

Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research

33(21): 8-14, 2021; Article no.JAMMR.75938 ISSN: 2456-8899 (Past name: British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-0614, NLM ID: 101570965)

# *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* Infections in a Tertiary Care Hospital

## Harun Agca<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Bursa Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey.

## Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMMR/2021/v33i2131128 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Prof. Emin Umit Bagriacik, Gazi University, Turkey. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Nikhil Madan, Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, USA. (2) Eduardo Esteban Zuebro, Hospital San Pedro, Spain. Complete Peer review History: <u>https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/75938</u>

Original Research Article

Received 08 August 2021 Accepted 15 October 2021 Published 19 October 2021

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* is a common causative agent of community-acquired and atypical pneumonia. *M. pneumoniae*-associated respiratory tract infections are most often mild and self-limiting in nature, and severe courses of disease are not common.

**Aims and Objectives:** We aimed to analyze respiratory samples of hospitalized patients by realtime (RT) PCR for *M. pneumoniae* infections.

**Methodology:** In this study, hospitalized patients with respiratory symptoms including nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, nasal discharge, wheezing, sore throat and cough, were tested for *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* between April 2018 and March 2020 and the results of these patients were analyzed retrospectively.

**Results:** We retrospectively investigated the results of 1245 nasopharyngeal swab samples. Records of 693 (55.7%) male and 552 (44.3%) female patients between 0-93 years were investigated.All of the patients were below 26 years. There were 37 *M. pneumoniae* positive patients and the highest *M. pneumoniae* positivity was in 2-5 age group, with 16 children. *M. pneumoniae* positivity rate was highest in the 0-1 age group with 8.3%. There were 19 co-infections with viruses and rhino/enterovirus (n=12) was the most frequent accompanying virus.

**Conclusion:** *M. pneumonia* infections are found in about 3% of respiratory samples. *M. pneumoniae* is usually a part of co-infections accompanied by bacteria and viruses. Patients with respiratory infection symptoms should be diagnosed with multiplex rapid PCR panels including *M. pneumoniae*.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: drharunagca@yahoo.com;

Keywords: Mycoplasma; respiratory infection; PCR.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

*Mycoplasma pneumoniae* is a common causative agent of community-acquired and atypical pneumonia [1]. The most common atypical pneumonia causative agents are Mycoplasma pneumoniae, Chlamydia psittaci (psittacosis), Francisella tularensis (tularemia), Coxiella burnetii (Q fever), Chlamydia pneumoniae, and Legionella [2,3]. M. pneumoniae is responsible for about 10%-40% of the community acquired pneumonia (CAP) in children annually [4]. The hiahest incidence rates for Mvcoplasma pneumoniae is seen in children and young adults, and it is typically characterized by a slowly progressing onset, followed by а persisting [5,6]. cough М. pneumoniaeassociated respiratory tract infections are most often mild and self-limiting in nature, and severe courses of disease are not common [7,8]. Although M. pneumoniae infection is often considered a self-limiting disease, some cases become refractory or fulminant and these cases can have serious extrapulmonary complications, even becoming life threatening in children [9]. Therefore, the early and rapid detection of M. pneumoniae is important for timely clinical treatment [10].

**Methodologies** for detection of M. pneumoniae include nucleic acid amplification tests (NAAT), serology and culture with varying sensitivities and specificities. There is no international standard material for quality control detection in assays, although external quality control schema exist for some methodologies. There are no internationally defined guidelines on the requirements for surveillance of M. pneumoniae, macrolide resistance testing and surveillance, reference system structure, routine testing and bacterial strain discrimination. However, a few countries such as France and the United States (US) have surveillance within specific regions and national surveillance is seen in countries such as Denmark and Japan, the latter of which has maintained an active surveillance system for this pathogen for some time [11,12].

In this retrospective study, our aim was to analyze respiratory samples of hospitalized patients by real-time (RT) PCR for *M. pneumonia* infections between April 2018 and March 2020 in our tertiary care hospital.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bursa Uludag University Hospital is a tertiary care hospital which is located in the Northwest of Turkey with a population of about six million. In this study, hospitalized patients with respiratory includina nasal symptoms congestion. rhinorrhea, nasal discharge, wheezing, sore throat and cough, were tested for Mycoplasma pneumoniae between April 2018 and March 2020 and the results of these patients were analyzed retrospectively. Inclusion criteria is adequate sampling and transporting of nasopharyngeal samples of the patients with respiratory symptoms. Exclusion criteria is inadequate sampling from the nasopharynx of the patients with respiratory symptoms and inappropriate transfer of the samples.

Results of nasopharyngeal swab PCR between 04.01.2018 and 03.31.2020 were analyzed. FLOQ Swabs (Copan, Italy) were used for nasopharyngeal sampling and placed in a transport media (UTM-RT, Copan, Italy) and stored at -80°C. The samples were analyzed within four days.

In our laboratory, we used Fast Track Diagnostics (FTD) respiratory pathogens 33 (Fast-track Diagnostics, Malta) kitfor RT-PCR, in Rotor-Gene Q (Qiagen, Germany) between April 2018 and December 2019. We used QIAStat Dx Respiratory panel(Qiagen, Germany) in QIAStat Dx (Qiagen, Germany) for detection of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* between January 2020 and March 2020. QIAStat Dx (Qiagen, Germany) combines nucleic acid extraction and RT-PCR in the same device by a cartridge. Both of the kits use a panel for respiratory tract viruses and bacteria including *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*.

We included the results of the samples including *M. pneumoniae* positive respiratory samples. The results of FTD respiratory pathogens 33 (Fast-track Diagnostics, Malta) kit were interpreted according to the manufacturer's instructions; if the cycle threshold(Ct) was ≤35 in the presence of a sigmoid curve the result was positive. PCR results were analyzed according to MIQE guidelines key criteria chart [13]. QIAStat Dx Respiratory panel (Qiagen, Germany) tests were analyzed by the device software and results were reported according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Patients were divided into four groups according to their ages.

IBM SPSS Statistics v20 programme (SPSS INC, Chicago, USA) was used for statistical analysis. We used chi-square test on SPSS for statistical analysis. A p value of  $P \le 0.05$  was accepted as significant.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We retrospectively investigated the results of 1245 nasopharyngeal swab samples. There were 693 (55.7%) male and 552 (44.3%) female patients between 0-93 years with a mean age of 18.2 years. Patients were divided into four groups according to their ages and most of the patients were in the 2-5 years group (Table 1). There were 37 *M. pneumoniae* positive patients and the highest M. pneumoniae positivity was in 2-5 age group, with 16 children (Table 1). While 18 patients were male, 19 were female. M. pneumoniae positivity rate was highest in the 0-1 age group with 8.3% which declined to 0.4% in the adult group. Positivity rate declined from childhood to adulthood among the age (Table 1). Mean age of the patients was 5. The oldest patient was 25 year old.

We investigated the number of samples and *M. pneumoniae* positives according to months. Although the highest number of samples were sent in January (293 samples), the highest number of *M. pneumoniae* positives were in October, which was followed by December (Table 2). 20 of 37 *M. pneumoniae* samples were detected in the autumn period for Turkey between October to December (Fig. 1).

There were 19 co-infections with viruses (Table 3). Rhino/enterovirus (n=12) was the most frequent accompanying virus. Rhino/enterovirus co-infections were seen in every season of the year. Rhino/enterovirus co-infections were seen in all the groups of pediatric patients. There were 5 bocavirus co-infections which were between October and February in the autumn and winter period of the Northern Hemisphere. All of the co-infections were seen in the pediatric age groups.

Although there are different techniques for detection of *M. pneumoniae*, nucleic acid amplification techniques are gaining importance and widely used for diagnosis [12]. COVID-19 pandemic has showed the importance of rapid diagnosis of viral infections by Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) [14,15,16]. As *M. pneumoniae* is a hard to cultivate bacteria, nucleic acid amplification techniques such as PCR can be used more in the diagnosis of atypical bacteria in

the near future [12.13]. Denmark and Israel is widely using PCR for diagnosis [12]. In addition respiratory panel tests including most common viruses and bacteria are gaining importance for the diagnosis of respiratory infections and most of the panels include M. Pneumoniae [14,16,17]. These panels can determine the causative agents in the respiratory specimens of patients only in a few hours which can be useful for the specific and rapid antimicrobial treatment. For the treatment of *M. pneumoniae*, macrolide antibiotics, such as azithromycin, are used as the first-line of treatment in many countries. Tetracyclines and fluoroquinolones are the other choices for the treatment of M. pneumonia [18-20].

We investigated the patients according to sex but difference statistical there was no for M.pneumoniae infections between males and females. pneumoniae positivity М. was statistically higher in the 0-1 age group among all other age groups. Although the highest number of positivity was in the 2-5 years group. 0-1 age group had the highest rate of positivity. Most of the patients were in the pediatric age group and only 1 of 37 patients were 25 years old, which means that *M. pneumoniae* infections are usually seen in children and young adults which is concordant with the literature [1,7,12]. In our laboratory we have been testing M. pneumoniae since 2015 and we had detected 17 Mycoplasma pneumoniae/Chlamydia pneumoniae positive patients in 311 respiratory samples [16]. Our previous kit was able to detect Mycoplasma pneumoniae/Chlamydia pneumonia not in alone but our newer kits provided the data that we detect M. pneumoniae much more frequent than C. pneumoniae. We detected only one C. pneumoniae between April 2018 and March 2020 which means that most of the Mycoplasma pneumonia pneumoniae/Chlamydia positive samples were М. pneumoniae between December 2015 and March 2018. Positivity of samples for *M. pneumoniae* was statistically higher than April 2018 and March 2020 which may be due to the seasonal variation of the disease and the common peak seen in 2015 in the European countries [12]. M. pneumoniae has infection peaks within 4 to 7 years in moderate is understood climates. Little about the of pneumoniae transmission М. within populations and several factors have been postulated to account for transmission dynamics, including the immunity level of the population, the bacterial population based on the P1 adhesin type, the age and extent of mixing of children in

Agca; JAMMR, 33(21): 8-14, 2021; Article no.JAMMR.75938

educational settings [12]. Our study highlights that the seasonality and age groups are in accordance with the literature [12,21,22]. Seasonality of *M. pneumoniae* is changing among countries. In this study we found that most of the infections were in October, November and December. Eurosurveillence data shows that *M. pneumoniae* infections have peaks between weeks 27 and 39 [12]. Our peaks were in 45th week of 2018 and 41th week of 2019. Additionally an outbreak of *M. pneumoniae* was recorded in Finland in 2017 and 2018 and Kurkela et al. [23] found that the peak was in 44th week. These data show that our findings are concordant with the literatüre and this means that climate conditions of Turkey is suitable for M. pneumoniae infections in later weeks of the year.

There is plenty of data about *M. pneumoniae* coinfections with viruses. Zhao et al. [24] found that 38% of *M. pneumonia* were a part of coinfections which is lower than our ratio (58%). Zhao et al. [24] showed the most common coinfections were with rhinoviruses, followed by parainfluenza viruses. Our data about the most common co-infection is concordant with the data of Zhao et al.[24]. In addition, M. pneumoniae and Streptococcus pneumoniae co-infections are common [24,25]. Zhang et al. [26] found that M. pneumoniae was a part of co-infections in 27% of infections; most of the co-infections were with S. pneumoniae among bacteria and bocavirus and rhinovirus among viruses which is concordant with our data. As being a part of co-infections diagnosis of respiratory infections should be done by multiplex PCR panels including most common viruses and M. pneumoniae for rapid diagnosis and specific antimicrobial treatment [27,28].

This study has a limitation; data was collected from single center.

Table 1. Number of test requests and *M. pneumoniae* positivity according to age groups

Age	Test request	M. pneumoniae (+)	Positivity rate %
0-1	109	9	8.3
2-5	520	16	3.1
6-17	364	11	3.0
≥18	252	1	0.4
Total	1245	37	3.0

Months	Total samples	Positive samples (%)
January	293	2(0.7)
February	182	4(2.2)
March	160	3(1.9)
April	70	3(4.3)
May	90	1(1.1)
June	19	1(5.3)
July	29	1(3.4)
August	56	0(0.0)
September	53	2(3.8)
October	95	8(8.4)
November	86	7(8.1)
December	112	5(4.5)

Table 2. Number of requests and *M. Pneumoniae* positive samples according to months.

#### Table 3. Mycoplasma pneumoniae co-infections with viruses

Virus	Number
Rhino/enterovirus	12
Bocavirus	5
RSV	2
Parainfluenza virus	2

Abbrevations: RSV respiratory syncytial virus



Fig. 1. Number of *M. pneumoniae* positive samples according to months (Vertical line: Number, horizontal line months)

## 4. CONCLUSION

*M. pneumoniae* is an important agent for respiratory infections. Our study revealed that *M. pneumonia* infections are found in about 3% of respiratory samples. *M. pneumoniae* is usually a part of co-infections accompanied by bacteria and viruses. Rhinovirus is the most common co-infecting virus. Patients with respiratory infection symptoms should be diagnosed with multiplex rapid PCR panels including *M. pneumoniae*.

## DISCLAIMER

The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

## CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, patient's consent has been collected and preserved by the authors.

## ETHICAL APPROVAL

All authors hereby declare that the study has been performed in accordance with the ethical

standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Waites KB, Xiao L, Liu Y, Balish MF, Atkinson TP. Mycoplasma pneumoniae from the Respiratory Tract and Beyond. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2017;30:747-809.
- 2. Murray HW, Tuazon C. Atypical pneumonias. Med Clin North Am. 1980;64: 507–527.
- 3. Cunha BA. The atypical pneumonias: clinical diagnosis and importance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2006;12 Suppl 3:12-24. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2006.01393.x
- 4. Dumke R, Stolz S, Jacobs E, Juretzek T. Molecular characterization of macrolide resistance of a Mycoplasma pneumoniae strain that developed during therapy of a patient with pneumonia. Int J Infect Dis. 2014;29:197-9.
- Zhao F, Guan X, Li J, Liu L, Gong J, He L, Meng F, Zhang J. Real-Time PCR and quantitative culture for mycoplasma pneumoniae load in pharyngeal swabs from children at preliminary diagnosis and discharge. Biomed Res Int. 2020; 9814916.

Agca; JAMMR, 33(21): 8-14, 2021; Article no.JAMMR.75938

- Michelow IC, Olsen K, Lozano J, Rollins NK, Duffy LB, Ziegler T, et al. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of community-acquired pneumonia in hospitalized children. Pediatrics. 2004;113:701–7.
- Meyer Sauteur PM, Van Rossum AMC, Vink C. Mycoplasma pneumoniae in children: Carriage, pathogenesis, and antibiotic resistance. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2014;27: 220–7
- Moynihan KM, Barlow A, Nourse C, Heney C, Schlebusch S, Schlapbach LJ. Severe Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection in children admitted to pediatric intensive care. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2018;37:e336–8.
- 9. Youn YS, Lee KY. Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia in children. Korean J Pediatr. 2012;55:42–7.
- Li J, Sun L, Wu X, Guo Y, Jiao W, Xiao J, Xu B, Shen A. Early Diagnosis of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in Children: Simultaneous Amplification and Testing (SAT) Is the Key. Front Pediatr. 201925;7:441.
- Kawai Y, Miyashita N, Kubo M, Akaike H, Kato A, Nishizawa Y, et al. Nationwide surveillance of macrolide-resistant Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection in pediatric patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(8):4046-9.
- 12. Beeton ML, Zhang XS, Uldum SA, Bébéar C, Dumke R, Gullsby K, leven M, Loens K, Nir-Paz R, Pereyre S, Spiller OB, Chalker VJ; ESCMID Study Group for Mycoplasma and Chlamydia Infections (ESGMAC) Mycoplasma pneumoniae subgroup members not listed as an individual author. Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections, 11 countries in Europe and Israel, 2011 to 2016. Euro Surveill. 2020;25:1900112.
- Bustin SA, Beaulieu JF, Huggett J, Jaggi 13. R, Kibenge FS, Olsvik PA, Penning LC, MIQE précis: Toegel S. Practical implementation of minimum standard fluorescence-based quidelines for quantitative real-time PCR experiments. BMC Mol Biol. 2010;11:74. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2199-11-74 PMID: 20858237; PMCID: PMC2955025.
- 14. Agca H, Akalin H, Saglik I, Hacimustafaoglu M, Celebi S, Ener B. Changing epidemiology of influenza and other respiratory viruses in the first year of COVID-19 pandemic. J Infect Public Health. 2021;14(9):1186-1190.

- Younes N, Al-Sadeq DW, Al-Jighefee H, Younes S, Al-Jamal O, Daas HI, Yassine HM, Nasrallah GK. Challenges in Laboratory Diagnosis of the Novel Coronavirus SARS-CoV-2. Viruses. 2020;12(6):582.
- 16. Agca H, Ener B. Viral and atypical bacterial respiratory infections in a university teaching hospital. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2019;72:318-322.
- Zhang Y, Cao L, Xu Z, Zhu P, Huang B, Li K, Xu Y, Zhang Z, Wu Y, Di B. Evaluation of a multiplex PCR assay for detection of respiratory viruses and Mycoplasma pneumoniae in oropharyngeal swab samples from outpatients. J Clin Lab Anal. 2020;34(1):e23032.
- Xin D, Mi Z, Han X, Qin L, Li J, Wei T, et al. Molecular mechanisms of macrolide resistance in clinical isolates of Mycoplasma pneumoniae from China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(5):2158-9.
- Zhao F, Lv M, Tao X, Huang H, Zhang B, Zhang Z, et al. Antibiotic sensitivity of 40 Mycoplasma pneumoniae isolates and molecular analysis of macrolide-resistant isolates from Beijing, China. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(2): 1108-9.
- Gullsby K, Olsen B, Bondeson K. Molecular typing of mycoplasma pneumoniae strains in Sweden from 1996 to 2017 and the emergence of a new P1 cytadhesin Gene, Variant 2e. J Clin Microbiol. 2019;57(6):e00049-19.
- Rasmussen JN, Voldstedlund M, Andersen RL, Ellermann-Eriksen S, Jensen TG, Johansen HK, et al. Increased incidence of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections detected by laboratory-based surveillance in Denmark in 2010. Euro Surveill. 2010;15(45):19708.
- Zhang XS, Zhao H, Vynnycky E, Chalker V. Positively interacting strains that cocirculate within a network structured population induce cycling epidemics of Mycoplasma pneumoniae. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):541.
- Kurkela S, Puolakkainen M, Hokynar K, Nieminen T, Saxen H, Mannonen L, Pietikäinen R. Mycoplasma pneumoniae outbreak, Southeastern Finland, 2017-2018: molecular epidemiology and laboratory diagnostic lessons. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2019;38(10):1867-1871.

Agca; JAMMR, 33(21): 8-14, 2021; Article no.JAMMR.75938

- Zhao MC, Wang L, Qiu FZ, Zhao L, Guo WW, Yang S, Feng ZS, Li GX. Impact and clinical profiles of Mycoplasma pneumoniae co-detection in childhood community-acquired pneumonia. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):835.
- Toikka P, Juvén T, Virkki R, Leinonen M, Mertsola J, Ruuskanen O. Streptococcus pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae coinfection in community acquired pneumonia. Arch Dis Child. 2000;83(5): 413-4.
- 26. Zhang X, Chen Z, Gu W, Ji W, Wang Y, Hao C, He Y, Huang L, Wang M, Shao X,

Yan Y. Viral and bacterial co-infection in hospitalised children with refractory Mycoplasma pneumoniae pneumonia. Epidemiol Infect. 2018;146(11): 1384-1388.

- 27. Jain S, Self WH, Wunderink RG, Fakhran S, Balk R, Bramley AM, et al. Communityacquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization among U.S. adults. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:415427.
- Sánchez-Vargas FM, Gómez-Duarte OG. Mycoplasma pneumoniae-an emerging extra-pulmonary pathogen. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2008;14(2):105-17.

© 2021 Agca; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/75938