



# Heterotic Performance and Inbreeding Depression for Yield and Component Traits in Bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em.Thell.)

Anita Burdak <sup>a\*</sup>, Ved Prakash <sup>b</sup>, B. L. Kakralya <sup>c</sup>,  
Deepak Gupta <sup>a</sup> and Rekha Choudhary <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, S. K. N Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

<sup>b</sup> Division of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Rajasthan Agriculture Research Institute, Durgapura S. K. N Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Plant Physiology, S. K. N Agriculture University, Jobner, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i31681

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96247>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/12/2022

Accepted: 02/02/2023

Published: 01/03/2023

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** To determine the extent of heterosis, heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression in bread wheat and identified superior cross combinations for hybrid seed production of wheat at commercial level.

**Study Design:** The evaluation was carried out in Randomized block design with three replications.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The experimental material was evaluated during Rabi 2021-22 at RARI, Durgapura.

**Methodology:** The ten genotypes of wheat viz. Raj 3077, Raj 4079, Raj 3765, PBW 343, PBW

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: burdak94skn@gmail.com;

590, DBW 17, HD 2967, HD 3059, WH 1021 and UP 2425 were selected and crossed in half diallel fashion (excluding reciprocals) during Rabi 2018-19 to produce F<sub>1</sub>'s. During kharif 2019, half of F<sub>1</sub>'s seed was raised at IARI Regional Station Wellington (Tamil Nadu) to get F<sub>2</sub>'s seed for experimentation. The experimental unit comprising 10 parents along with their 45 F<sub>1</sub>'s and 45 F<sub>2</sub>'s were evaluated during Rabi 2021-22 at RARI, Durgapura.

**Results:** The crosses Raj 4079 x Raj 3765, Raj 3765 x PBW 343, Raj 3765 x WH 1021, Raj 4079 x HD 2967, Raj 3765 x HD 2967 and Raj 4079 x PBW 343 exhibited significant and desired heterobeltiosis over better parent for grain yield per plant and also showed significant and desirable heterobeltiosis for most of other yield attributing characters. For grain yield Raj 3077 x HD 3059, PBW 590 x HD 2967 and HD 2967 x WH 1021 revealed significant and negative inbreeding depression.

**Conclusion:** Nature and magnitude of heterosis aids in recognizing superior cross combinations to get better transgressive segregants. Six superior hybrids may be exploited commercially for getting benefits of heterosis for grain yield and its other component traits in bread wheat.

*Keywords: Heterotic performance; bread wheat; inbreeding depression; grain yield.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em. Thell) is staple food for all over the world which belongs to Poaceae (Gramineae) family. It is annual self-pollinated cereal crop having chromosome number  $2n = 6x = 42$  (allohexaploid). It is popularly known as 'King of the cereals' because of its high cultivation area and production (Sharma et al., 2019) and the prominent position it holds in the international food grain trade. Wheat grain contains starch (60- 68%), protein (7-15%) (Ciclitira and Ellis, [1], fat (1.5-2.0%), cellulose (2.0-2.5%), minerals (1.8%) and vitamins. "The uniqueness of wheat in contrast to other cereals is that wheat contains gluten protein which enables leavened dough to rise by forming minute gas cells and this property enables bakers to produce light breads. In India, it is grown in about 30.54 million hectares with the production of about 106.41 million tonnes with an average productivity of 3484 kg/ha" (Anonymous [2].

"The exploitation of heterosis is a milestone innovation in modern agriculture and it is considered to be one of the pillars of global food security. In a self pollinated crop like wheat, the utilization of heterosis depends mainly upon the direction and magnitude of heterosis. The study of heterosis and inbreeding depression has a direct bearing on the breeding methodology to be employed for varietal improvement" [2]. "In case of self-fertilizing crops where identification of superior cross combinations is the breeding objective heterobeltiosis is more useful than heterosis from the breeder's point of view. However,

heterosis in wheat crop will not be worthwhile unless, it is practicable for development of hybrid varieties. F<sub>1</sub> hybrid carrying heterotic effects, which are featured in all crop species, the yield gains are limited to the F<sub>1</sub> generation. The F<sub>2</sub> and succeeding generations obtained through selfing are discarded since reduced yields and developmental characters" (Wang et al. [3]. "Heterosis is considered as the superiority of the hybrids in comparisons to either of its parents. It is the allelic or non-allelic interaction of genes under the influence of specific environment. Heterosis has been estimated in a range of cultivated crops and has been the purpose of considerable importance to study as mean of increasing productivity of crop plant" [3]. The present study has been carried out to estimate the heterosis (%) over mid parent (MP), and better parent (BP) and inbreeding depression (ID) for quantitative and qualitative traits in a 10x10 diallel set in bread wheat to identify parental lines that could be used for commercial production of hybrid wheat as well as isolation of pure lines among the progenies of heterotic F<sub>1</sub> for further amelioration of grain yield in bread wheat.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material consisted of ten genetically diverse bread wheat varieties, viz. Raj 3077, Raj 4079, Raj 3765, PBW 343, PBW 590, DBW 17, HD 2967, HD 3059, WH 1021 and UP 2425. These genotypes were crossed in all possible combinations excluding reciprocals during Rabi 2018-19 to generate F<sub>1</sub>s. In kharif 2019, half of F<sub>1</sub>'s seed was raised at IARI Regional Station Wellington (Tamil

Nadu) to get  $F_2$ 's seed for experimentation. Final experimental material comprising 10<sup>1</sup> parents along with 45  $F_1$ 's and 45  $F_2$ 's were evaluated during *Rabi* 2021-22 at RARI, Durgapura. "The genotypes under study were planted in a Randomized Block Design with three replications per entry and one row (3m) per replication. Plant to plant spacing of 10 cm and row-to-row spacing of 30 cm were maintained. Standard agronomic practices were used for raising and maintenance of the plants" [4].

Observations were recorded on plot basis for days to heading and days to maturity, while rest of the characters viz. plant height (cm), fertile tillers per plant, flag leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), spike length (cm), number of grains per spike, 1000-grain weight (g), biomass per plant (g), grain yield per spike (g), grain yield per plant (g) and harvest index (%) were recorded on 10 randomly selected plants for parents,  $F_1$ 's and while 40 plants for  $F_2$ 's. Analysis of variance, heterosis, heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression were calculated as per standard procedures given by Fonseca and Patterson [5] and Panse and Sukhatme [6].

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance Table 1 depicted significant differences among the genotypes, parents and generations for all studied characters, established the circumstances that characters displayed the presence of ample genetic diversity among the genotypes, parents and generations. Likewise,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  generations also exhibited significant differences for all studied characters. The mean squares due to  $F_1$  vs  $F_2$  were found significant for all studied characters. Correspondingly, the differences among the parents vs generations were significant for all studied characters revealed the presence of heterosis. The similar results were observed for different characters by earlier researchers such as Kamaluddin et al. [7], Pancholi et al. [8], Zare-Kohan and Heidari [9], Singh et al. [10] and Nageshwar et al. [11].

"The magnitude of heterosis provides information on the extent of genetic diversity in parents of a cross and helps in choosing the parents for superior  $F_1$ 's, so as to exploit hybrid vigour" [4]. The extent of heterosis in a crop depends on its exploitation uses and achievability of hybrid seed production. The

appropriate technique of hybrid seed production at commercial scale is not yet available in wheat due to its self-pollinating nature. Therefore, currently in this crop heterosis *per se* may not be of any economic importance. "However, it indicates genetic potential of parental combination and if the heterosis is due to epistatic gene effects, particularly of additive x additive type or due to repulsion phase linked loci, exhibiting partial or complete dominance, it is possible to fix the alleles at interacting state to preserve the heterotic effects in the pure lines, means transgressive segregants are possible" (Arunachalam et al. [12]. The allopolyploid nature of wheat will also favour preservation of such hybrid vigour for a considerable number of generations. Nevertheless, understanding of degree and extent of heterosis is imperative for determining the direction of imminent breeding programme and the selection of promising crosses to achieve superior segregants in advance generations for future improvement of grain yield in bread wheat.

Heterosis over better parent or heterobeltiosis is more imperative and useful in determining the feasibility of commercial exploitation of heterosis and also specifying the parental combination capable of producing the maximum level of Transgressive segregants. Hence, the heterosis measured in terms of superiority over the better parent is more treasured, which decides whether an experimental hybrid is worth exploiting or not.

The magnitude of heterosis and number of cross combinations showing heterosis over better parent and inbreeding depression for grain yield per plant and its related characters are present in Table 2. Grain yield per plant in wheat is the character of economic importance for which 28 hybrids over mid parent and 14 hybrids over better parent exhibited significant and positive heterosis while 5 crosses exhibited significant inbreeding depression. Several hybrids exhibited significant and desirable direction of heterobeltiosis and inbreeding depression for various characters such as days to 50% heading (15 hybrids); days to maturity (15 and 1 hybrids); plant height (20 and 1 hybrids); number of fertile tillers per plant (20 hybrids); flag leaf area (18 and 5 hybrids); spike length (15 hybrids); number of grains per spike (13 and 1 hybrids); 1000 grain weight (15 and 5 hybrids); biomass per plant (17 and 2 hybrids); grain yield per

**Table 1. Analysis of variance (mean squares) for parents, F<sub>1</sub>'s and F<sub>2</sub>'s for yield and its contributing traits**

Characters	Source of variation								
	Replication	Genotypes	Parents	Generation	F <sub>1</sub> 's	F <sub>2</sub> 's	F <sub>1</sub> vs F <sub>2</sub>	Parents vs generation	Error
Df	(2)	(99)	(9)	(89)	(44)	(44)	(1)	(1)	(198)
Days to 50% heading	0.57	101.46**	41.49**	107.83**	86.49**	130.69**	40.83**	73.67**	3.5
Days to maturity	0.37	131.06**	30.81**	139.88**	119.41**	160.65**	126.76**	248.43**	7.62
Plant height	39.2	242.74**	97.27**	258.57**	214.92**	302.45**	248.39**	143.69**	20.54
Fertile tillers/plant	0.43	5.68**	2.07**	5.78**	3.81**	7.79**	3.95**	29.46**	0.37
Flag leaf area	10.04	218.75**	59.01**	232.29**	216.42**	252.86**	25.35*	452.01**	5.5
Spike length	0.99	6.98**	1.62**	7.48**	6.51**	8.54**	3.73**	10.81**	0.43
Number of grains/spike	0.06	77.59**	23.98**	81.12**	66.46**	96.8**	36.36*	245.84**	5.77
1000-grain weight	2.58	21.96**	9.4**	21.72**	20.39**	23.36**	7.9*	156.3**	1.57
Biomass/plant	5.23	93.31**	37.11**	92.11**	67.81**	117.19**	57.57*	706.03**	10.79
Grain yield/plant	1.01	63.51**	13.75**	65.99**	60.85**	69.49**	138.07**	290.83**	4.2
Grain yield/spike	0.01	0.64**	0.41**	0.64**	0.56**	0.73**	0.18**	2.4**	0.02
Harvest index	0.25	109.41**	16.12*	119.25**	100.84**	120.89**	857.07**	73.11**	8.33

\*, \*\* significant at 5 and 1% per cent levels, respectively

**Table 2. Magnitude of heterosis (H), heterobeltiosis (HB) and inbreeding depression (ID) for different traits in bread wheat**

Traits	Range of heterosis					Inbreeding depression (%)		Number of cross combinations showing heterosis		Number of cross combinations showing Inbreeding depression
	Heterosis (%)		Heterobeltiosis (%)		Best cross with heterotic effect	Min.	Max.	Heterosis (%)	Heterobeltiosis (%)	
	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.						
Days to 50% heading	-12.79	11.35	-8.02	13.04	PBW 343 x WH 1021	-	3.51	27	15	-
Days to maturity	-10.11	8.47	-8.33	10.22	PBW 343 x WH 1021	16.67	5.15	26	15	1
Plant height	-15.11	14.87	-14.04	22.38	HD 2967 x WH 1021	10.54	10.79	24	20	1
Fertile tillers/plant	-10.20	31.01	-16.98	21.71	Raj 3765 x HD 2967	28.89	29.07	30	20	-
Flag leaf area	-36.24	41.39	-46.15	31.86	PBW 343 x WH 1021	11.07	17.25	27	18	5
Spike length	-21.61	23.22	-27.19	15.95	Raj 3765 x WH 1021	16.48	16.43	25	15	-
Number of grains/spike	-17.16	14.59	-18.80	13.10	Raj 3765 x UP 2425	-5.57	6.38	24	13	1
1000-grain weight	-7.31	13.48	-8.05	10.00	PBW 343 x HD 2967	10.55	5.58	28	15	5
Biomass/plant	-9.33	23.42	-11.96	19.92	WH 1021 x UP 2425	-6.18	10.26	30	17	2
Grain yield/spike	-12.01	47.96	-21.65	29.86	PBW 343 x WH 1021	12.36	17.13	30	15	-
Grain yield/plant	-26.22	38.73	-34.21	31.26	Raj 4079 x Raj 3765	-4.70	10.79	28	14	5
Harvest index	-29.26	15.10	-31.56	13.98	PBW 590 x HD 2967	16.19	9.98	16	9	20
						27.02				

**Table 3. Promising hybrids identified on the basis of per se performance and heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Hybrids</b>	<b>Per se performance of grain yield per plant (g)</b>	<b>Heterobeltiosis (%)</b>	<b>Significant heterobeltiosis for other traits in desired direction</b>
1.	Raj 4079 x Raj 3765	32.68	31.26	DH, DM, PH, FTP, FLA, SL, GS, GW, BY, GYS, HI
2.	Raj 3765 x PBW 343	31.13	30.24	DH, DM, PH, FTP, FLA, SL, GS, GW, BY, GYS, HI
3.	Raj 3765 x WH 1021	28.85	29.86	DH, DM, PH, FTP, FLA, SL, GS, GW, BY, GYS, HI
4.	PBW 343 x HD 2967	31.04	29.33	DH, DM, PH, FTP, FLA, SL, GW, BY, GYS, HI
5.	Raj 4079 x HD 2967	32.06	28.77	DH, DM, PH, FTP, FLA, SL, GS, GW, BY, GYS, HI
6.	Raj 3765 x HD 2967	30.78	28.24	DH, DM, PH, FTP, FLA, SL, GS, GW, BY, GYS, HI
7.	Raj 4079 x PBW 343	31.59	26.88	DH, DM, PH, FTP, FLA, SL, GS, GW, BY, GYS, HI

*DH*: Days to 50 % heading, *DM* : days to maturity, *PH* : plant height, *FTP* : fertile tillers per plant, *FLA* : flag leaf area, *SL* : Spike length, *GS* : number of grains per spike, *GW* : 1000-grainweight, *BY* : biomass per plant, *GYS* : grain yield per spike and *HI* : harvest index

spike (14 and 5 hybrids) and harvest index (9 and 20 hybrids), respectively. No inbreeding depression was observed in any cross for the days to 50% heading, fertile tillers per plant, spike length and grain yield per spike which can be used for maintain the specific gene pool for further utilization of improvement of wheat. The hybrid, PBW 343 x WH 1021 for days to 50% heading, days to maturity, flag leaf area and biomass per plant, HD 2967 x WH 1021 for days to maturity, Raj 3765 x HD 2967 for flag leaf area, Raj 3765 x WH 1021 for spike length, Raj 3765 x UP 2425 for number of grains per spike, PBW 343 x HD 2967 for 1000-grain weight, WH 1021 x UP 2425 for biomass per plant, Raj 4079 x Raj 3765 for grain yield per plant and PBW 590 x HD 2967 for harvest index showed significant and maximum heterosis over better parent. Similar finding was reported by Gaur et al. [13].

The utility of hybrid breeding technique lies in identification of the most heterotic and useful combinations in order to make commercial cultivation of hybrid beneficial. Table 3 reveals a significant relation between heterobeltiosis for grain yield per plant and its associated traits *i.e.* crosses which have desirable heterobeltiosis for grain yield, also exhibited desirable heterobeltiosis for most of the other yield attributes. "The high yielding hybrids were in general the most heterotic crosses which indicated close association between hybrid mean performance and manifestation of heterosis. The relative ranking of hybrids based on the mean performance. This suggested that selection of hybrids should be based on *per se* performance" [14].

The cross combinations Raj 4079 x Raj 3765, Raj 3765 x PBW 343, Raj 3765 x WH 1021, Raj 4079 x HD 2967, Raj 3765 x HD 2967 and Raj 4079 x PBW 343 exhibited significant and desired heterobeltiosis over better parent for grain yield per plant and also showed significant and desirable heterobeltiosis for Days to 50 % heading, days to maturity, plant height, fertile tillers per plant, flag leaf area, Spike length, number of grains per spike, 1000-grain weight, biomass per plant, grain yield per spike and harvest index. "These three superior hybrids may be exploited commercially for getting benefits of heterosis for grain yield and its component traits in wheat. The result of the present investigation clearly showed that considerable heterosis did

occur for all the characters studied. Hence, it is obvious that increase in yield of  $F_1$  hybrids is the result of increase in values of other yield contributing characters" [4]. Similar results were also recorded by Rasul et. al [15], Akinci [16], Kumar and Kerkhi [17], Thomas et al. [18], Kumar et al. [19] and Choudhary et al. [20].

Generally, the heterotic expression decreases in  $F_2$  generation as the dominance or dominance interaction effects dissipate in this generation due to decreased heterozygosity, resulting into inbreeding depression. For grain yield the crosses Raj 3077 x HD 3059, PBW 590 x HD 2967 and HD 2967 x WH 1021 revealed significant and negative inbreeding depression, indicated  $F_2$  plants accomplished higher grain yield per plant as compared to  $F_1$  hybrids. The significant and negative inbreeding depression for grain yield and other component characters reported by several earlier researchers such Singh [21], Ved Prakash and Joshi [22] and Nagar et al. [23].

"Inbreeding depression based on genetic variability indicated the positive and negative expression of genes in the population which could not be fixed for heterosis breeding. The heterosis and inbreeding depression jointly implement the criteria for positive selection. As indicated in the present study the succeeding generations showed the significant amount of dominance in the population with accumulation of additive and epistatic genes" [4]. Bailey et al. [24] observed that " $F_2$  progenies performance for inbreeding depression might be a good indicator of predicting heterosis performance in  $F_1$  hybrid of wheat".

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The analysis of variance depicted significant differences among the genotypes, parents and generations for all studied characters, established the circumstances that characters displayed the presence of ample genetic diversity among the genotypes, parents and generations. The cross combinations Raj 4079 x Raj 3765, Raj 3765 x PBW 343, Raj 3765 x WH 1021, Raj 4079 x HD 2967, Raj 3765 x HD 2967 and Raj 4079 x PBW 343 exhibited significant and desired heterobeltiosis over better parent for grain yield per plant and other yield attributing traits which may be exploited commercially for getting benefits of heterosis for grain yield and its other component traits in

wheat. For grain yield Raj 3077 x HD 3059, PBW 590 x HD 2967 and HD 2967 x WH 1021 showed significant and negative inbreeding depression indicated  $F_2$  plants accomplished higher grain yield per plant as compared to  $F_1$  hybrids.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are really thankful to department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, SKNAU, Jobner and Rajasthan Agriculture Research Institute, Durgapura, Jaipur, for providing all facilities for this study.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

1. Ciclitira PJ, Ellis HJ. Investigation of cereal toxicity in coeliac disease. Postgraduate Medical Journal. 1987;63(743):767-775.
2. Anonymous. Progress report of all India coordinated wheat and barley improvement project. Indian Institute of Wheat & Barley Research, Karnal, India; 2021-22a.
3. Wang L, Greaves IK, Groszmann M, Wua LM, Dennisa ES, Peacock WJ. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences, 2015;E4959–E4967.
4. Vijay Sharma, NS Dodiya, RB Dubey, SG Khandagale and Neelam Shekhawat. Estimation of heterosis for yield and some yield components in bread wheat. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2018;7(6):1742-1745.
5. Fonseca S, Patterson FL. Hybrid vigour in a seven parental diallel cross in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Crop Sci. 1968;51(9):623-626.
6. Panse VC, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Published by ICAR, New Delhi; 1985.
7. Kamaluddin Singh RM, Prasad LC, Abdin MZ, Joshi AK. Combining ability analysis for grain filling duration and yield traits in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. em Thell.). Genet. Mol. Biol. 2007;30(2):411- 416.
8. Pancholi SR, Sharma SN, Sharma Y, Maloo SR. Combining ability computation from diallel crosses comprising ten bread wheat cultivars. Crop Research.2012;43(1,2&3):131-141.
9. Zare-kohan M, Heidari B. Estimation of genetic parameters for maturity and grain yield in diallel crosses of five wheat cultivars using two different models. J. Agric. Sci. 2012;4(8):74-85.
10. Singh K, Sharma SN, Sharma Y, Tyagi BS. Combining ability for high temperature tolerance and yield contributing traits in bread wheat. J. Wheat Res. 2012;4(1):29-37.
11. Nageshwar, Singh SV, Singh M, Singh L, Kumar S, Nan Kumar N, Singh AK. Selection of good combiner for further crop improvement by diallel analysis for central plan zone in winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The Pharma Innov. J. 2021;10(12):910-921.
12. Arunachalam V, Bandhyopadhyay A, Nigam SN, Gibbons RW. Heterosis in relation to genetic divergence and combining ability in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Euphytica. 1984;33(1):33-39.
13. Gaur SC, Singh SN, Tiwari LP, Gaur LB. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in the inheritance of grain yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). Current Advances in Agricultural Sciences.2014;6(2):186-189.
14. Kumar A, Kumar V, Kerkhi SA, Kumar S, Chand P, Kumar N, Kumar D, Kumar M. Evaluation of heterosis for yield and yield related traits in bread Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Progressive Agriculture. 2014;14(1):151-159.
15. Rasul I, Khan AS, Zulfiqar A. Estimation of heterosis for yield and some yield components in bread wheat. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2002;4:214-216.
16. Akinci C. Heterosis and combining ability estimates in 6 x 6 half diallel crosses of durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.) Bulgarian J. Agric. Sci. 2009;15(3):214-221.
17. Kumar D, Kerkhi SA. Heterosis studies for yield component traits and quality in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). The Bioscan,2014;9(4):1725-1731.
18. Thomas N, Marker S, Lal GM, Dayal A. Study of heterosis for grain yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) over normal and heat stress condition. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017;6(4):824-830.



19. Kumar A, Razdan AK, Sharma V, Kumar N, Kumar D. Study of heterosis and inbreeding depression for economic and biochemical traits in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 2018; 7(4):558-564.
20. Choudhary M, Singh H, Punia, SS, Gupta D, Yadav M, Get S and Bijarana S. Estimation of heterosis for grain yield and some yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. Em. Thell.). The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(2): 611-614.
21. Singh RC. Role of heterosis and inbreeding depression in the inheritance of grain yield and its components in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Ann. Agric. Bio. Res. 2003;8(1):25-28.
22. Ved Prakash, Joshi P. Genetics of metric traits in spring wheat under normal and late sown environments. Crop Improv. 2003; 30: 177-187.
23. Nagar SS, Kumar P, Singh C, Gupta V, Singh G, Tyagi BS. Assessment of heterosis and inbreeding depression for grain yield and contributing traits in bread wheat. Journal of Cereal Research. 2019;11(2):125-130.
24. Bailey TB, Qualset CO, Cox DF. Predicting heterosis in wheat. Crop Science. 1980;20:339-342.

© 2023 Burdak et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/96247>