



Influence of Micronutrients (Boron and Zinc) on Uptake and Availability of Nutrients

**Tariq A. Bhat ^{a*}, Janeesa Nabi ^a, A. M. Rather ^a, F. Mushtaq ^a, M. A. Chattoo ^a,
M. Mushtaq ^b and Majid Rashid ^a**

^a *Division of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, Jammu and Kashmir, 190025, India.*
^b *Division of biotechnology, SKUAST –J, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2331613

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88537>

Original Research Article

Received 10 May 2022
Accepted 16 July 2022
Published 08 October 2022

ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out SKUAST –K in two consecutive years (2016 and 2017) to study the effect of zinc and boron and their interaction on nutrient uptake in onion on nutrient uptake and soil properties of onion. In the experiment it was observed that sole zinc and boron levels, Z₃ (7.500 kg Zn ha⁻¹) and B₃ (1.500 kg B ha⁻¹) application proved superior in enhancing the uptake of all nutrients but exhibited lowest value in case of phosphorus uptake. It was further observed that interaction of zinc and boron proved superior to their sole applications in increasing uptake of nutrients. Treatment combination Z₃B₃ (7.500 kg Zn + 1.500 kg B ha⁻¹) recorded significantly maximum value for uptake of nitrogen (113.93 kg ha⁻¹), potassium (67.17 kg ha⁻¹), boron (125.58 g ha⁻¹) and zinc (184.01 g ha⁻¹) but exhibited lowest value in case of phosphorus uptake. Sole application of zinc and boron and their combination exhibited a non-significant influence on soil pH, electric conductivity, organic carbon (%) and organic matter (%). Application of zinc (7.500 kg ha⁻¹) and boron (1.500 kg ha⁻¹) improved the availability of all nutrients except of phosphorus availability. Interactions of zinc and boron, proved synergistic in augmenting available nutrients except for phosphorus availability.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: bhattariqvc@gmail.com;

Keywords: Nitrogen availability and uptake; nutrients; fertilization; micronutrients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fertilization is an important practice required for cultivation of onion. Fertilizers offer the best means of increasing yield, quality and maintaining soil fertility. Results of various fertilizers have revealed that essential elements are important viz., nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, boron, zinc etc. Among them, nitrogen significantly contributes in vegetative and reproductive growth and thus helps for increasing yield. In most non-leguminous crop plants, leaves are the dominant organ in the amino acid synthesis and distribution [1]. Phosphorus is one of 17 nutrients essential for plant growth including energy transfer, transfer of genetic characteristics from one generation to the next etc. Potassium is vital to many plant processes such as enzyme activation, photosynthesis and stomatal activity by maintaining turgor pressure etc. Sulphur plays an important role in sugar production, imparts deep rich green colour in leaves [2].

In addition to nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur, zinc as a micronutrient have great role in the fertilization program to achieve higher and sustainable bulb yields [3]. Unfortunately zinc has received less attention in fertilizer management research, development and extension. Zinc is a micronutrient, which is required for plant growth and development relatively in small amount. Zinc is involved in the formation of chlorophyll and carbohydrate and is involved in a diverse range of enzyme system. The functional role of zinc includes auxin metabolism, influence on the activities of dehydrogenase and carbonic anhydrase enzymes, synthesis of cytochrome and stabilization of ribosomal fractions [4]. Zinc also plays very important role for grain formation and nutrition. Zinc is taken up by plants as Zn^{+2} . The main source of zinc is minerals e.g., sphalerite (ZnS), Smithsonite ($ZnCO_3$), Zinc sulphate ($ZnSO_4$) etc. Zinc deficient plants are stunted and have twisted, outward bending leaves. Older leaves take on an orange mottled appearance. Younger leaves have a faint chlorosis and yellow striping. Bulbing can be delayed and crops may not store well. Problems are more common on calcareous soils or during cold, wet weather [5] and nearly 50% of the soil which are used for cultivation of crops have low levels of bioavailable zinc [6]. Zinc deficiency is prevalent worldwide in temperate and tropical climates [7].

Zinc deficiency has been reported in soils of India and Kashmir [8] (Mandal et al., 2000). Soils are deficient of zinc in Kashmir and possess a range of 0.62 ± 0.09 ppm [9]. The critical level of zinc for soil is 0.64 ppm [10]. A statistical analysis of zinc in the soil of Himalaya and a value with a mean of $0.29 \text{ mg of zinc kg}^{-1}$ of soil was reported which is lower than the critical value [11]. The status of zinc in most parts of Pakistan occupied Kashmir soils were found 0.6 mg kg^{-1} which is lower than the normal range of $0.66\text{-}1.4 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ of soil [12]. Application of zinc significantly increased the yield and bulb quality of onion [13]. Dry weight of onion bulbs also gets significantly enhanced by applying zinc [14]. Application of zinc increased the growth and yield of onion [15]. Zinc application influences the quality and yield of onion [16].

Boron is essential for normal growth and production of sound and healthy vegetables. Boron has been linked with initiation and development of growing points, movement of sugars and starches to developing parts, movement of nutrient elements within the plant, formation of plant hormones affecting growth, root growth and health of fleshy roots, flower and fruit set and quality and flavour of vegetables [17]. Boron is one of the important micronutrients for onion production and is essential for cell division, nitrogen and carbohydrate metabolism, protein formation and water relation in plant growth (Brady, 2010). It is essential for cell wall formation. It also maintains balance between sugars and starch in plant body. It increases the growth of primary and lateral roots. Although it is quickly taken up from the soil, it is relatively immobile in the plant. Young leaves develop yellow and green mottling. Older leaves become yellow and undergo dieback. Light yellow lines appear and develop into ladder-like transverse cracks on the upper surfaces of older leaves. They become brittle and deep green in colour. Plants can be stunted or distorted. Soils with higher clay and organic matter content adsorb higher contents of boron than medium textured soils containing low organic matter. Most of the soils (fine as well as coarse textured) are considered to be low in available boron as it has been reported that $<0.50 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ boron is not sufficient for optimum plant growth (Reisenauer et al., 2008). Boron deficiency has been observed in soils with low organic matter contents (Valk and Bruin 2009). Soils of Jammu and Kashmir are mostly dominated by Lithic or

Typic Udorthents (Sidhu et al., 1999). These soils have already been reported to be deficient in boron (Mondal, 2002). The soils of Himalayas were found very low in boron (Khatri and Ghimire, 1992). Application of boron thus increases bulb size, bulb weight and yield of onion (Manna et al., 2014).

Boron and zinc are very essential micronutrients for onion growth, plant height and fresh weight of leaves. Combined application of zinc and boron exhibited significant influence on the vegetative growth of onion (Shajalal, 2011). In addition to above, combination of zinc and boron have been found to enhance the fresh weight of onion bulbs significantly (Manna et al., 2014). Conjugation of zinc and boron is good for the cultivation of onion as these micronutrients increases growth and yield [16]. The limited availability of zinc and boron in our soils calls urgent need for the standardization of an optimum dose of these micronutrients for harnessing higher yield and quality production of onion.

In view of above facts and has since no research work on the use of different levels of boron and zinc other than nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium and sulphur fertilizers in onion have been carried out in Kashmir, therefore, the present study was undertaken to investigate the "Interaction effect of zinc and boron on nutrient uptake and soil nutrients of onion".

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation in Kashmir valley was carried out during Rabi 2016-17 and 2017-18 at Vegetable Experiment Farm, Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-K, Shalimar. The details of the materials used, and the techniques adopted during the course of experimentation are described below:

Experimental Location and Experimental Materials: The vegetable experimental farm of the Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-Kashmir, Shalimar is 15 km away from Srinagar city on the foot hills of Mahadev. An onion variety namely Yellow Globe was grown at Vegetable Experimental Farm of Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-Kashmir. Two types of fertilizers (zinc sulphate and Solubor) with and without recommended dose of fertilizers were used as nutrient sources. Beckman's Glass Electrode pH Meter, Solubridge conductivity meter, Solubridge conductivity meter, Kjeldahl', Vanadomolybdate phosphoric acid, Flame

photometer, spectrophotometer, Azomethine -H, sulphuric acid, alkaline KMnO_4 etc., were used as instruments and chemical to carry out analysis of soil and plant samples.

Climate: The climate is temperate with June and July being hottest months and December, January and February the coldest months.

Soil Characteristics of the Experimental Site:

The of soil analysis before laying out the experiment Ph (7.51), EC (0.144 ds m^{-1}), organic carbon (0.51%), available N ($288.83 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$), available P (15.71 kg ha^{-1}), available K ($155.97 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$), available S (26.23 kg ha^{-1}), available Zn (0.58 mg kg^{-1}) and available B (0.41 mg kg^{-1}) and methods employed for estimation of Ph (1:2.5 soil water suspension with Beckman's Glass Electrode pH Meter, Jackson, 1967), EC (Solubridge conductivity meter- Jackson, [18]), organic carbon (Walkley and Blacks Method, 1934), available N (Alkaline potassium permanganate method given by Subbiah and Asija in 1956), available P (Olsens Method, 1954), available K (Extraction with Neutral Normal Ammonium Acetate, Jackson, 1967), available S (Extraction by Williamns and Steinberg, 1959 method and determination by turbidimetric, Chesnin and Yien, [19]), available Zn (Atomic absorption spectrophotometer) and available B (Azomethine -H method, John et al. 1975).

Experimental Details: The present investigation was carried out during Rabi 2016-17 and Rabi 2017-18 at vegetable experiment farm, Division of Vegetable Science, SKUAST-Kashmir, Shalimar. The experimental was laid in RCBD with 16 treatment combinations in 3 replications. Detail of treatment combinations are described chart 1.

Observations Recorded:

Plant analysis: Based on the nutrient concentration in plants the uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, zinc and boron was worked out by multiplying dry matter content (kg ha^{-1}) with respective nutrient concentration (%) in plant samples. The methods employed for estimation of these nutrients include Micro Kjeldahl's method for uptake of nitrogen (Tandon, 1993), Vanadomolybdate phosphoric acid yellow colour method using spectrophotometer for uptake of phosphorus [18], flame photometer method for uptake of potassium, Turbidimetric method using spectrophotometer for uptake of

Chart 1. Treatment combinations and their detail

Treatment	Treatment combinations	Structure of the treatment Combination (kg ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	Z ₀ B ₀ (control)	No Zinc + No Boron
T ₂	Z ₀ B ₁	No Zinc + 0.500 Boron
T ₃	Z ₀ B ₂	No Zinc + 1.000 Boron
T ₄	Z ₀ B ₃	No Zinc + 1.500 Boron
T ₅	Z ₁ B ₀	2.500 Zinc + No Boron
T ₆	Z ₁ B ₁	2.500 Zinc + 0.500 Boron
T ₇	Z ₁ B ₂	2.500 Zinc + 1.000 Boron
T ₈	Z ₁ B ₃	2.500 Zinc + 1.500 Boron
T ₉	Z ₂ B ₀	5.000 Zinc + No Boron
T ₁₀	Z ₂ B ₁	5.000 Zinc + 0.500 Boron
T ₁₁	Z ₂ B ₂	5.000 Zinc + 1.000 Boron
T ₁₂	Z ₂ B ₃	5.000 Zinc + 1.500 Boron
T ₁₃	Z ₃ B ₀	7.500 Zinc + No Boron
T ₁₄	Z ₃ B ₁	7.500 Zinc + 0.500 Boron
T ₁₅	Z ₃ B ₂	7.500 Zinc + 1.000 Boron
T ₁₆	Z ₃ B ₃	7.500 Zinc + 1.500 Boron

FYM, Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P₂O₅), Potassium (K₂O) and Sulphur was applied as per recommended package for the region i.e., 25 t ha⁻¹, 100 kg ha⁻¹, 80 kg ha⁻¹, 60 kg ha⁻¹ and 45 kg ha⁻¹ respectively

sulphur [19], Azomethine –H method for uptake of boron and Atomic absorption spectrophotometer for uptake of zinc [20].

Soil nutrient analysis: Representative soil samples of the experimental site before the start of experiment as well as after the harvest of each crop from each treatment were taken from a depth of 0-15 cm and analyzed for pH, E.C, organic carbon, organic matter, available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, boron and zinc using standard procedure.

pH and Electrical conductivity (ds m⁻¹): The pH of the soil sample was determined by digital pH meter in 1:2.5 ratio of soil water suspension [18] and Electrical conductivity was estimated by solubridge conductivity meter- Jackson [18].

Organic carbon (%) and Organic matter (%): The organic carbon content was estimated by Walkley and Black (1934) oxidation method where organic matter in finely ground soil was oxidised by chromic acid by making use of heat of dilution of sulphuric acid for reaction (Jackson, 1967). Organic carbon content of soils was expressed in percent and for estimation of organic matter per cent, organic carbon per cent was multiplied by Benlemns factor (1.72) (Walkley and Black, 1934).

Available nitrogen (kg ha⁻¹): Available nitrogen was estimated by alkaline KMnO₄ method where the organic matter in soil was oxidised with hot alkaline KMnO₄ solution. The ammonia (NH₃)

evolved during oxidation was distilled and trapped in boric acid mixed indicator solution. The amount of NH₃ trapped was estimated by titrating with standard acid (Subbiah and Asija, 1956).

Available phosphorus (kg ha⁻¹): Available phosphorus was extracted with sodium bicarbonate (0.5 M) at pH 8.5 (Olsen's reagent) and the amount of phosphorus in the extract was estimated by chloro-stannous reduced phosphorus molybdate blue colour method using spectrophotometer at wave length of 660 nm [18].

Available potassium (kg ha⁻¹): Available potassium was extracted with neutral normal ammonium acetate and determined by flame photometer (Jackson, 1967).

Available sulphur (kg ha⁻¹): Available sulphur was estimated by turbidmetric method [19] where CaCl₂ (0.15%) extract was reacted with barium chloride crystals and the intensity of turbidity formed was measured using spectrophotometer at a wave length of 420 nm [18].

Available Boron (ppm): Available boron was estimated by azomethine-H method John et al. (1975).

Available zinc (ppm): Available zinc was estimated by DTPA extraction method (Lindsay and Norwell, 1978).

Statistical analysis: In order to test the significance of results, the experimental data was subjected to statistical analysis as per the standard statistical procedure given by Gomez and Gomez (1984). Levels of significance used for 'F' and 'T' tests were $p=0.05$ as given by Fisher (1970). Statistical test was done by OP software.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of Zinc on Nutrient Uptake: Table 1 showed that increasing level of zinc from Z_0 . Z_3 registered an increase in nitrogen uptake of onion. Maximum uptake of $107.92 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ was recorded with treatment Z_3 ($7.500 \text{ kg Zn ha}^{-1}$) in pooled analysis which was significantly superior to the values recorded with other levels including control, which recorded an uptake of $89.51 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ in pooled data while pooled analysis of data revealed significant variation for phosphorus uptake due to zinc application. Increasing levels of zinc registered a decline in phosphorus uptake. Control registered maximum uptake of $13.60 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1}$, which was significantly superior to the values recorded with other levels, but was found statistically at par with Z_1 ($5.000 \text{ kg Zn ha}^{-1}$) recording an uptake of $13.47 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1}$. In case of potassium uptake and sulphur uptake, Z_3 recorded significantly maximum values of $62.17 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ and (22.48 kg ha^{-1}) respectively. Increasing level of zinc registered an increase in boron and zinc uptake and highest uptake of $114.48 \text{ g B ha}^{-1}$ and (22.48 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded with Z_3 ($7.500 \text{ kg Zn ha}^{-1}$) which were significantly superior as compared to other levels including control which recorded an uptake of $91.47 \text{ g B ha}^{-1}$ in both years. The possible reasons for enhanced uptake might be increased crop growth and yield due to enhanced nutrient utilization and translocation into the plant parts

resulting in higher uptake of nutrients with higher rates of zinc applications as reported by Meena and Singh [21] and Gugala et al., [22] in onion; Das et al. [23] and Banerjee et al., [24] in potato and Kavvadias [25] in spinach. Phosphorus uptake (12.59 kg ha^{-1}) was found lowest at highest rate of zinc application, which might be due to antagonistic effect between zinc and phosphorus as reported by Amin et al. [26] in sweet coron. Similar findings were found in onion by Hasani et al. [27] and Keram et al. [28]. Adequate boron nutrition improves root uptake of potassium by maintaining proper function (through ATPase activity) and structure of root cell membranes. The higher uptake of nutrients with addition of boron might be attributed to increased vigour of crop growth with enhanced nutrient utilization and translocation into different plant parts as reported by Shamsuddoha et al., [29] in mungbean. The results are in agreement with findings of Francois [30] and Ali and Ceyhan [31] in onion; Davis et al., [32] in tomato; Patel and Golakiya [33] in groundnut; Rajaie et al., [34] in lemon and Ali et al., [35] in tobacco.

Pooled data over years revealed a significant increase in nitrogen uptake with increasing level of boron. Highest uptake of $103.96 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ was recorded with B_3 which were significantly superior to the uptake recorded with other levels including control, which recorded a nitrogen uptake of 92.69 kg ha^{-1} . Pooled analysis of data revealed, increase in boron levels resulted decrease in the phosphorus uptake. Highest uptake of phosphorus (13.48 kg ha^{-1}) was recorded with B_0 and was significantly superior to other treatments except B_1 where it exhibited statistically at par results ($13.29 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1}$). B_3 recorded significantly lowest value of 12.91 kg ha^{-1} for

Table 1. Effect of different levels of zinc on nutrient uptake in onion

Zinc	Nitrogen uptake	Phosphorus uptake	Potassium uptake	Sulphur uptake	Boron uptake	Zinc uptake
	(kg ha^{-1})	(kg ha^{-1})	(kg ha^{-1})	(kg ha^{-1})	(g ha^{-1})	(g ha^{-1})
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
Z_0	89.51	15.71	44.67	13.68	91.47	134.88
Z_1	95.99	15.56	51.67	16.43	98.05	144.08
Z_2	103.39	14.58	58.89	21.33	108.92	156.83
Z_3	107.98	14.29	62.17	22.48	114.48	162.53
C.D ($p \leq 0.05$)	0.65	0.25	0.65	0.41	1.25	1.76
S.E (m)	0.22	0.07	0.23	0.14	0.43	0.61

uptake of phosphorus. Perusal of pooled data reflected that uptake of potassium were enhanced significantly with increase in levels of boron. Maximum uptake of potassium (59.19 kg ha^{-1}) was observed with B_3 (1.500 kg ha^{-1}) which was significantly superior to the values recorded with other levels. Control (B_0) recorded significantly minimum uptake of $48.46 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$. Perusal of the pooled data (Table 2) depicted that increasing level of boron registered an increase in boron uptake and significantly maximum uptake of $113.48 \text{ g B ha}^{-1}$ was recorded with B_3 ($1.500 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$) while lowest value of $91.46 \text{ g B ha}^{-1}$ was recorded with control. Pooled data regarding zinc uptake revealed that increasing levels of boron registered an increase in zinc uptake. Highest uptake 167.97 g ha^{-1} was recorded when boron was applied at the rate of $1.500 \text{ kg B ha}^{-1}$ (B_3) which was significantly superior to the uptake recorded with other levels including control, which recorded an uptake $129.11 \text{ g Zn ha}^{-1}$. Increase in the uptake of nitrogen might be due to increase in the activity of N-Rase enzyme which in turn increased the translocation of nitrogen. Adequate boron nutrition improves root uptake of potassium by maintaining proper function (through ATPase activity) and structure of root cell membranes. The higher uptake of nutrients with addition of boron might be attributed to increased vigour of crop growth with enhanced nutrient utilization and translocation into different plant parts as reported by Shamsuddoha et al., [29] in mungbean. The results are in agreement with findings of Francois [30] and Ali and Ceyhan [31] in onion; Davis et al., [32] in tomato; Patel and Golakiya [33] in groundnut; Rajaie et al., [34] in lemon and Ali et al., [35] in tobacco.

Interaction Effect of Different Levels of Zinc and Boron on Nutrient Uptake in Onion: Pooled analysis over years reveal that interaction

between different levels of zinc and boron exhibited a significant influence on uptake of nitrogen and other nutrients. Analysis revealed that maximum uptake of $113.93 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$, $67.17 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$, $25.96 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$, $125.58 \text{ g B ha}^{-1}$ and $184.01 \text{ g Zn ha}^{-1}$ with Z_3B_3 and found significantly superior to the uptake recorded with other treatment combinations including Z_0B_0 . Pooled analysis revealed that conjugation of zinc and boron did not cause any significant variation in the phosphorus uptake. The extent of increase in uptake of nitrogen, potassium boron and zinc due to Z_3B_3 was 34.71, 63.75, 52.88 and 55.26 percents, respectively over control. This might be possible due to synergistic effect of zinc and boron in augmenting uptake of all these nutrients. The increase in uptake may be attributed to more favourable conditions either through an increase in solubility in soil solution or by possible stimulation of root absorption. The better crop vigour and growth due to enhanced nutrient utilization and translocation of photosynthates from source to sink augmenting bulb yield of onion might be possible reason for increased uptake of nutrients as reported by Thiyageshwari and Ramanathan [36] in soyabean, Farshid [37] in corn and Singh et al. [38] in pea.

Effect of Different Levels of Zinc on Soil Properties: Pooled data in Table 4 reflected that, lower value of 6.71 for soil pH while highest values for EC (0.154 d Sm^{-1}), organic carbon (0.61%) and organic matter (1.04%) were recorded with zinc application at the rate of 7.500 kg ha^{-1} . However, the effects were found nonsignificant. The reduction in pH might have been possible due to neutralization of bases with application of zinc in the form of zinc sulphate. This might be due to the sulphate ions present in zinc sulphate. The increase in EC might be possible due to contribution of more ions as compared to lower levels while slight increase in

Table 2. Effect of different levels of boron on nutrient uptake in onion

Boron	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha^{-1})	Phosphorus uptake (kg ha^{-1})	Potassium uptake (kg ha^{-1})	Sulphur uptake (kg ha^{-1})	Boron uptake (g ha^{-1})	Zinc uptake (g ha^{-1})
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
B_0	92.69	13.48	48.46	15.29	91.46	129.11
B_1	98.02	13.29	53.29	17.25	101.05	143.88
B_2	102.21	13.12	56.45	19.63	107.92	160.88
B_3	103.96	12.91	59.19	21.35	113.48	167.97
C.D ($p \leq 0.05$)	0.65	0.25	0.65	0.41	1.25	1.76
S.E (m)	0.22	0.07	0.23	0.14	0.43	0.61

Table 3. Interaction effect of different levels of zinc and boron on nutrient uptake in onion

Zn x B	Nitrogen uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Phosphorus uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Potassium uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Sulphur uptake (kg ha ⁻¹)	Boron uptake (g ha ⁻¹)	Zinc uptake (g ha ⁻¹)
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
Z ₀ B ₀	84.58	13.96	41.02	11.87	82.14	118.52
Z ₀ B ₁	87.81	13.71	43.09	13.13	86.81	125.62
Z ₀ B ₂	92.51	13.53	46.24	14.54	95.28	134.47
Z ₀ B ₃	93.42	13.21	48.28	15.17	101.64	137.82
Z ₁ B ₀	89.65	13.71	45.91	13.99	88.33	129.79
Z ₁ B ₁	96.27	13.56	51.91	16.12	99.02	144.02
Z ₁ B ₂	97.63	13.42	53.29	17.03	100.48	147.51
Z ₁ B ₃	100.45	13.22	55.56	18.61	104.37	152.16
Z ₂ B ₀	96.16	13.60	52.35	16.68	95.94	142.89
Z ₂ B ₁	102.23	13.22	57.44	20.38	106.01	156.61
Z ₂ B ₂	107.14	13.07	60.07	22.32	112.47	167.88
Z ₂ B ₃	108.05	12.91	65.71	25.96	121.28	176.17
Z ₃ B ₀	100.39	12.88	54.57	18.61	99.32	148.32
Z ₃ B ₁	105.78	12.69	60.71	20.96	112.30	162.07
Z ₃ B ₂	111.84	12.46	60.21	24.64	120.71	178.32
Z ₃ B ₃	113.93	12.31	67.17	25.67	125.58	184.01
C.D	1.31	N.S	1.31	0.82	2.51	3.51
(p≤0.05)						
S.E (m)	0.45	0.11	0.46	0.28	0.86	1.22

organic carbon and organic matter might be due to acceleration of decomposition of FYM and other organic residues. The results are in conformity as reported by Meena et al. [39], Keram et al. (2012) and Dogra et al. [40]. Application of zinc at the rate of 7.500 kg ha⁻¹ (Z₃) recorded significantly higher value for available nitrogen (294.72 kg ha⁻¹), potassium (165.31 kg ha⁻¹), sulphur (29.29 kg ha⁻¹), boron (0.65 mg kg⁻¹) and zinc (1.01 mg kg⁻¹) as compared to rest of the levels but exhibited lower available phosphorus content of 14.29 kg ha⁻¹. Highest available phosphorus 15.71 kg ha⁻¹ was found when no zinc was applied to the soil. The increase in availability of nitrogen can be attributed to increase in population of soil microbes as zinc activates enzymatic activities of soil microflora [41,42]. Therefore, these microbes bring decomposition of organic matter which increases availability of nitrogen. Increase in organic matter addition prevents fixation of K⁺ by interacting with clay content of soil which might be the reason for increased availability of K⁺. Availability of sulphur increased with application of zinc as zinc sulphate. It might be due to presence of sulphate ions as sulphate is one of the constituents of zinc sulphate. Availability of

zinc increased with increased application of zinc. This could be possible due to increase in unused pool of nutrients in soil with application of zinc [42,43]. Availability of boron increased at highest level of zinc. This may possible due to decrease in pH resulting in better boron availability. Increasing level of zinc decreased availability of phosphorus which might be due to antagonistic effect between zinc and phosphorus. Our results are in line with those of Netrapal [44], Kavvadias et al. [25] and Keram et al. [28].

Effect of Different Levels of Boron on Soil Properties: Application of boron at the rate of 1.500 kg ha⁻¹ (B₃) recorded high values for soil pH (7.46), EC (0.157 d Sm⁻¹), organic carbon (0.61%) and organic matter (1.05%) but the effects were found non-significant (Table 5). Increase in pH might be due to formation of NaOH in soil with application of boron in the form of solubor as sodium is one of the constituent of solubor while increase in EC might be due to increase in ions. Further increase in organic carbon and organic matter might be due to either residual effect or to increase in decomposition. The results are in agreement with the findings of Barman et al. [45], Hemantha et al. [46] and

Table 4. Effect of different levels of zinc on soil properties

Zinc	pH	E.C (dSm ⁻¹)	O.C	O.M	Available Nitrogen	Available phosphorus	Available potassium	Available sulphur	Available zinc	Available Boron
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
Z ₀	7.43	0.147	0.52	0.94	289.85	15.71	158.17	26.61	0.64	0.53
Z ₁	7.29	0.150	0.56	0.97	291.44	15.56	162.18	27.45	0.76	0.56
Z ₂	7.22	0.151	0.58	0.99	293.58	14.58	162.63	28.18	0.88	0.61
Z ₃	6.71	0.154	0.61	1.04	294.72	14.29	165.31	29.29	1.01	0.65
C.D (p≤0.05)	N..S	N.S	N.S	N.S	1.01	0.25	0.58	0.23	0.011	0.011
S.E (m)	0.0092	0.0023	0.027	0.036	0.35	0.08	0.20	0.08	0.004	0.04

Table 5. Effect of different levels of boron on soil properties

Boron	pH	E.C (dSm ⁻¹)	O.C	O.M	Available Nitrogen	Available phosphorus	Available potassium	Available sulphur	Available zinc	Available Boron
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
B ₀	7.14	0.147	0.54	0.92	290.79	15.39	160.37	27.28	0.75	0.46
B ₁	7.17	0.149	0.56	0.96	291.90	15.12	161.52	27.61	0.80	0.55
B ₂	7.22	0.151	0.59	1.01	292.94	14.89	162.45	28.05	0.85	0.61
B ₃	7.46	0.157	0.61	1.05	293.96	14.82	164.35	28.61	0.90	0.71
C.D (p≤0.05)	N..S	N.S	N.S	N.S	1.01	0.25	0.58	0.23	0.011	0.011
S.E (m)	0.0092	0.0023	0.027	0.036	0.35	0.08	0.20	0.08	0.004	0.04

Table 6. Interaction effect of different levels of zinc and boron on soil properties

Zn x B	pH	E.C (dSm ⁻¹)	O.C	O.M	Available Nitrogen	Available phosphorus	Available potassium	Available sulphur	Available zinc	Available Boron
	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled	Pooled
Z ₀ B ₀	7.33	0.144	0.51	0.88	288.55	16.11	156.50	26.06	0.55	0.40
Z ₀ B ₁	7.34	0.146	0.54	0.92	289.17	15.74	157.41	26.36	0.66	0.50
Z ₀ B ₂	7.39	0.147	0.56	0.97	290.45	15.56	159.01	26.82	0.65	0.57
Z ₀ B ₃	7.88	0.151	0.58	1.00	291.24	15.44	161.38	27.18	0.83	0.64
Z ₁ B ₀	7.26	0.146	0.52	0.90	290.48	15.74	161.23	26.88	0.65	0.44
Z ₁ B ₁	7.28	0.148	0.56	0.95	290.84	15.70	161.86	27.15	0.75	0.53
Z ₁ B ₂	7.29	0.149	0.57	0.98	291.41	15.46	162.22	27.79	0.89	0.60
Z ₁ B ₃	7.59	0.151	0.60	1.04	293.01	15.34	163.41	28.12	1.00	0.66
Z ₂ B ₀	7.17	0.147	0.55	0.94	291.86	15.74	161.41	27.69	0.70	0.48
Z ₂ B ₁	7.21	0.151	0.57	0.97	293.07	15.70	162.40	28.06	0.81	0.58
Z ₂ B ₂	7.24	0.152	0.59	1.01	294.33	15.46	162.86	28.31	0.89	0.63
Z ₂ B ₃	7.35	0.155	0.60	1.04	295.05	15.34	163.83	28.67	0.95	0.73
Z ₃ B ₀	6.43	0.151	0.57	0.97	292.24	14.58	162.31	28.48	0.80	0.52
Z ₃ B ₁	6.66	0.154	0.58	1.00	294.54	14.38	164.39	28.85	0.92	0.59
Z ₃ B ₂	6.84	0.157	0.63	1.09	295.56	14.13	165.73	29.39	1.00	0.65
Z ₃ B ₃	7.00	0.158	0.65	1.11	296.54	14.03	168.77	30.47	1.09	0.83
C.D	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	N.S	1.16	0.45	0.022	0.022
(p≤0.05)										
S.E (m)	0.018	0.0046	0.054	0.071	0.69	0.52	0.41	0.16	0.008	0.08

Naveen et al. (2015). Boron application at the rate of 1.500 kg ha⁻¹ recorded significantly maximum values for available nitrogen (293.96 kg ha⁻¹), potassium (164.35 kg ha⁻¹), sulphur (28.61 kg ha⁻¹), boron (0.71 mg kg⁻¹) and zinc (0.90 mg kg⁻¹). The synergistic effect of boron with the major nutrient content of soil might have resulted in enhanced availability of plant nutrients. Boron availability increased with application of boron. This could be possible due to increase in unused pool of nutrients in soil with application of boron as reported by Lawandek (2011) in onion. Reduction of boron adsorbing free sesquioxides under boron application could be another reason for increased boron availability. Also zinc availability increased as synergetic reaction between zinc and boron. Boron at the highest rate registered low available phosphorus (14.82 kg ha⁻¹) which might be due to antagonistic reaction between phosphorus and boron. These findings are in confirmation with Chander et al., (2010), Parray et al., (2011) and Haribhushan et al., (2015).

Interaction Effect of Different Levels of Zinc and Boron on Soil Properties: Combined application of zinc and boron exhibited a non-significant effect on pH, EC, organic carbon and organic matter of soil (Table 6). Similar results were also obtained by Hallur et al. (2013), Singh et al. [38] and Sharma et al. (2016). Pooled analysis reflected maximum values for available nitrogen (296.54 kg ha⁻¹), potassium (168.77 kg ha⁻¹), sulphur (30.47 kg ha⁻¹), boron (0.83 mg kg⁻¹) and zinc (1.09 mg kg⁻¹) when zinc and boron were applied in conjugation Z₃B₃ (7.500 kg Zn + 1.500 kg B ha⁻¹). Maximum availability of nutrients might be due synergetic effects except in available phosphorus whose interaction effects were found non-significant, whereas increase in availability of boron and zinc might be due to increase in unused pool of nutrients in soil as reported by Hageman (2007) in brinjal. Present results are in line with those of Hemantha et al., [46], Abd EL-Kader (2013) and Kumbhar et al., (2017).

4. CONCLUSION

This study successfully highlighted the effect of zinc and boron and their interaction on nutrient uptake in onion on nutrient uptake and soil properties of onion. One of the key minerals for growing onions, boron is necessary for cell division, nitrogen and glucose metabolism, protein synthesis, and water relation in plant growth. A comparatively modest amount of zinc

is necessary for plant growth and development. With the exception of phosphorus, interactions between zinc and boron were found to increase the availability of nutrients.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Noctor G, Novitskaya L, Lea PJ, Foyer CH. Co-ordination of leaf minor amino acid contents in crop species, significance and interpretation. *J Exp Bot.* 2002; 53(370):939-945.
2. Tarlok SS . Importance of sulphur in crop production. Published in Ontario Farmer. Page B25 and Northwest Link. 2005;38:10-2.
3. Singh DP, Tiwari LS. Effect of micronutrients on yield and quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) variety Pusa Red. *Recent Hort.* 1996;3:111-117.
4. Tisdale SL, Nelson WL, Beaten JD. Zinc. Soil fertility and fertilizers. 4th ed. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company. 1984;382-91.
5. Diaz DR, Roozeboom KL, Thompson CR. Diagnosing corn production problems in Kansas publications from Kansas State University Are. 2013;40-42. Available:<http://www.ksre.ksu.edu>.
6. Cakmak P. Agronomic biofortification of soils with zinc. A review. *Eur J Soil Sci.* 2017;69:72-80.
7. Fageria NK, dos Santos AB, Cobucci T. Zinc nutrition of lowland rice. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 2011;42(14):1719-1727.
8. Wani MA, Wani JA, Bhat MA, Kirmani NA, Wani ZM, Bhat SN et al. Mapping of soil micronutrients in Kashmir agricultural landscape using ordinary kriging and indicator approach. *J Indian Soc Remote Sens.* 2013;41(2):319-328.
9. Yatoo MI, Devi SP, Kumar R, Sharma MC. Soil-plant-animal micro-mineral status and their interrelation in Kashmir valley. *Indian J Anim Sci.* 2011;81:68-70.
10. Sanchez PA. Properties and management of soils in the tropics. *Plant Soil.* 1996;93:11-18.
11. Rai SK, Pandey SP, Uprety R. Mapping and spatial zinc distribution in Himalayas. *Mt Res Dev.* 2005;27:49-56.

12. Nazif W, Sajida P, Iftikhar S. Status of micronutrients in soils of district bhimber (Pakistan occupied Kashmir). J Agric Biol Sci. 2006;1:35-39.
13. Rafie MR, Khoshgoftarmanesh AH, Darabi H. Influence of foliar applied zinc in the form of mineral and complexed with amino acids on yield and nutritional quality of onion under field conditions. Sci Hortic. 2016;216:160-168.
14. Gamelli EL, Hanna N, Hadi EL. The effect of some foliar fertilizers application on growth, bulb yield, quality and storage ability of onion. J Hortic. 2000;12:30-38.
15. Morteza S, Ahmad A. Investigation of the effect potassium and zinc sulfate levels on quality and quantity yield of Admiral F-1 HYB variety of onion (*Allium cepa*). J Novel Appl Sci. 2015;6:34-38.
16. Aske V, Jain PK, Govind S. Effect of Micronutrients on yield, quality and storability of onion cv. Bhima Super. Trends Biosci. 2017;10:1354-1358.
17. Vitosh ML, Warncke DD, Lucas RE. Secondary and micronutrients for vegetables and field crops. Crop Soil Sci. 2001;5:18-36.
18. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall India Pvt Ltd New Delhi. 1973;14-25.
19. Chesnin L, Yien CH. Turbid metric determination of available sulphate. Soil Sci Soc Am Proc. 1951;15:149-51.
20. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. New York: Academic press (USA). 1966;47-77.
21. Meena GS, Singh KS. Effect of zinc levels on sulphur and zinc uptake in three soil orders. J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 1999;46: 636-640.
22. Gugala M, Zarzecka K, Mystkowska I. Copper and zinc contents in edible potato tubers influenced by an application of new generation insecticides. Fresenius Environ Bull, Poland. 2011;48:190.
23. Das K, Raman D, Pintu S. Interaction effect between phosphorus and zinc on their availability in soil in relation to their contents in stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana*). Sci World. 2005;5:490-495.
24. Banerjee H, Sarkar S, Deb P, Dutta SK, Ray K, Rana L, et al. Impact of zinc fertilization on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) yield, zinc use efficiency, quality and economics in entisol of West Bengal. J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 2016;64(2):176-182.
25. Kavvadias V, Christos P, Antonia K, Lamprini B. Effects of zinc and nitrogen on growth, yield and nutrient availability of spinach grown in calcareous soil. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal. 2013;44:610-622.
26. Amin S, Fauziah I, Hanafi M, Zaharah A, J Agron. Phosphorus and zinc uptake and their interaction effect on dry matter and chlorophyll content of sweet corn (*Zea mays* var. Saccharata). 2014;12: 187-192.
27. Hasani RT, Mahmoud MR, Aisha HA, Magda M. Growth and uptake of onion plants (*Allium cepa* L.) as affected by foliar and soil application of zinc. Vidarbha J Soil Crops. 2012;34:88-94.
28. Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sawarkar SD. Effect of zinc application on yield, quality and nutrients uptake. International Journal of Science. Environ Technol. 2013;5:563-571.
29. Shamsuddoha A, Anisuzzaman M, Sutradhar G, Bhuiyan M. Effect of sulfur and boron on nutrients in mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) and soil health. Int J Bio-Resource Stress Manag. 2011;2: 224-229.
30. Francois F. Yield and quality responses of garlic and onion to excess boron. Hortic Sci. 1991;26:547-9.
31. Ali I, Ceyhan T. Effect of nitrogen forms on growth, nitrate accumulation, membrane permeability and nitrogen use efficiency of hydroponically grown bunch onion under boron deficiency and toxicity. J Plant Nutr. 2001;7:34-39.
32. Davis J, Laura L, Paul VN. Boron improves growth, yield, quality and nutrient content of tomato. J Am Soc Hortic Sci. 2003; 128:441-446.
33. Patel MS, Golakiya BA. Effect of calcium carbonate and boron application on yield and nutrient uptake by groundnut. J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 1986;84:815-20.
34. Rajaie M, Ejraie AK, Owliaie HR, Tavakoli AR. Effect of zinc and boron interaction on growth and mineral composition of lemon seedlings in a calcareous soil. Int J Plant Prod. 2009;3:39-50.
35. Ali F, Hameed G, Amanullah M. Effect of boron soil application on nutrients efficiency in tobacco leaf. Am J Plant Sci. 2015;6:1391-1400.
36. Thiyageshwari YK, Ramanathan LD. Effect on soil properties and nutrient uptake of micronutrients. Soil Sci Soc Am Proc. 2001;11:43-51.
37. Farshid A. The effect of boron and zinc application on concentration and

- uptake of nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium in corn. Indian J Sci Technol. 2011;4:7-11.
38. Singh DK, Singh AK, Srivastava OP. Effect of fertility levels and micronutrients on growth, nodulation, yield and nutrient uptake by pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Agricultural Research Communication Centre; 2014;37:93-97.
 39. Meena MC, Patel KP, Rathod DD. Effect of zinc and iron enriched FYM on mustard yield and micronutrient availability in loamy sand soil (Typic Haplustept). J Indian Soc Soil Sci. 2006;54:495-9.
 40. Dogra P, Yadav BL, Sharma OP. Effect of FYM and zinc application on soil properties, nutrient availability and yield of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under irrigation with different RSC water [Ph.D. thesis] Submitted to the Sri Karan Narendra Agriculture University. 2016;104-117.
 41. Mandal S, Subhadeep N, Ghanji P, Sukala N. Effect of doses and methods of application of micronutrients on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Environ Ecol. 2003;29:228-234.
 42. Tandon HLS. Methods of analysis of soils, plants, waters and fertilizers. Fertilizer development and consultant organization, New Delhi; 1993.
 43. Cakmak I. Plants nutrition research priorities to meet human needs food in sustainable ways. Plant Sci. 2002;247: 3-24.
 44. Netrapal UP. Soil properties affected by zinc addition. Annals Plant Soil Res. 2011; 11:14-9.
 45. Barman M, Lalit MS, Datta P. Effect of applied lime and boron on the availability of nutrients in an acid soil. J Plant Nutr. 2011;37:357-73.
 46. Hemantha SS, Prakash N, Rajanna MP. Effect of varied levels of major nutrients with sulphur, zinc and boron on soil properties, growth and yield of hybrid rice [M.Sc. thesis]. Department of Soil Science, Agricultural Chemistry University of Agricultural Sciences. Dharward. 2014; 73-79.

© 2022 Bhat et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88537>