¹) and lowest in M₁ (control) (M₁- 1.90 and 1.81 mg kg⁻¹) at pod development and harvest stages of the crop, respectively. These results were in agreement with Raghuwanshi et al. [6], Gajbhiye et al. [7] and Yashona et al. [4]. Irrespective of level of Zn application, the OCX-Zn concentration in soil decreased with time [8]. Similar to WS+EX-Zn, this form of Zn also contributed very less to total Zn. This might be due to presence of medium range of organic carbon content in experimental soil. Similar results were reported by Preetha and Stalin [9].

Organically complexed zinc was non significantly differed among all varieties of blackgram. PU 31

variety (S_5 - 2.47 and 2.32 mg kg⁻¹) recorded highest OCX-Zn content and lowest value with GBG 1 (S_4 - 2.24 and 2.15 mg kg⁻¹) at pod development and harvest stages of crop, respectively. The interaction effect of Zn levels and blackgram varieties found non-significant at different growth stages of crop.

3.1.3 Amorphous sesquioxide bound zinc (AMOX-Zn)

There was a significant difference in amorphous sesquioxide bound zinc content of soil with the application of different levels of Zn application but not by blackgram varieties at all stages of crop and data presented in the Table 3. The AMOX-Zncontent ranged from 2.29 to 2.70 and 2.78 to 3.03 mg kg⁻¹ at pod development and harvest stages of crop, respectively and highest content was observed in M_3 (50 kg ha⁻¹) (2.70 and 3.03 mg kg⁻¹, respectively) which was on par with treatment M_2 (25 kg ha⁻¹) (2.58 and 2.90 mg kg⁻¹, respectively). While lowest content of AMOX-Zn was recorded in M_1 (control) (2.29 and 2.78 mg kg⁻¹, respectively). Kamali et al. [10] and Yashona et al. [4] also reported similar results.

The mean values pertaining to soil AMOX-Zn content under five varieties of blackgram showed non-significant. AMOX-Zn content (2.64 and 2.93 mg kg⁻¹) contents in soil at pod development and harvest stage, respectively were highest under PU-31 (S_5) and lowest (2.47 mg kg⁻¹) in LBG 787 (S_1) and GBG 1 (S_4) at pod development stage of crop. Whereas, lowest AMOX-Zn content (2.87 mg kg⁻¹) was observed in GBG 1. Interaction effect of zinc rates and blackgram varieties on content of AMOX-Zn in soil was found non-significant.

3.1.4 Crystalline sesquioxide bound zinc (CRYOS-Zn)

Data regarding to crystalline sesquioxide bound zinc content of soil at different stages of crop was presented in the Table 4 and revealed that CRYOS-Zn fraction was significantly influenced by increasing levels of Zn application and it ranged from 1.95 to 4.11 and 1.87 to 4.01 mg kg at pod development and harvest stage, CRYOS-Zn respectively. was significantly highest in M_3 (50 kg Zn ha⁻¹) (4.11 and 4.01 mg kg⁻¹) followed by M_2 (25 kg ha⁻¹) (3.15 and 3.06 mg kg⁻¹) and lowestin control (M_1) (1.95 and 1.87 mg kg-1) at pod development and harvest stage of the crop, respectively. The findings are in close agreement with those reported by Kamali

et al. [10] and Yashona et al. [4]. Crystalline sesquioxide bound zinc was the second most dominant form of zinc after Res-Zn in present experimental soil. This might be due to presence of high amounts of crystalline iron oxides in soil. These results were in corroborate with the findings of Wijebandara et al. [11] and Ilavarasi et al. [8].

There was no significant difference in mean values of CRYOS-Zn content at all stages of crop. At pod development stage, CRYOS-Zn was highest in PU 31 variety ($S_5 - 3.12$) and lowest with GBG 1 ($S_4 - 3.02$). Whereas at harvest stage, highest CRYOS-Zn content was recorded in PU 31 ($S_5 - 3.02$) and lowest in LBG 752 ($S_1 - 3.02 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). However, the interaction effect of main and subplots treatments was found non-significant.

3.1.5 Residual zinc (RES-Zn)

The results were furnished in the Table 5 indicated that the effect different levels of Zn application and blackgram varieties on residual zinc content of soil at different growth stages of crop was found non-significant. Among the main plots, the residual zinc concentration ranged from 79.1 to 81.0 mg kg⁻¹ and 78.5 to 80.6 mg kg⁻¹ at pod development and harvest, respectively. Treatment M_3 (50 kg Zn ha⁻¹) recorded highest residual Zn whereas lowest was observed in M1 (control). It was observed that different doses of Zn application had very little effect on the change in concentration of RES-Zn content of soil. The concentration and percentage of contribution of RES-Zn to total zinc was found higher than other fractions of zinc in soil. The Res-Zn content was distributed non-significantly in soil among all blackgram varieties. However, the highest Res-Zncontent (81.2 and 80.8 mg kg⁻¹) was found with variety PU 31 (S₅) and lowest (79.0 and 78.7 mg kg⁻¹) in GBG 1 (S₄) at pod development and harvest stage, respectively. However, the interaction effect of levels of Zn application and blackgram varieties on Res-Zn content in soil was found statistically non-significant.

3.2 Total Zinc

The data furnished in Table 6 indicated there was no significant influence on the concentration of total zinc in soil at different growth stages of crop by the application of different rates of zinc and blackgram varieties was found to be non-significant. In main plot treatments, the total Zn content ranged from 86.2 to 91.8 mg kg⁻¹ and 85.7 to 91.4 mg kg⁻¹ at pod development and harvest stages of crop, respectively and the maximum concentration was registered under the treatment M₃ (50 kg Zn ha⁻¹) while lowest in M_1 (control). Zinc transformation in soil largely controlled by many factors like rate of zinc application, organic matter, soil pH, sesquioxides, clay content, etc., which bring considerable changes in chemical and electrochemical properties of soil results transformation of zinc in soil [8]. Among sub plot treatments, at pod development stage, the highest total zinc content was observed with the variety PU 31 (S_5) (90.6 and 90.2 mg kg⁻¹) and minimum concentration (87.9 and 87.6 mg kg⁻¹) was noted with GBG 1 (S₄) at pod development and harvest stages of crop, respectively. The interaction effect of treatments was recorded nonsignificant on total zinc fraction at all stages of crop was found non-significant.

3.3 Effect of Different Levels of Zinc Application on Yield of Different Blackgram Varieties

3.3.1 Seed yield

From the data furnished in Table 7 revealed that seed vield of different blackgram varieties was significantly affected by different doses of Zn application at various growth stages of crop. The highest seed yield (997 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment M_3 (50 kg Zn ha⁻¹) but it was at par with yield of M_2 (25 kg ha⁻¹) (968 kg ha⁻¹). The percent increase of seed yield of M₃ and M₂ over M_0 was 25% and 22%, respectively. The seed yield of blackgram increased might be due to the enhancement of pod formation and subsequent increase in the number of seeds per pod [12]. The increase in seed vield due to application of Zn was also synthesis attributed to enhanced of and their transportation carbohydrates to reproductive parts [13].

Among varieties, significantly higher seed yield was recorded by the variety PU 31 ($S_5 - 988$ kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with variety LBG 752 ($S_1 - 961$ kg ha⁻¹) and TBG 104 ($S_3 - 926$ kg ha⁻¹) and seed yield of this treatments was significantly superior over the rest of all other varieties *i.e.*, LBG 787 ($S_2 - 877$ kg ha⁻¹) and GBG 1 ($S_4 - 849$ kg ha⁻¹). However, the interaction effect of zinc levels and blackgram varieties was found non-significant.

Table 1. Effect of rate of zinc a	application and blackgra	m varieties on water soluble plus
exchangeable Zn (mg k	يg ⁻¹) in soil at different gr	owth stages of blackgram

Zn	_		V	Vater s	soluble	plus exc	hangea	able-Zn	(mg k	g ⁻¹)		
levels	Pod de	evelop	ment s	tage			Harve					
(kg ha⁻¹)	Black	Blackgram varieties					Black	(gram	varietie	es		Mean
									Μ			
	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅		S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅	
M ₁	0.89	0.84	0.86	0.86	0.91	0.87	0.75	0.71	0.75	0.69	0.82	0.75
M ₂	1.19	1.12	1.17	1.11	1.20	1.16	1.02	1.03	1.05	0.99	1.04	1.03
M ₃	1.43	1.35	1.39	1.28	1.44	1.38	1.33	1.18	1.26	1.10	1.30	1.23
Mean S	1.17	1.10	1.14	1.08	1.18		1.03	0.97	1.02	0.93	1.06	
	SEm±		CD (p)=0.05)		CV (%)	SEm:	£	CD (p)=0.05)		CV (%)
М	0.02		0.08			7.69	0.02		0.11			10.9
S	0.02		NS			7.19	0.03		NS			9.58
MXS	0.05		NS				0.06		NS			
SXM	0.05		NS				0.06		NS			

Table 2. Effect of rate of zinc application and blackgram varieties on organically bound- Zn (mgkg⁻¹) in soil at different growth stages of blackgram

Zn				O	ganica	ally comp	exed-Z	ːn (mg	kg ⁻¹)				
levels		Pod	develo	pmen	t stage		Harvest stage						
(kg ha⁻¹)	Black	gram va	arieties	5		Mean	Blackgram varieties					Mean	
						М						Μ	
	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅	-	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅		
M ₁	1.85	1.72	2.03	1.91	2.00	1.90	1.75	1.66	1.97	1.85	1.83	1.81	
M ₂	2.65	2.55	2.55	2.36	2.63	2.55	2.51	2.44	2.44	2.22	2.52	2.42	
M ₃	2.81	2.65	2.61	2.45	2.79	2.66	2.66	2.53	2.39	2.40	2.61	2.52	
Mean S	2.44	2.31	2.40	2.24	2.47		2.30	2.21	2.27	2.15	2.32		
	SEm±		CD (p)=0.05)		CV (%)	SEm-	Ŀ	CD (p)=0.05)		CV (%)	
М	0.06		0.25			10.4	0.04		0.16			7.30	
S	0.06		NS			7.54	0.04		NS			6.44	
MXS	0.10		NS				0.08		NS				
SXM	0.11		NS				0.09		NS				

 Table 3. Effect of rate of zinc application and blackgram varieties on amorphous sesquioxide bound-Zn (mg kg⁻¹) in soil at different growth stages of blackgram

Zn	Amorphous sesquioxide bound-Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)											
levels		Pod	develo	pmen	t stage		ge					
(kg ha ⁻¹)	Blackgram varieties					Mean	Black		Mean			
						Μ			Μ			
	S₁	S ₂	S₃	S_4	S ₅		S₁	S ₂	S₃	S_4	S ₅	
M₁	2.43	2.37	2.21	2.20	2.25	2.29	2.69	2.90	2.88	2.71	2.72	2.78
M ₂	2.53	2.50	2.54	2.51	2.84	2.58	2.98	2.90	2.77	2.91	2.91	2.90
M ₃	2.74	2.53	2.72	2.69	2.83	2.70	3.03	2.87	3.07	3.00	3.17	3.03
Mean S	2.57	2.47	2.49	2.47	2.64		2.90	2.89	2.91	2.87	2.93	
	SEm±		CD (p)=0.05)		CV (%)	SEm	E	CD (p)=0.05		CV (%)
М	0.06		0.23			9.27	0.04		0.18			6.29
S	0.07		NS			8.45	0.06		NS			6.26
MXS	0.12		NS				0.10		NS			
SXM	0.13		NS				0.11		NS			

Zn				Crysta	alline s	esquioxid	le boun	d-Zn (mg kg ⁻	¹)			
levels		Pod	develo	opment	t stage	!	Harvest stage						
(kg ha ⁻¹)	Blackgram varieties					Mean M	Blackgram varieties					Mean M	
	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅	-	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅		
M ₁	1.99	1.93	1.91	1.94	1.97	1.95	1.85	1.86	1.91	1.86	1.89	1.87	
M ₂	3.18	3.11	3.17	3.05	3.23	3.15	3.00	3.06	3.07	3.04	3.12	3.06	
M ₃	4.11	4.07	4.11	4.08	4.16	4.11	3.97	4.01	4.01	3.98	4.06	4.01	
Mean S	3.10	3.03	3.06	3.02	3.12		2.94	2.98	3.00	2.96	3.02		
	SEm±		CD (p)=0.05)		CV (%)	SEm	Ŀ	CD (p	=0.05)		CV (%)	
М	0.04		0.19			6.19	0.08		0.32			10.8	
S	0.06		NS			6.60	0.08		NS			8.83	
MXS	0.12		NS						NS				
SXM	0.12		NS						NS				

 Table 4. Effect of rate of zinc application and blackgram varieties on crystalline sesquioxide bound-Zn (mg kg-1) in soil at different growth stages of blackgram

Table 5. Effect of rate of zinc application and blackgram varieties on residual-Zn (mg kg-1) in soil at different growth stages of blackgram

Zn	Residu	ual-Zn	(mg kg	ī ¹)								
levels	Pod de	evelopi	ment s	tage			Harvest stage					
(kg ha ⁻¹)	Blackę	gram va	arieties	6		Mean M	Black	gram	varietie	es		Mean M
	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S_4	S_5		S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S_4	S ₅	
M ₁	79.3	79.4	79.4	77.1	80.5	79.1	78.7	78.7	78.7	76.5	79.8	78.5
M ₂	78.3	81.2	80.7	79.7	81.5	80.3	78.3	81.1	80.7	79.6	81.5	80.2
M ₃	80.1	80.7	82.2	80.3	81.6	81.0	79.7	80.3	81.8	79.9	81.2	80.6
Mean S	79.2	80.4	80.8	79.0	81.2		78.9	80.1	80.4	78.7	80.8	
	SEm±		CD (p)=0.05		CV (%)	SEm:	F	CD (p)=0.05		CV
												(%)
М	1.61		NS			7.79	2.13		NS			10.3
S	2.36		NS			8.84	2.31		NS			8.71
MXS	4.09		NS				4.02		NS			
SXM	4.00		NS				4.18		NS			

3.3.2 Haulm yield

Data presented in Table 8 indicated that haulm yield of blackgram as influenced by different levels of zinc application and blackgram varieties were found to be significant. Treatment M_3 (50 kg Zn ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher haulm yield $(2170 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ over control (M_1) $(1995 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ but statistically at par treatment with M₂ (25 kg Zn ha⁻¹) (2127 kg ha⁻¹). The percent increase of haulm yield of M₃ and M₂ over M₀ was 8.7% and 6.6%, respectively. Higher haulm yield of blackgram may due to involvement of zinc in physiological process and synthesis of photosynthates in plant (Tabassum et al., 2013). Zinc application resulted in early growth of seedling and superior nutrition which leads to enhanced dry matter production ultimately its increased haulm yield of crop. Similar increase trend was reported by Chaudary and Sinha [14] and Jat et al. [15]. Among sub plot treatments, significantly higher haulm production was recorded by the variety LBG 752 (S₁- 2230 kg ha⁻¹) which was on par with LBG 787 (S₂- 2144) and PU 31 (S₅ - 2098) and it was significantly superior over rest of varieties. While the lowest value of haulm yield was noted with the variety GBG 1 (S₄-2001 kg ha⁻¹). However, the interaction of Zn levels and blackgram varieties on haulm yield of backgram was found nonsignificant.

Table 6. Effect of rate of zinc application and blackgram varieties on total-Zn (mg kg-1) in soil
at different growth stages of blackgram

Zn						Total-Zn ((mg kg ⁻	⁻¹)					
levels		Pod	develo	opmen	t stage	!	Harvest stage						
(kg ha⁻¹)	Black	gram va	arieties	6		Mean M	Blackgram varieties					Mean M	
	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅	-	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S_4	S₅		
M ₁	86.5	86.3	86.4	84.1	87.6	86.2	85.7	85.9	86.2	83.6	87.1	85.7	
M ₂	87.9	90.5	90.2	88.7	91.4	89.7	87.7	90.6	90.0	88.8	91.1	89.6	
M ₃	91.2	91.3	93.0	90.8	92.9	91.8	90.7	90.9	92.5	90.4	92.4	91.4	
Mean S	88.5	89.3	89.8	87.9	90.6		88.0	89.1	89.6	87.6	90.2		
	SEm±		CD (p)=0.05))	CV (%)	SEm:	Ŀ	CD (p	=0.05)		CV	
												(%)	
М	1.63		NS			7.11	2.18		NS			9.49	
S	2.35		NS			7.90	2.32		NS			7.83	
MXS	4.04		NS				4.02		NS				
SXM	4.00		NS				4.21		NS				

Table 7. Effect of different levels of zinc application and blackgram varieties on seed yield (kg ha⁻¹) of blackgram

Zn levels	Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹)										
(kg ha⁻¹)		BI	ackgram va	rieties		Mean M					
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₃	S ₄	S ₅						
M ₁	829.9	756.0	819.0	720.7	852.7	795.7					
M ₂	1009	938.8	933.7	933.4	1026	968.4					
M ₃	1043	937.2	1026	894.6	1086	997.5					
Mean S	961.1	877.3	926.3	849.6	988.4						
	SEm±		CD (p=0	.05)		CV (%)					
М	15.1		59.3			6.35					
S	23.0		67.3			7.51					
MXS	39.9		NS								
SXM	38.7		NS								

Table 8. Effect of different levels of zinc application and blackgram varieties on haulm yield (kg ha⁻¹) of blackgram

Zn levels	Haulm y						
(kg ha ^{₋1})	Blackgr	am varieties	S		Mean M		
	S ₁	S ₂	S₃	S ₄	S ₅		
M ₁	829.9	756.0	819.0	720.7	852.7	795.7	
M ₂	1009	938.8	933.7	933.4	1026	968.4	
M ₃	1043	937.2	1026	894.6	1086	997.5	
Mean S	961.1	877.3	926.3	849.6	988.4		
	SEm±		CD (p=0	.05)		CV (%)	
Μ	15.1		59.3			6.35	
S	23.0		67.3			7.51	
MXS	39.9		NS				
SXM	38.7		NS				

4. CONCLUSION

All the Zn fractions (except residual and total-Zn) were significantly increased by different rates of Zn application but the effect of varieties was found non-significant. Highest values of all Zn fractions were recorded at rate of 50 kg Zn ha⁻¹ (M_3) while lowest at "no zinc" application (M_1) . The concentration of organically bound-Zn and amorphous sesquioxide bound-Zn under M₃ treatment was on par with M2 at all stages of crop. The order of dominance of different fractions of zinc in soil both at pod development and harvest stages crop was in the order: water soluble plus exchangeable zinc <organically complexed zinc < amorphous sesquioxide bound zinc < crystalline bound zinc < residual zinc< total Zn. Yield of blackgram was positively correlated with the concentration of water soluble plus exchangeable Zn and organically complexed Zn in soil. Application of zinc @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ significantly affected the yield of blackgram and it was on par with the application of Zn @ 25 kg ha-1 and was highly correlated with the water concentration of soluble plus exchangeable Zn and organically complexed Zn compared to concentration of all other Zn fractions. Hence, application of 25 kg ha⁻¹ Zn is sufficient to meet crop demand in farmers fields.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Yadav B, Khamparia RS, Kumar R. Effect of zinc and organic matter application on various zinc fractions under direct-seeded rice in vertisols. Journal of the Indian Society of soil Science. 2013;61(2): 128-134.
- Tabassum S, Jeet S, Kumar R, Dev CM, Kumar P, Rehana. Effect of organic manure and zinc fertilization on zinc transformation and biofortification of crops in vertisols of Central India. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;6(8).
- 3. Nadaf SA, Chidanandappa HM. Effect of zinc and boron application on distribution and contribution of zinc fractions to the total uptake of zinc by groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in Sandy Loam Soils of Karnataka, India. Legume Research. 2015; 38(5):598-602.

- 4. Yashona DS, Mishra US, Aher SB. Effect of rate and mode of zinc application on zinc dynamics in typic haplustalfs under rainfed conditions. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2019;67 (4): 450-457.
- Veeranagappa P, Prakasha HC, Vijay Mahanthesh Ashoka KR, Mahendra Kumar MB, Nagaraj. Impact of zinc enriched compost on availability of zinc and zinc fractions, nutrient uptake and yield of rice. Advanced Research Journal of Crop Improvement. 2011;2(2):203-207.
- Raghuwanshi N, Sharma BL, Uikey I, Prajapati S. Residual and cumulative effect of zinc on yield, quality of soyabean (*Glycine max* L.) and various pools of zinc in a vertisol of Madya Pradesh, cv. JS 97-52. International Journal of Bio-resources and Stress Management. 2017; 8(3):444-449.
- 7. Gajbhiye PN, Bulbule AV, Kumbhar CT. Effect of zinc fertilizer levels and application methods on zinc fractions and grain yield of maize. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018;7(11):1-12.
- Ilavarasi R, Baskar M, Gomadhi G, Ramesh T. Dynamics of zinc in sodic soil with zinc enriched organics. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019;8(1):2355-2361.
- Preetha PS, Stalin P. Different forms of zinc- their relationship with selected soil properties and contribution towards plant availability and uptake in maize growing soils of Erode District, Tamil Nadu. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014; 7(7):1018-1025.
- 10. Kamali S, Ronaghi A, Karimian N. Soil zinc transformation as affected by applied zinc and organic materials. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2011; 42:1038-1049.
- 11. Wijebandara DMDI, Dasog GS, Patil PL, Manjunath H. Zinc fractions and their relationships with soil properties in paddy growing soils of northern dry and hill zones of Karnataka. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2011;59(2):141-147.
- 12. Roy PD, Narwal RP, Malik RS, Saha BN, Kumar S. Impact of zinc application methods on green gram (*Vigna radiate* L.) productivity and grain zinc fortification.

Jornal of Environmental Biology. 2013; 35:851-854.

- Peddababu P, Shanthi M, Prasad BR, Minhas PS. Effect of zinc in rice-blackgram cropping system in saline soils. The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 2007;54(1-2):47-50.
- 14. Chaudary SK, Sinha NK. Effect of levels of nitrogen and zinc application on grain yield

and their uptake in transplanted rice. Oryza. 2007;44(1):44-47.

15. Jat G, Sharma SK, Meena RH, Jain D, Chaoudhary R, Chaoudhary RS, Yadav SK. Amelioration of zinc deficiency in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* L.) through soil applied zinc in typic hapliustepts soil of Rajasthan. Journal of Enironmental Biology. 2021;42(6): 1554-1559.

© 2022 Vishwanatha et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90392