

Archives of Current Research International

Volume 24, Issue 2, Page 22-31, 2024; Article no.ACRI.111634 ISSN: 2454-7077

# Radiological Risk Assessment of Soil Using RESRAD-OFFSITE Code in Communities around Indorama Fertilizer Company Eleme, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria

# Evelyn V. Furo<sup>a</sup>, I.A. Hart<sup>b</sup> and Chinyere P. Ononugbo<sup>b\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Institute of Natural Resources, Environment and Sustainable Development (INRES), Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.
<sup>b</sup> Department of Physics, Radiation and Environmental Physics Study Group, University of Port-Harcourt, PMB5323, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI:10.9734/ACRI/2024/v24i2630

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111634

Original Research Article

Received: 10/11/2023 Accepted: 16/01/2024 Published: 01/02/2024

# ABSTRACT

Anthropogenic activities around a fertilizer company can cause elevation in the concentration of naturally-occurring radioactive materials (NORMs) within surrounding soils, as a result of effluent discharge or heaping of rocks used as raw materials. The aim of this study was to evaluate the human risk associated with exposure to NORMs in soils from communities around Indorama Fertilizer Company Eleme, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. A sodium-iodide doped with thallium [Nal(TI)] detector was used to measure activity concentrations of these NORMs in 22 soil samples within the study area. The residual radioactivity (RESRAD) offsite modeling

Arch. Curr. Res. Int., vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 22-31, 2024

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: chinyere.ononugbo@uniport.edu.ng;

program(version 4.0) was then used to estimate the radiation doses and the cancer morbidity risk of uranium-238 (<sup>238</sup>U), thorium-232 (<sup>232</sup>Th) and potassium-40 (<sup>40</sup>K) for hypothetical resident farmer scenario. According to the RESRAD prediction, the maximum total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) during 100 years was found to be 2.82 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mSvy<sup>-1</sup> at year 12, while the maximum total excess cancer morbidity risk for all the pathways was 3.52E-08 at year 6. Therefore, results obtained from the RESRAD-OFFSITE code in this study has shown that the health risk from effluent discharge within the study area is within acceptable levels according to international standards.

Keywords: RESRAD-OFFSITE code; radionuclide; radiation dose; cancer morbidity risk; activity concentration.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

"During the last decades agricultural activities had expanded widely, resulting in an increase in the application of different chemical fertilizers. More than 30 million tons of phosphate fertilizers are annually consumed worldwide" [1]. "However, fertilizers are compounds that provide necessary chemical elements and nutrients to the plants. As a matter of fact, phosphorus, potassium and nitrogen are essential elements for plants growth. Therefore, fertilizers have become essential to the agricultural field all over the world and they tend to increase crop production and also improve the nutrientdeficient properties of lands. However, phosphate rocks together with potassium ores and nitrogenous compounds which are found to contain naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORMs) are the main raw materials used in the industrial production of fertilizers" [1].

"By processing phosphate rock to fertilizers, the radioactivity of the ore is transferred to the products and likewise to the waste products" [2]. "The possible negative effects are the radiological contamination of cultivated lands or other lands where the waste products are discharged" [3]. "Relatively, large concentrations of natural radionuclides present in phosphate fertilizers contaminate the environment and agricultural lands during cultivation. The natural radionuclide of fertilizers consists mainly of uranium and thorium series radioisotopes and natural <sup>40</sup>K" [4]. "The level of activitv concentration of radionuclides in phosphate fertilizers provides useful information in the monitoring of environmental contamination" [2].

"Generally, NORMs are available in the environment at levels that are not potentially harmful to human health. However, anthropogenic activities such as fertilizer application and discharge of waste from fertilizer producing plants onto soil may elevate the concentration of norms to a harmful level, a situation that had been of major concern for radiation protection" [5]. "NORMs such as <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K account for up to 85% of the annual dose exposure received by the world population" [6]. "They are known to provide significant sources of human exposure to ionizing radiation within the area of primary contamination" [7]. Hence, the radiological hazard due to the contaminated soil may be minimized by restricting the public access to this area, along with some cleanup measurement. Even SO. radionuclide migration from contaminated site through soil via different physical processes to the clean offsite location also results in health hazard.

The mechanisms of transport that could result in cross contamination of offsite area are wind erosion, leaching, erosion by runoff, etc. Therefore, people in an uncontaminated area, i.e., outside the primary contaminated zone, will experience some radiological hazards by means of some exposure pathways such as direct exposure from contamination in soil, inhalation of particulates, ingestion of plant food (e.g., vegetables, grain, and fruits), ingestion of meat, ingestion of milk, ingestion of aquatic foods, drinking of water and incidental ingestion of soil. The extent of the possible risk of NORMs to the health of the population in the study area has not been fully documented. As a result, radiological measurements were conducted in this study, in order to perform off-site hazard assessment of contaminated sites using RESRAD-OFFSITE Code, especially around the Indorama Fertilizer Company located in Eleme, Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

"The RESRAD (offsite) code is an extension of the RESRAD (onsite) code widely used for evaluating the radiological consequences to a receptor located onsite or outside the area of primary contamination. It evaluates the hazards by calculating radiological dose and excess predictina lifetime cancer risk. activity concentrations in the soil and deriving soil cleanup guidelines analogous to a particular dose limit" [8]. "It also uses the Gaussian Plume Model (GPM) owing to its less input parameters, simple and convenient calculation method as well as small computational costs" [9]. The hypothetical resident-farmer scenario which is adopted in this work includes all environmental pathways for on-site or near-site exposure that could results in the highest predicted lifetime dose.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 The Study Area

"Eleme is among the twenty-three Local Government Areas that make up the present Rivers State of Nigeria. It is a coastal area located between Longitude 706'10" E and Latitude 4047'57" N" [10]. "The area is a famous town in Rivers State and is bordered on the north by Obio/Akpor and Oyigbo Local Government Areas, on the east by Tai Local Government Area, on the south by Ogu/Bolo and Okrika Local Government Areas as shown in Fig. 1.Eleme is characterized with many industries including Indorama Fertilizer Manufacturing Company,

Hamilton Technologies Nia. LTD. Eleme Petroleum Refinery, Dangote Cement, a sea Federal Liahter Terminal. port. Eleme Petrochemicals Limited among others" [11, 10]. "The popular East-West Road traverses through the length and width of Eleme, and as a matter of fact, serious vehicular traffic is frequently experience within the area. Eleme covers an area of 138 km<sup>2</sup> and according to 2006 census, its population was 190,884" [12]. "The occupation of most Eleme people is subsistence Agriculture and the following crops are grown; yam, oil palm fruit, bitter leaf, banana and plantain, sugar cane, cassava, vegetables among others" [11].

#### 2.2 Samples Collection

A total of 22 soil samples were collected in the research area from a depth of 0.5 m to 1.0 m with the aid of hand auger [14].Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver was also used to locate the sampling points. To avoid sample confusion, the samples were placed in clear polythene bags and labeled precisely using identification marks according to the IAEA [15]. Following proper marking, they were transported for processing and analysis at the environmental laboratory of the Nigerian Institute of Radiation Protection and Research (NIRPR), a division of the Nigerian Nuclear Regulatory Authority (NNRA), located at the University of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.



Fig. 1. Map of the study area [13]

# 2.3 Sample Preparation

"At the laboratory, the soil samples were first spread out on a plastic sheet and allowed to air dry under the laboratory condition until constant weights were achieved. The dried samples were pulverized and homogenized using a motorized grinder and allowed to pass through a sieve of 200µm mesh size. The homogenized soil samples were then dried in a temperaturecontrolled oven at 105°C for about 24 hours in order to eliminate organic matter content of the soil samples. They were then placed in Marinelli beakers (size 500ml each) and sealed accordingly to maintain their in-situ characteristics. The weights of the sealed samples were recorded using electronic weighing balance and then kept for twenty-eight (28) days in order to achieve radioactive secular equilibrium between parent radionuclides and their respective daughters" [14].

# 2.4 Gamma Spectrometry

The detector used was a Lead shield Canberra 76 mm x 76 mm Nal(TI) crystal, model number 802 series. It is a compatible sealed assembly that includes a photomultiplier tube, a highresolution NaI(TI) crystal, and a preamplifier base that feeds amplified electrical pulses into analyzer systems. The photomultiplier tube detects the tiny visible light photons produced in the crystal. The detector system was calibrated before carrying out actual measurement of the soil samples. In order to commence counting, three gamma standard sources <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>241</sup>Am and 60Co were placed into 6cm lead shield of the detector chamber. This set up is aimed to minimize the effects of background and scattered radiation. By determining the correlation between the peak point in the spectrum and the associated gamma ray, the energy calibration was completed. Each pulse produced by a photomultiplier tube, as seen on the display output and the associated channel, has a height that is directly proportional to the original gamma energy that caused the pulse. The calibration was done using gamma emitter sources of known energies, these are <sup>137</sup>Cs and <sup>60</sup>Co source that emits with energies of 662 keV, 1332 keV and 1173 kev, and 241Am which is an alpha emitter but also emits some gamma rays with energies 26.3 keV and 59.6keV. The gamma emitter sources were exposed to the NaI(TI) detector and gamma spectrum was acquired. These were done with the amplifier gain that gives 72% energy resolution for the 662 keV of

<sup>137</sup>Cs and counted for 30 minutes. The net area corresponding to the photopeak's in the energy spectrum was computed by subtracting count from the background source from the total area of the photopeak's. The identification of individual radionuclides was performed using their gamma ray energies and the quantitative analyses of radionuclides were performed using gamma ray spectrum analysis software, Genie 2000.

# 2.5 Resrad-Offsite Computer Code

The residual radioactivity (RESRAD) offsite computer code was developed by the Argonne National Laboratory under the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission as multi-functional software to assist in developing cleanup criteria and assessing the radiological dose or risk associated with residual radioactive materials. RESRAD-OFFSITE is an extension of the RESRAD-ONSITE computer code that was developed to estimate the radiological consequences to individual located onsite or outside the area of primarv contamination. The code uses the Gaussian plume model, in which the radionuclide concentration and plume remain constant over time [16], IAEA safety reports series No.19 recommended the Gaussian model as a general model for the effects of radioactive emissions on the atmosphere. "In the evaluation procedure adopted in this study, the RESRAD code uses the U.S. Federal Guidance Report (FGR) 11 & 12 for internal and external dose conversion libraries, as well as the FGR 13 library for health risk. These served as the foundation for the radionuclide transition based on the International Commission on Radiological Protection-38 library. The radionuclide database also accounts for ingrowth of daughters from initially present parent radionuclides. However, RESRAD offsite code allows users to specify the features of their site and to predict the dose received by an individual at any time up to 1,000 years. It uses more than 150 variables alongside ICRP-38 radionuclide database" [17].

### 2.6 Input Parameters and Scenario Description

"For the study area, a number of parameters were considered as inputs to the Resrad-Offsite code as shown in Table 1. The resident farmer scenario was chosen as the critical receptor in the risk assessment. The parameter values were carefully selected to achieve a more realistic estimation of the dose or risk. Where necessary, site-specific parameters replaced default parameters" [18].

"Input parameters to the model include the measured activity concentrations of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, <sup>40</sup>K, and meteorological data (annual wind speed and direction). Hydrogeological parameters for the various zones were also taken into consideration. These include the hydraulic conductivity and density of the soil. Some hydrological parameters, such as total porosity, field capacity, irrigation rate, erosion rate, precipitation rate, runoff coefficient and soil-specific exponential parameters were also estimated based on the condition of the study area , while the area and thickness of the different zones were taken into account" [18].

The major exposures in the resident farmer scenario include direct exposure to the radionuclides in soil, inhalation of dust, ingestion of contaminated vegetables, water, and soil. Dietary information on vegetables, water, and soil were also considered through regulatory guidelines as set by the South African National Nuclear Regulator [19], since the information is not readily available with the Nigerian Nuclear Regulatory Authority.

#### 2.7 Transport Pathways Associated with Norms in the Study Area

Themaior mechanisms of radionuclide transport from potential primary contamination sources within the study area are the atmosphere, ground water sources, and surface water bodies. Air pollutants such as particulate matter from phosphate-rock dumps, water run-off from areas such as ore piles and waste water, constitute a major source of NORM's pollution to the environment within the vicinity of the fertilizer industry. Radionuclide(s) from these primary sources can also be transported to humans via the atmosphere as wind blow that could settle on farmlands or even residential buildings. They could also be leached into the underlying ground water aquifers or dissolved and drained through runoff into surface water bodies, thereby contaminating both soil and water sources. The summary of scenario description for Offsite Dose Assessment within the study area is given in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Summary of Scenario Description for Offsite Dose Assessment [20]

Table 1. Principal Input Para	meters for the RESRAD-OFFSITE Code
-------------------------------	------------------------------------

Parameters	Value	Reference
Area of contaminated zone (m <sup>2</sup> )	10, 000	Default
Density of contaminated zone (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.44 g	[17]
Total porosity for contaminated zone	0.43	[17]
Hydraulic conductivity of contaminated zone (m/yr)	1090	[17]
<sup>a</sup> Soil-specific exponential b parameter	5.3	Default
Average annual wind speed (m/s)	4.1	[16]
Precipitation rate (m/yr)	1.0	[19]
Irrigation rate (m/yr)	0.2	Default
Runoff coefficient	0.65	[18]
Inhalation rate (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	8059.2	[18]
Exposure duration (yr)	30	Default
Soil ingestion rate (g/yr)	37	[18]

<sup>a</sup>The soil-specific b parameter = empirical and dimensionless parameter that is used to evaluate the saturation ratio (Rs) (or the volumetric water saturation of the soil, according to a soil characteristic function called the conductivity function)

#### 3. RESULTSANDDISCUSSION

# 3.1 Norms Activities Concentration in Soil

The mean activity concentrations of radionuclide <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K in soil samples within the study area were 3.91 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>, 1.53 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>, and 27.61 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. These values were all below the UNSCEAR world standard of 35 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup>, 30 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> and 400 Bqkg<sup>-1</sup> for <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K respectively [21], and were used as input parameters in the RESRAD-OFFSITE code to calculate the radiation dose, as well as the excess cancer risk. However, Fig. 3 shows comparison of the mean activity concentration of naturally occurring radioactive materials (<sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K) in the sampling locations.

#### 3.20ffsite Dose Assessments

Fig. 4 shows the variation of the total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) in mSvy<sup>-1</sup> with sample locations, predicted for an off-site public exposure using the RESRAD-Offsite computer code version 4.0. While Fig. 5 represents the variation of effective dose equivalent from the different radionuclide ( $^{238}$ U,  $^{232}$ Th and  $^{40}$ K) in conjunction with the variation of TEDE (all nuclides summed, all pathways summed) with time. From Fig. 5, the contribution of each radionuclide to the TEDE was indicated, it can be seen that  $^{232}$ Th gave the highest contribution andis followed by  $^{40}$ K, while  $^{238}$ U contributed minimally.

According to the RESRAD, the maximum TEDE value from the study area during 100 years period was 2.82 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mSvy<sup>-1</sup> (at year 12), while the minimum TEDE value was 2.23 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mSvv<sup>-1</sup> (at year 100). Fig. 4 also showed that the TEDE value was lower at Indo 2, while it was higher at Indo 8 when the various sampling locations were considered. The highest dose in Indo 8 could be due to high level discharge of effluent from the fertilizer plant. This might result to long-term stochastic effects to the public. Nonetheless, the dose from Indo 8 is within the recommended ICRP public dosage boundary of 1 mSvy<sup>-1</sup>. Also, all doses recorded from other study sites were below the recommended public dose boundary respectively.

However, the overall yearly radiation dose from the study area includes contributions from external exposure pathway via direct gamma irradiation, and internal radiation through inhalation and ingestion pathways. The inhalation dosage is ascribed to gaseous decay radon and thoron from <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th while the external dose is attributed to direct gamma irradiation from <sup>40</sup>K which decays by releasing 89% beta and 11% gamma radiation which has low external dose contribution [22]. However. Faanuet al., reported the annual dose due to mining in central region of Ghana as 0.918 mSvy-<sup>1</sup>[23]. This is by far higher than the value obtained in this study. Similarly, Nwankwo et al., reported the annual dose from findings of public dose assessment around mining sites in Komu, Oyo State, Nigeria as 0.12 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> [24].The reported value is also higher than the value obtained in this study.



Fig. 3. Mean activity concentration of <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K in soil

Furo et al.; Arch. Curr. Res. Int., vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 22-31, 2024; Article no.ACRI.111634











Fig. 6. Variation of ECMR with time (in Years)

## 3.3 Assessments of Excess Cancer Morbidity Risk (ECMR)

The results of the radiation doses were used to calculate the excess cancer morbidity risks for all of the pathways summed over duration of 100 years. Due to the tendency of low dose accumulation over a long period of time, which might result to stochastic effects, the ICRP reaffirms that there is no safe level of radiation exposure. A comprehensive review of available biological and biophysical data supports a "Linear-No-Threshold" (LNT) risk model; that the risk of cancer proceed in a linear fashion at lower doses without a threshold and that the smallest dose has the potential to cause a small increase in cancer risk to humans. The maximum total excess cancer morbidity risk from the study area was found to be 3.52E-08 at year 6, while the minimum value was 2.37E-08, obtained at year 100.

Fig. 6 clearly shows the variation of ECMR with time (in Years). The maximum total ECMR progressively decreased to 2.37E-08 at year 100. <sup>232</sup>Th was the major driver of the risk effect, followed by <sup>238</sup>U and then <sup>40</sup>K. The US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) considers acceptable, for regulatory purposes, a cancer risk in the range of E-06 to E-04 [25]. Therefore, results obtained from the RESRAD-OFFSITE code had shown that the health risk from the study area is within acceptable limit based on international standards.

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

This study had evaluated the radiological risk to human associated with exposure to NORMs in soils due to the discharge of effluents around a fertilizer factory located in Eleme, Rivers State, Nigeria, using the RESRAD-OFFSITE code. The total effective dose equivalent (TEDE) from all nuclides was found to range from 2.23E-05 to 2.85E-05. The results of dose estimation from all the sample locations within the study area showed that all the dosages were lower than the recommended ICRP set limit of 1 mSvy-1. However, in adherence to the principle of "As Low As Reasonably Achievable" (ALARA), the Competent Authorities need to conduct periodic assessment of radiation exposure from the study area in order to ensure that such exposure are kept below the dose limit of 1mSvy<sup>-1</sup> or dose constraint of 0.25 mSvy<sup>-1</sup> set by the US Nuclear Regulatory commission (NRC), thereby

protecting the public and the environment from the harmful effects of ionizing radiation.

The total excess cancer morbidity risk estimated from the model ranged from 2.37E-08 to 3.52E-08. However, the US Environmental Protection Agency considers acceptable for regulatory purposes a cancer risk in the range of E-06 to E-04. It has also been noted that <sup>232</sup>Th has a significant contribution to the radiation dose, as well as the excess cancer morbidity risk, compared to <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>40</sup>K. This may be attributed to higher dose conversion factors associated with <sup>232</sup>Th compared to <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>40</sup>K. From the findings of this study, it can be deduced that the presence of Fertilizer Company within the study area and its activity there-off had a negligible risk based on international guidelines on radiation safetv.

However, the modified Gaussian Plume Model has multiple input parameters and several corrections that make the model complex. Many input parameters are difficult to measure accurately; therefore their values are usually uncertain, if the model results are used directly to post-accident decisions such as make emergency response, there will be risks of making mistakes in decision-making which could be considered a major limitation. Therefore, other software program such as Environmental Risks from Ionizing Contaminants: Assessment and Management (ERICA) could be employed in the study area while their result compare to that obtained in this study.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- 1. El-Taher A,Althoyaib SS. Natural radioactivity levels and heavy metals in chemical and organic fertilizers used in kingdom of saudiarabia. Applied Radiation and Isotopes.2012;70:290-295.
- 2. Chauhan P, Chauhan RP, Gupta M. Estimation of naturally occurring radionuclides in fertilizers using gamma spectrometry and elemental analysis by XRF and XRD techniques. Microchemical Journal.2013;106:7-78.
- 3. Lambert R, Grant C, Sauve C. Cadmium and zinc in soil solution extracts following the application of phosphate fertilizers.

Science of the Total Environment. 2007;378:293-305.

- Uosif MAM, Mostafa AMA, Elsaman R, Moustafa E. Natural radioactivity levels and radiological hazards indices of chemical fertilizers commonly used in upper Egypt. Journal of Radiation Resources, Applied Science. 2014;7:430-437.
- 5. Nour KA, Gabar A, Arabi M. Natural radioactivity in farm soil and phosphate fertilizer and its environmental implications in qenagovernorate. Upper Egypt. J. Environ. Radioact. 2005;84:51–64.
- WNA:World Nuclear Association. Radiation and nuclear energy. Available:http://www.worldnuclear.org/info/i nf30.html (accessed on 14 November2023)
- Ziajahromi S, Khanizadeh M, Nejadkoorki F. Using the resradcode to assess human exposure risk to <sup>226</sup>Ra, <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>40</sup>K in soil. Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess. An Int. J.2014;21.
- Yu C, Zielen AJ, Cheng JJ, Le Poire DJ, Gnanapragasam E, Kamboj S, Arnish J, Wallo A, Williams WA, Peterson H. "User's Manual for RESRAD, Version 6." c Argonne National Laboratory. Lemont, IL, Report No. ANL/EAD-4; 2001.
- Matacchiera F, Manes C, Beaven RP,Rees-White TC, Boano F, Mønster J, Scheutz C. Aermodas a gaussian dispersion model for planning tracer gas dispersion tests for landfill methane emission quantification. Waste Management. 2019;87:924–936.
- 10. Agaptus N. Depoliticizing environmental degradation: Revisiting the unep environmental assessment of ogoniland in nigeria'sniger delta region.Geo Journal. 2019;85:883-900.
- 11. Ngofa OO. The complete history of eleme. Freedom press. Ibadan Nigeria; 2006.
- 12. UNEP. Environmental assessment of ogoni land. United Nations Environment Programme. P.O. Box 30552, Nairobi, Kenya; 2011.
- Moses O, Ugochi EE, Okeke HU Francis OI. An assessment of the environmental impacts of land use dynamics in eleme, rivers state.Nigeria.Journal of Environmental Science. Toxicology and Food Technology (IOSR-JESTFT). 2020; 14(10):41-55.
- 14. Yani LS, Gregory OA, Chinyere PO. Assessment of radiological health risks in

agricultural soil samples within bitumen belt of ondo state.Nigeria.Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports. 2023;17(10):162-172.

- 15. IAEA: International Atomic Energy Agency. Measurement of radionuclides in food and the environment. Technical Report Series No. 295; IAEA: Vienna, Austria; 1989.
- 16. Olalekan WI, Zamri MI, Albani A. The status of the development of wind energy in Nigeria. Energies. 2020;13:6219.
- Yu C, Loureiro CO, Cheng JJ, Jones LG, Wang YY, Chia YP, Faillace E. Data collection handbook to support modeling the impacts of radioactive material in soil. ANL/EAIS-8. Environmental Assessment and Information Sciences Division. Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, HI; 1993.
- Mathuthu M, Kamunda C, Madhuku M. Modelling of radiological health risks from gold mine tailings in wonderfonteinspruit catchment area,south africa. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health. 2016;13:570.
- NNR. National nuclear regulator RG-002. Safety Assessment of Radiation Hazards to Members of the Public from Norm Activities. NNR, Pretoria, South Africa; 2013.
- 20. Kamboj S, Gnanapragasam E, Yu C. Resrad-offsite code (expanded source term models and dcgl derivation using probabilistic analysis). Environmental Science Division Argonne National Laboratory, EMRAS II; 2011.
- 21. UNSCEAR. Radiation sources and effects of ionizing radiations. united nations scientific committee on the effects of atomic radiation, new york. Report of the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effect of Atomic Radiation to General Assembly; 2000.
- Soja RJ, Lucas WL, Umar I, Samson DY, Abdullahi AM, Idris M, Mercy N, Segna LB, Dalhatu FY, Ignatius OO. Estimation of public radiological dose from mining activities in some selected cities in nigeria. Dutse Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences (DUJOPAS).2022:8(1a):22-34.
- Faanu A, Adukpo OK, Tettey-Larbi L, Lawluvi H, Kpeglo DO, Darko EO, Agyeman L. Natural radioactivity levels in soils, rocks and water at a mining concession of perseusgold mine and surrounding towns in central region of Ghana. SpringerPlus. 2016;5(1): 1-16.

- 24. CU. Ogundare Nwankwo FO. Folley DE. Radioactivity concentration variation with depth and assessment of selected doses workers' in minina sites. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences. 2015;8(2): 216-220.
- 25. USEPA. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Risk assessment guidance for superfund. In Human Health Evaluation Manual. (Part A) [R], 1989;1; EPA/540/1-89/002; Office of Emergency and Remedial Response: Washington, DC, USA.

© 2024 Furo et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111634