

European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety

14(3): 27-38, 2022; Article no.EJNFS.83274 ISSN: 2347-5641

Proximate and Mineral Composition of Aerial Potato (*Dioscorea bulbifera* Linn) after using Different Fermentation Methods

D. A. Bukola^{a*}, F. A. Akinyosoye^a and B. J. Akinyele^a

^a Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, P. M. B. 704, Akure, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/EJNFS/2022/v14i330483

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83274

Original Research Article

Received 10 January 2022 Accepted 19 March 2022 Published 27 May 2022

ABSTRACT

This study evaluated the nutritional value of aerial yam potato fermented with different methods and other food processing. Aerial potato was subjected to different treatments (peeled, unpeeled, peeled and blanched, unpeeled and blanched, peeled and boiled, unpeeled and boiled) before fermentation with different methods like submerged fermentation and back slope (BS) fermentation. The proximate and mineral compositions were monitored using standard methods. Crude Protein (%) of the peeled aerial potato significantly increased from 4.12 ± 0.13 at the initial to 10.11 ± 0.85 at the end of the fermentation while unpeeled aerial potato slightly increased from $3.66^{a}\pm0.04$ at the initial to 4.19 ± 0.03 at the end of the fermentation. Peeled and blanched; unpeeled and blanched as well as the unpeeled and boiled samples had the highest iron $(0.143\pm0.01 \text{ ppm})$, magnesium $(6.40\pm0.02 \text{ ppm})$ and calcium $(6.32\pm0.03 \text{ ppm})$ contents in fermented aerial potato sample. Generally, the different of methods of fermentation employed improved the nutrient contents of fermented aerial potato.

Keywords: Fermentation; aerial potato; nutrient; anti-nutrients.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dioscorea bulbifera is a perennial vine with broad, alternate leaves, and two types of storage

organs. The primary means of spread and reproduction are by the bulbils. The smallest bulbils make control of air potato difficult due to their ability to sprout at a very small stage. The

*Corresponding author: Email: danieladenike13@gmail.com;

vine produces small white flowers: however, these are rarely seen when it grows in Florida. The fruits are capsules [1]. Dioscorea bulbifera is one of the medicinal plants whose genetic resources in the world are getting into extinction habitat loss. climate due to change, overexploitation and poor natural regeneration since it is dioecious and chances of finding a fertile seed are automatically reduced [2]. Dioscorea bulbifera preparation has been used for memorv enhancement, anti-aging, constipation and fever, and has also been used as an infusion to apply to cuts and sores due to its high composition of the tannin that is used to hasten healing of wounds in a flamed membrane [3]. In Cameroon and Madagascar, the pounded bulbils are applied to abscesses, boils and wound infections. The bulbil of D.bulbifera has also been identified to contain saponin steroidal phytochemical called diosgenin that possess anti-fertility activity in addition to many other medicinal uses such as contraceptives, sexual vigour remedy and treatment of piles, dysentery, syphilis, ulcers, tuberculosis, leprosy, cough and diabetes [4]. The clinical significance varies greatly depending on the mode of preparation and the administration, hence the need for its conservation [2].

Dioscorea bulbifera preparation has been used for memorv enhancement, anti-aging, constipation and fever [3], and has also been used as an infusion to apply to cuts and sores due to its high composition of the tannin that is used to hasten healing of wounds in a flamed membrane [2]. This research is therefore focused on studying the microbial and physicochemical changes durina the fermentation and processing of aerial potato (Dioscorea bulbifera Linn).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Proximate and Mineral Analysis

The random sampling method of analysis was used in determination of the proximate analysis and each sample was done in triplicates. These assays of samples were determined using standard procedures of the Association of Official Analytical Chemist [5]. Moisture, ash, fibre, fat, crude protein and carbohydrate contents were analysed. Determination of minerals was done according to AOAC [5]. The following minerals; sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, zinc, copper, manganese, iron, and phosphorus were assayed for. The sample was ashed at 550°C for 5-6 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the ash was dissolved in I ml 0.5% HNO₃. The sample volume was made up to 100ml and the level of mineral present was analyzed by Atomic absorption spectrophotometer Buck 201 VGP. The mineral content was calculated using the formula below;

$$Mineral (mg/g) = \frac{R \times V \times D}{Wt}$$

Where R = solution concentration V= volume of sample digest D= dilution factor and Wt = weight of sample [5]

2.2 Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of results obtained was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS), version 22 using Analysis of variance (ANOVA) on Windows 10.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fermentation significantly caused some changes in the chemical composition of moisture, crude protein and crude fiber contents of the pretreatment samples. The like peeling, blanching and boiling to fermentation caused changes that were noted more on the duration of the fermentation process, while the prolong fermentation caused significant changes on the carbohydrates, crude protein, slightly changed the crude fat, crude fiber and ash content compared to 0 hr (the original time the sample fermentation began). Table 1 shows the proximate composition of unpeeled and peeled aerial potato after using different fermentation methods. Crude Protein (%) of the peeled aerial potato significantly increased from 4.12 ±0.13 at the initial to 10.11±0.85 at the end of the fermentation while unpeeled aerial potato slightly increased from 3.66±0.04 at the initial to 4.19±0.03 at the end of the fermentation. Table 2 shows the proximate composition of peeled and unpeeled-blanched aerial potato after using different fermentation methods. Carbohydrates content (%) reduced from 85.59±0.02 75.98±0.02 and slightly increased from 77.13±0.13 - 78.99±0.14 at the end of the fermentation for the peeled and unpeeledblanched aerial potato respectively. Table 3 shows proximate composition of peeled and unpeeled-boiled aerial potato after using different fermentation methods. Moisture content (%) from noticeable increased $6.53^{a} \pm 0.58$ to 11.88±0.14 in the peeled-boiled aerial potato and

reduced from 15.19±0.41 to 11.95 ± 0.13 unpeeled-boiled aerial potato. Proximate composition is an important criterion to determine the nutrient content and quality of foods [6]. Microorganisms have been used successfully as tools for enhancing the value of agricultural products [7]. Such microorganisms possess the prerequisite enzymes for breakdown of complex polysaccharide components of the agricultural products to sugars. This brings about an increase in the energy value of the materials. Also, specific microorganisms are able, by fermentation, to convert some of the resultant sugars to other required nutrients such as proteins and fats, leading to increases in the values of the nutrients [8]. The observed increase in the ash content (Table 4 - 6) due to the different fermentation processes may imply increases in the values of some of the elemental components of the substrates. This may be an advantage as it may ensure the availability of adequate minerals required for healthy growth in humans [9].

The proximate composition of all samples revealed carbohydrates content ranged between 72.60 %- 99.40 % (Tables 4 - 6). This result is in accordance with the findings of Ogbuagu [10] who stated the carbohydrate contents of processed Dioscorea bulbifera and Dioscorea dumentorum to be within 79.15 % - 83.21 % and 78.82 % – 82.26 % respectively. The dry matter of most root crops is made up of about 60-90% carbohydrate [10]. The values are comparable to the carbohydrate contents of white yam; 78 %, water yam; 75.65 % and sweet potato; 82.55% [11]. This quantity of energy makes aerial potato one of the most carbohydrate rich foods in supplying high quantity of energy per given mass of food consumed [12].

Samples have high protein contents ranging from 3.50 % - 11.86 % (Tables 4 - 6). The observed increase in protein content was due to products bioconversion of simple of polysaccharide breakdown into protein [13]. The increased protein content after fermentation indicates its usefulness as requirement for man and animals (Onyimba et al., 2015). The protein quantity in the yam suggests that it will serve as a source of amino acids and protein for both man and animal (Rutherford et al., 2015). The increase in protein content of fermented unpeeled and peeled aerial potato (Table 4) could be due to the synthesis of amino acids during the fermentation process (Onvimba et al., 2015).

Fat content for all samples did not exceed 4.47 % (Tables 4 - 6). This findings can be attributed to the fact that all root crops exhibit low fat content [8].The observed increase in fat content could be as a result of conversion of fermentable sugars into fat which could mean an increase in the energy content of the fermented substrate [14].

Fibre content of 0.83 % - 0.99 % suggests a possible good bowel movement when consumed. The fibre content in seeds indicates ability to aid digestion and prevent the absorption of excess cholesterol in the body [15]. The substantial amount of fibre in the samples showed they can be of help in proper functioning of the digestive system [16]. Fibre is regarded as essential, as it absorbs water and provides roughage for the bowels, assisting intestinal transit [10].

The anti-nutrient compositions reduced significantly (p<0.05) with fermentation. Reduction of anti-nutrients in fermented samples was due to hydrolysis and degradation of anti-nutrient compounds during fermentation [17].

Table 4 shows the mineral composition of unpeeled and peeled aerial potato after using different fermentation methods. Prominent of these effects is the activities of the mixed culture of the peeled and unpeeled samples in which sodium (Na) was reduced for about 50% from 10.99±0.01 to 5.72±0.08. The same pattern was observed for magnesium in which there was reduction of the amount from 11.54±0.05 at the initial to 5.10±0.00 at the end of the fermentation. This same pattern was observed for the other minerals. Table 5 shows mineral composition of peeled and unpeeled blanched aerial potato after using different fermentation methods. All the samples and their various treatments or processing caused a reduction in the amount of minerals at the end (168 hour) of the fermentation but an exception of these effects was seen in the activities of the peeled-blanched and unpeeled-blanched aerial potato in which calcium (Ca) increased from 9.06±0.05 and 7.73±0.03 at the initial to 11.57±0.01 and 9.03±0.06 at the end (168 hour) of the fermentation respectively. Table 6 shows the mineral composition of peeled and unpeeledboiled aerial potato after using different fermentation methods. There was reduction in the amount of all minerals except for Magnesium (Mg) present in the peeled-boiled aerial potato. Magnesium increased from 9.50±0.01 at the initial to 15.06±0.01 at the end of the

Sample	e and fermentation time	0 h	0 h	24 h	24 h	72 h	72 h	120 h	120 h	168 h	168 h
		Peeled	Unpeeled								
MCF	Moisture Content (%)	17.90 [⊳] ±0.05	12.32 ^ª ±0.23	17.60 [°] ±0.05	12.24 ^ª ±0.23	18.06 ^b ±0.32	12.17 ^a ±0.32	13.90 ^a ±0.24	12.63 ^ª ±0.59	17.55 [⊳] ±0.21	13.95 [°] ±0.29
BSF	Moisture (%)	17.90 ^b ±0.05	12.32 ^a ±0.23	14.92 ^a ±0.01	11.66 ^a ±0.20	17.71 ^b ±0.46	12.77 ^b ±0.14	15.27 ^a ±0.02	11.52 ^b ±0.09	14.64 ^a ±3.09	12.14 ^{ab} ±0.56
STF		17.90±0.05	11.44±1.16	18.99±0.63	15.63±0.31	18.06±0.32	15.02±0.11	13.90±0.24	14.53±0.33	17.55±0.21	14.05±0.16
MCF	Ash (%)	1.09 ^a ±0.06	1.03 ^a ±0.02	1.09 ^a ±0.06	1.13 ^ª ±0.02	1.05 ^ª ±0.03	1.13 ^{ab} ±1.21	1.21 ^{ab} ±0.03	1.21 ^b ±0.03	1.38 ^{bc} ±0.16	1.26 ^{bc} ±0.08
BSF		1.09 ^a ±0.06	1.03 ^a ±0.02	1.54 ^{cd} ±0.16	1.30 [°] ±0.04	1.38 ^{bc} ±0.12	1.34 [°] ±0.12	1.63 ^d ±0.07	1.49 ^d ±0.06	1.62 ^d ±0.11	1.51 ^d ±0.16
STF		1.09±0.06	0.99±0.05	1.07±0.04	1.43±0.04	1.05±0.03	1.52±0.05	1.21±0.03	1.56±0.20	1.38±0.16	1.63±0.11
MCF	Fat (%)	2.13 ^a ±0.14	2.99 ^a ±0.05	2.23 ^a ±0.14	2.99 ^a ±0.05	2.06 ^a ±0.13	3.17 ^b ±0.06	3.32 ^c ±0.03	3.24 ^b ±0.15	2.31 ^{ab} ±0.02	3.59 [°] ±0.03
BSF		2.13 ^a ±0.14	2.99 ^a ±0.05	2.22 ^{ab} ±0.11	3.61 [°] ±0.02	2.47 ^b ±0.08	3.65 ^{cd} ±0.02	2.74 ^c ±0.11	3.67 ^{cd} ±0.14	3.32 ^d ±0.33	3.79 ^d ±0.07
STF		2.13±0.14	2.06±0.02	2.03±0.32	2.00±0.00	2.06±0.13	1.79±0.04	2.02±0.03	1.75±0.21	2.01±0.02	1.73±0.55
MCF	Fibre (%)	0.77 ^a ±0.07	1.12 ^b ±0.01	0.79 ^a ±0.07	1.12 ^b ±0.01	0.81 ^a ±0.01	1.01 ^b ±0.01	0.81 ^a ±0.02	1.01 ^b ±0.02	0.77 ^a ±0.06	0.95 ^a ±0.05
BSF		0.77 ^a ±0.07	1.12 ^b ±0.01	0.71 ^b ±0.09	0.88 [°] ±0.05	$0.60^{a} \pm 0.06$	$0.85^{ab} \pm 0.04$	0.57 ^a ±0.01	0.84 ^b ±0.01	0.50 [°] ±0.10	0.82 ^a ±0.02
STF		0.77±0.07	1.07±0.02	0.87±0.01	1.04±0.11	0.81±0.01	1.02±0.20	0.81±0.02	0.81±0.02	0.77±0.06	0.80±0.01
MCF	Crude Protein (%)	4.12 ^a ±0.13	3.66 ^a ±0.04	4.36 ^a ±0.13	3.71 ^ª ±0.04	4.84 ^b ±0.09	3.80 ^a ±0.19	8.40 ^d ±0.00	4.12 ^b ±0.04	9.11 ^c ±0.00	4.19 ^b ±0.03
BSF		4.12 ^a ±0.13	3.66 [°] ±0.04	5.25 [⊳] ±0.10	4.23 ^⁵ ±0.12	5.36 ^b ±0.03	4.96 [°] ±0.00	5.28 ^b ±0.09	5.24 ^d ±0.16	10.11 [°] ±0.85	5.63 [°] ±0.02
STF		4.12±0.13	3.54±0.02	4.33±0.11	3.63±0.26	4.84±0.09	3.87±0.19	5.41±0.32	4.11±0.11	8.40±0.00	4.46±0.01
MCF	Carbohydrate (%)	78.43±0.02	80.73±0.11	78.09±0.06	80.75±0.14	77.06±0.02	80.64±0.07	72.60±1.03	81.50±0.01	74.00±0.01	80.79±0.03
BSF		78.11±0.23	82.54±0.33	80.61±1.46	82.55±0.51	77.84±0.21	81.39±0.14	79.79±0.03	82.48±0.02	79.92±0.11	81.74±0.07
STF		79.25±0.02	79.28±0.15	78.71±0.06	79.41±0.17	79.69±0.44	77.84±0.03	76.08±0.01	77.82±0.11	72.74±0.06	77.32±0.02

Table 1. Proximate composition of unpeeled and peeled aerial potato after using different fermentation methods

Sample	e and	0 h	0 h	24 h	24 h	72 h	72 h	120 h	120 h	168 h	168 h
fermen	tation time	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled
MCF	Moisture	12.51 ^⁵ ±0.35	11.44 ^a ±1.16	12.59 ^b ±0.35	11.37 ^a ±1.16	12.95 ^a ±0.26	11.31 ^ª ±0.30	13.64 ^b ±0.08	10.71 ^a ±0.28	11.46 [°] ±0.31	10.44 ^a ±0.44
BSF	Content (%)	12.51 ^b ±0.35	11.44 ^a ±1.16	11.84 ^a ±0.24	9.83 [°] ±0.51	11.92 ^a ±0.37	10.29 ^b ±0.12	11.72 ^a ±0.18	11.77 ^d ±0.32	11.81 ^d ±0.15	11.53 ^d ±0.53
STF		12.51±0.35	11.44±1.16	11.24±0.24	11.77±0.32	11.36±0.07	13.33±0.16	15.41±0.32	13.51±0.02	15.59±0.22	13.87±0.13
MCF	Ash (%)	0.82 ^a ±0.04	0.99 ^a ±0.05	0.81 ^a ±0.04	0.99 ^a ±0.05	0.91 ^a ±0.02	1.05 ^b ±0.02	1.04 ^b ±0.02	1.11 ^{ab} ±0.15	1.08 ^b ±0.03	1.22 ^{bc} ±0.10
BSF		0.82 ^a ±0.04	0.99 ^a ±0.05	1.15 ^b ±0.00	1.58 ^d ±0.04	1.28 [°] ±0.35	1.64 ^e ±0.01	1.33 ^c ±0.03	1.75 [†] ±0.17	1.48 ^d ±0.16	1.63 [°] ±0.12
STF		0.82±0.04	0.99±0.05	1.17±0.03	1.79±0.15	1.19±0.00	1.63±0.42	1.28±0.14	1.56±0.20	1.49±0.33	1.51±0.07
MCF	Fat (%)	3.35 ^b ±0.08	2.06 ^a ±0.02	$3.36^{b} \pm 0.08$	2.06 ^a ±0.02	3.43 ^{ab} ±0.14	2.19 ^b ±0.07	3.51 ^{abc} ±0.01	2.16 ^b ±0.05	3.58 ^c ±0.01	2.41 ^b ±0.01
BSF		3.35 ^b ±0.08	2.06 ^a ±0.02	3.68 ^c ±0.03	2.45 [°] ±0.09	3.89 ^d ±0.03	2.61 [°] ±0.10	4.01 ^d ±0.07	2.83 ^d ±0.11	4.49 ^e ±0.28	3.01 ^e ±0.01
STF		3.35±0.08	2.06±0.02	3.68±0.11	2.36±0.17	2.11±0.40	2.31±0.54	1.01±0.12	2.19±0.36	1.01±0.02	2.04±0.62
MCF	Fibre (%)	0.83 ^b ±0.08	1.07 ^b ±0.02	$0.82^{b} \pm 0.08$	1.05 ^b ±0.02	0.82 ^a ±0.15	1.05 ^b ±0.01	0.82 ^a ±0.05	1.02 ^b ±0.02	0.99 ^a ±1.17	0.96 ^b ±0.03
BSF		0.83 ^b ±0.08	1.07 ^b ±0.02	0.61 ^ª ±0.11	$0.93^{b} \pm 0.02$	$0.67^{ab} \pm 0.00$	0.92± ^b 0.02	0.60 ^a ±0.06	0.87 ^a ±0.01	0.94 ^a ±0.03	0.83 ^a ±0.01
STF	Fibre (%)	0.83±0.08	1.07±0.02	0.61±0.04	0.87±0.01	0.59±0.21	0.82±0.10	0.55±0.04	0.74±0.31	0.53±0.11	0.75±0.26
MCF	Crude Protein	4.04 ^a ±0.00	3.54 ^a ±0.02	4.10 ^a ±0.03	$3.53^{a} \pm 0.05$	$3.90^{a} \pm 0.03$	3.63 ^a ±0.05	4.25 ^b ±0.00	3.88 ^a ±0.13	11.66 ^c ±0.00	4.28 ^b ±0.00
BSF	(%)	4.04 ^a ±0.00	3.54 ^a ±0.02	4.70 ^c ±0.00	$4.08^{d} \pm 0.30$	5.42 ^e ±0.17	$4.46^{t} \pm 0.06$	5.81 [†] ±0.15	5.18 ⁹ ±0.00	10.14 ^d ±0.00	5.33 ^h ±0.11
STF		4.04±0.00	3.54±0.02	4.50±0.03	5.18±0.00	4.23±0.11	5.22±0.26	4.33±0.26	5.41±0.27	4.80±0.01	5.16±0.03
MCF	Carbohydrate	85.59±0.02	77.13±0.13	85.19±0.01	77.25±0.06	84.45±0.11	79.99±0.02	84.06±0.15	77.88±0.33	75.98±0.02	78.99±0.14
BSF	(%)	85.59±0.01	77.13±0.11	81.73±0.05	77.90±0.33	85.56±0.07	75.81±0.01	81.32±1.92	76.79±0.09	73.41±0.02	76.09±0.17
STF		85.59±0.22	77.13±0.14	81.12±0.03	77.31±0.02	81.00±0.11	80.10±0.14	79.86±0.17	78.78±0.23	79.35±0.06	78.96±0.11

Table 2. Proximate Composition of peeled and unpeeled blanched after using different fermentation methods

Sample	e and	0 h	0 h	24 h	24 h	72 h	72 h	120 h	120 h	168 h	168 h
fermen	tation time	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled
MCF	Moisture (%)	6.53 [°] ±0.58	15.19 [°] ±0.41	6.78 ^ª ±0.58	15.10 [°] ±0.41	7.25 [°] ±0.62	12.02 [⊳] ±0.17	7.05 [°] ±0.19	13.79 [⊳] ±0.04	7.12 ^ª ±0.11	11.95 [⊳] ±0.13
BSF		6.53 [°] ±0.58	15.19 ^c ±0.41	8.51 ^b ±0.02	13.20 ^b ±0.54	8.82 ^b ±0.12	14.40 [°] ±0.32	7.07 ^a ±0.18	12.61 ^ª ±0.09	10.18 ^c ±0.41	12.96 ^a ±0.23
STF		6.53±0.58	15.19±0.41	8.82±0.12	12.61±0.09	10.47±0.11	9.83±0.51	11.58±0.61	10.44±0.11	11.88±0.14	11.61±0.13
MCF	Ash (%)	0.96 ^a ±0.06	0.91 ^a ±0.04	0.96 ^a ±0.06	0.91 ^a ±0.04	1.02 ^a ±0.01	1.11 ^b ±0.05	1.15 ^ª ±0.17	1.19 ^b ±0.07	1.22 ^b ±0.18	1.12 ^b ±0.03
BSF		0.96 ^a ±0.06	0.91 ^ª ±0.04	1.57 [⊳] ±0.05	1.27 ^b ±0.01	1.76 ^b ±0.23	1.30 ^b ±0.02	1.77 ^b ±0.06	1.33 ^b ±0.02	1.76 ^b ±0.23	1.38 ^b ±0.01
STF		0.96±0.06	0.91±0.04	1.76±0.23	1.33±0.02	1.55±0.11	1.58±0.04	1.51±0.15	1.55±0.33	1.43±0.00	1.52±0.04
MCF	Fat (%)	2.05 ^ª ±0.11	2.16 ^a ±0.03	2.15 ^a ±0.11	2.16 ^a ±0.03	2.56 ^b ±0.13	2.20 ^a ±0.03	2.67 ^{bc} ±0.08	2.24 ^a ±0.01	3.03 ^c ±0.11	2.70 ^b ±0.11
BSF		2.05 [°] ±0.11	2.16 ^a ±0.03	2.88 ^{cd} ±0.08	2.62 ^b ±0.10	3.19 ^e ±0.07	3.11 [°] ±0.12	3.43 [†] ±0.11	3.22 ^c ±0.10	3.73 ⁹ ±0.04	3.41 [°] ±0.07
STF		2.05±0.11	2.16±0.03	3.19±0.07	2.70±0.11	2.16±0.37	2.45±0.09	2.17±0.11	2.08±0.31	2.01±0.01	2.00±0.03
MCF	Fibre (%)	0.76 ^a ±0.04	1.07 ^b ±0.04	0.75 ^a ±0.04	1.07 ^b ±0.04	0.61 ^a ±0.02	0.97 ^a ±0.03	0.57 ^a ±0.08	0.92 ^a ±0.01	0.58 ^a ±0.06	0.85 ^a ±0.05
BSF		0.76 ^a ±0.04	1.07 ^b ±0.04	$0.56^{ab} \pm 0.06$	1.00 ^b ±0.02	0.54 ^{ab} ±0.02	$0.88^{b} \pm 0.02$	0.57 ^{ab} ±0.04	0.55 [°] ±0.02	0.47 ^a ±0.06	0.52 ^a ±0.02
STF		0.76±0.035	1.07±0.04	0.54±0.02	0.85±0.05	0.52±0.20	0.93±0.02	0.50±0.23	0.62±0.11	0.49±0.01	0.53±0.41
MCF	Crude Protein	3.50 ^a ±0.04	3.50 ^a ±0.00	3.52 ^a ±0.04	3.63 ^a ±0.00	3.53 ^a ±0.00	3.84 ^a ±0.03	3.82 ^b ±0.11	3.91 ^b ±0.00	4.01 ^c ±0.00	3.89 ^b ±0.06
BSF	(%)	3.50 [°] ±0.04	$3.50^{a} \pm 0.00$	4.16 ^c ±0.06	$4.04^{a} \pm 0.00$	4.51 ^d ±0.04	4.18 ^a ±0.10	4.69 ^e ±0.11	$4.44^{b} \pm 0.00$	$5.04^{\dagger} \pm 0.12$	4.03 ^a ±0.06
STF		3.50±0.04	3.50±0.00	4.51±0.04	3.89±0.06	5.03±0.01	4.08±0.30	5.27±0.04	5.31±0.21	5.76±0.01	5.39±0.40
MCF	Carbohydrate	86.2±1.33	77.17±1.11	85.84±1.34	99.4±0.41	85.07±0.22	78.86±0.81	84.74±0.09	77.95±0.44	83.77±0.52	79.49s±0.06
BSF	(%)	86.20±0.13	77.48±0.07	82.32±0.01	77.87±0.06	77.86±0.17	81.17±0.03	83.18±0.43	77.85±0.14	78.82±0.21	77.70±0.05
STF		86.25±1.32	85.94±0.11	82.16±0.02	78.63±0.15	80.27±0.04	81.13±0.32	78.99±0.11	80.00±0.03	78.43±0.16	79.94±0.21

Table 3. Proximate Composition of peeled and unpeeled-boiled aerial potato after using different fermentation methods

Sample	and	0 h	0 h	24 h	24 h	72 h	72 h	120 h	120 h	168 h	168 h
fermen	tation time	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled	Peeled	Unpeeled
MCF	Na	9.38 ^d ±0.02	8.91 ^d ±0.02	10.99 ⁿ ±0.01	10.48 ^h ±0.01	10.58 [†] ±0.08	8.95 ^e ±0.07	6.60 ^{a,b} ±0.06	6.33 [°] ±0.15	5.88 ^b ±0.08	5.72 ^a ±0.08
BSF	(ppm)	9.67 ^e ±0.15	8.91 ^d ±0.02	10.99 ^h ±0.01	7.93 ^d ±0.06	10.67±0.58	9.53 ^g ±0.06	6.47 ^a ±0.06	6.83 ^c ±0.06	11.10 ^c ±0.10	9.10 [†] ±0.01
STF		8.55±0.11	8.91 ^d ±0.02	10.99 ^h ±0.01	10.06±0.03	9.73±0.00	10.13±0.00	10.13±0.08	11.31±0.72	10.04±0.11	11.69±0.33
MCF	K (ppm)	21.32 ^{ab} ±5.77	20.04 ^{ab} ±5.77	25.04 ^d ±0.01	24.99 ^d ±0.01	25.50 ^c ±0.20	24.50 ^d ±0.71	17.77 ^a ±0.15	18.53 ^a ±0.06	19.40 ^{ab} ±0.10	18.43 ^a ±0.06
BSF		17.63 ^a ±0.01	20.04 ^{ab} ±5.77	24.99 ^d ±0.01	18.97 ^a ±0.03	20.53±0.50	25.33 ^d ±0.58	21.80±0.10	23.00 ^c ±1.00	17.33 [°] ±0.15	20.07 ^b ±0.06
STF		20.00±0.04	20.04±5.77	24.99±0.01	24.96±0.03	21.49±0.03	25.07±0.17	23.43±0.13	25.66±0.31	23.44±0.05	25.73±0.11
MCF	Ca	7.30 ^f ±0.01	7.30 ^f ±0.01	8.54 ^h ±0.05	$8.30^{f} \pm 0.00$	6.86 ^e ±0.05	$8.30^{f} \pm 0.00$	5.77 ^d ±0.02	5.48 ^c ±0.11	8.25 [°] ±0.05	5.95 ^e ±0.04
BSF	(ppm)	7.75 ⁹ ±0.13	7.30 [†] ±0.01	8.54 ^h ±0.05	$5.46^{\circ} \pm 0.05$	8.45 ^h ±0.05	5.59 ^d ±0.01	3.82 ^a ±0.03	4.95 ^b ±0.01	9.03 ^b ±0.01	3.59 ^a ±0.01
STF		7.03±0.05	7.30±0.01	8.54±0.05	8.57±0.31	8.76±0.02	8.72±0.04	9.11±0.05	8.91±0.11	9.16±0.01	9.03±0.06
MCF	Mg	10.52 ^g ±0.05	11.54 ⁹ ±0.05	10.50 ^f ±0.01	9.50 ^f ±0.01	8.63 ^f ±0.06	6.90 ^g ±0.14	6.80 ^b ±0.05	6.20 ^d ±0.10	5.10 ^c ±0.00	5.03 ^c ±0.06
BSF	(ppm)	6.21 ^e ±0.01	11.54 ⁹ ±0.05	9.50 [†] ±0.01	6.50 ^e ±0.00	5.68 ^d ±0.03	6.40 ^e ±0.01	4.20 ^a ±0.00	5.20 ^c ±0.01	6.08 ^c ±0.08	4.52 ^a ±0.08
STF		11.51±0.11	11.54±0.05	9.50±0.01	10.22±0.03	13.11±0.30	10.27±0.00	15.09±0.33	11.41±0.03	15.11±0.70	11.76±0.01
MCF	Fe	0.05 ^a ±0.00	0.05 ^a ±0.00	0.047 ^{ab} ±0.00	0.047 ^{ab} ±0.00	0.05 ^a ±0.00	0.067 ^d ±0.00	0.08 ^b ±0.00	0.049 ^b ±0.00	0.18 ^c ±0.01	0.122 ^f ±0.00
BSF	(ppm)	0.12 ^d ±0.00	$0.05^{a} \pm 0.00$	$0.047^{ab} \pm 0.00$	0.089 ^e ±0.00	0.05 ^a ±0.00	$0.049^{b} \pm 0.00$	0.05 ^a ±0.00	0.045 ^a ±0.00	0.18 ^b ±0.00	0.055 [°] ±0.00
STF		0.05±0.01	0.05±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.07±0.01	0.07±0.01	0.06±0.01	0.17±0.01	0.06±0.00	0.19±0.00	0.06±0.01

Table 4. Mineral Composition of unpeeled and peeled aerial Potato after using different fermentation methods

Sample	e and	0 h	0 h	24 h	24 h	72 h	72 h	120 h	120 h	168 h	168 h
fermentation time		Peeled	Unpeeled								
MCF	Na(ppm)	10.20 [†] ±0.20	9.10 [°] ±0.01	11.31 [†] ±0.20	10.14 ^ª ±0.01	13.03 ⁿ ±0.06	10.37 ^e ±0.15	6.83 [°] ±0.15	5.70 ^b ±0.00	4.37 ^b ±0.12	4.92 ^a ±0.08
BSF		10.20 [†] ±0.20	9.10 ^d ±0.01	9.82 ^e ±0.08	12.00 [†] ±0.00	10.40 ⁹ ±0.01	8.53 [°] ±0.06	5.92 ^a ±0.03	8.53 [°] ±0.08	11.10 ^d ±0.10	9.00 ^d ±0.00
STF		10.20±0.20	9.10±0.01	7.82±0.15	9.82±0.08	8.41±0.11	10.40±0.01	10.13±0.08	10.92±0.03	10.04±0.11	11.10±0.10
MCF	K(ppm)	29.87 ⁹ ±0.12	22.11 ^f ±0.12	27.32 ^g ±0.12	23.21 ^f ±0.12	21.48 ^e ±0.03	24.85 ⁹ ±0.87	16.53 ^b ±0.25	21.00 ^e ±0.00	15.57 ^a ±0.15	17.07 ^a ±0.12
BSF		29.87 ⁹ ±0.12	22.11 [†] ±0.12	19.52 [°] ±0.07	17.65 ^b ±0.00	23.07 ^t ±0.06	19.80 ^c ±0.05	20.33 ^c ±0.58	20.92 ^e ±0.08	16.82 ^b ±0.08	20.00 ^d ±0.00
STF		29.87±0.12	22.11±0.12	24.55±0.20	19.52±0.07	24.63±0.15	23.07±0.06	23.43±0.15	23.33±0.58	23.44±0.11	24.06±0.08
MCF	Ca(ppm)	9.06 ^h ±0.05	7.73 ^h ±0.03	7.16 ^h ±0.05	7.73 ^h ±0.03	$6.36^{f} \pm 0.05$	5.9 ^d 5±0.05	7.23 ^g ±0.01	6.24 ^f ±0.02	11.57 ^c ±0.01	4.63 ^c ±0.00
BSF		$9.06^{h} \pm 0.05$	7.73 ^h ±0.03	4.94 ^d ±0.05	6.17 ^e ±0.01	6.19 ^e ±0.01	7.27 ⁹ ±0.00	3.86 ^b ±0.01	3.57 ^b ±0.00	6.95 ^ª ±0.01	3.51 ^ª ±0.11
STF		9.06±0.05	7.73±0.03	7.13±0.00	8.57±0.31	7.42±0.01	8.72±0.04	7.06±0.13	8.91±0.11	7.01±0.00	9.03±0.06
MCF	Mg(ppm)	8.61 ^h ±0.01	10.20 ^h ±0.00	7.42 ^h ±0.01	9.16 ^h ±0.00	5.82 ^e ±0.03	$5.60^{d} \pm 0.00$	$6.22^{f} \pm 0.04$	$5.40^{\circ} \pm 0.00$	4.62 ^b ±0.08	5.03 ^b ±0.06
BSF	• • • •	8.61 ^h ±0.01	10.20 ^h ±0.00	8.21 ⁹ ±0.21	5.92 ^e ±0.08	4.80 ^c ±0.01	$6.21^{t} \pm 0.02$	4.30 ^a ±0.05	3.92 ^a ±0.08	5.43 ^d ±0.06	6.40 ⁹ ±0.02
STF		8.61±0.01	10.20±0.00	8.43±0.05	10.26±0.14	8.01±0.02	10.19±0.31	8.00±0.10	10.03±0.03	7.31±0.20	10.01±0.11
MCF	Fe(ppm)	0.055 ^b ±0.00	0.102±0.00	$0.055^{b} \pm 0.00$	0.102±0.00	0.072 ^c ±0.00	0.039±0.00	0.072 ^c ±0.00	0.099±0.00	0.183 ^e ±0.01	0.113±0.01
BSF	,	0.055 ^b ±0.00	0.102±0.00	0.047 ^a ±0.00	0.064±0.00	0.081 ^d ±0.00	0.072±0.00	0.055 ^b ±0.01	0.066±0.00	$0.18^{d} \pm 0.00$	0.056±0.01
STF		0.06±0.00	0.102±0.00	0.07±0.01	0.10±0.01	0.03±0.00	0.09±0.01	0.02±0.01	0.04±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.03±0.00

Table 5. Mineral composition of peeled and unpeeled blanched after using different fermentation methods

Sample	e and	0 h	0 h	24 h	24 h	72 h	72 h	120 h	120 h	168 h	168 h
fermentation time		Peeled	Unpeeled								
MCF	Na(ppm)	9.43 ^e ±0.06	10.73h±0.02	9.53 ^e ±0.06	9.03±0.02	9.23 ⁹ ±0.06	8.83g±0.06	8.33 ^d ±0.06	7.23c±0.06	6.23 ^a ±0.06	5.03a±0.06
BSF		9.43 ^e ±0.06	10.73h±0.02	9.90 [†] ±0.10	8.71e±0.01	12.10 ⁿ ±0.10	9.60f±0.20	8.00 ^c ±0.01	5.60b±0.00	7.53 ^b ±0.06	8.43d±0.06
STF		10.99±0.01	9.67±0.15	8.95±0.07	10.67±0.58	6.33±0.15	6.47±0.06	6.13±0.08	6.39±0.04	6.04±0.11	6.07±0.33
MCF	K(ppm)	21.63 ⁹ ±0.06	25.70a±0.10	21.22 ^g ±0.06	25.70a±0.10	20.71 ^e ±0.05	25.60±0.53	18.27 ^c ±0.06	11.72a±8.63	17.51 ^ª ±0.02	16.83ab±0.15
BSF		21.63 ⁹ ±0.06	25.70a±0.10	21.13 [†] ±0.02	18.53b±0.02	18.60 ^d ±0.26	17.90b±0.01	17.93 ^b ±0.06	18.70b±0.01	18.07 ^b ±0.06	18.43b±0.06
STF		24.99±0.01	17.63±0.01	24.50±0.71	20.53±0.50	18.53±0.06	21.80±0.10	19.41±0.01	21.42±0.07	19.33±0.12	21.19±0.01
MCF	Ca(ppm)	7.06 ⁹ ±0.05	8.38h±0.02	7.67 ⁹ ±0.05	6.32h±0.02	5.57 ^e ±0.01	5.85e±0.01	4.93 ^d ±0.01	6.32g±0.03	4.45 ^b ±0.00	4.41c±0.01
BSF		7.06 ⁹ ±0.05	8.38h±0.02	6.69 [†] ±0.01	5.73d±0.01	9.04±0.00	5.94f±0.01	4.73 [°] ±0.01	4.36b±0.01	3.64 ^a ±0.01	4.21a±0.01
STF		8.54±0.05	7.75±0.13	8.30±0.00	8.45±0.05	5.48±0.11	3.82±0.03	7.06±0.13	3.55±0.17	7.01±0.33	3.05±0.22
MCF	Mg(ppm)	10.43 ^f ±0.06	6.82f±0.03	10.79 ^f ±0.06	6.82f±0.03	5.83 ^c ±0.06	11.00g±0.00	7.00 ^d ±0.01	4.62a±0.02	5.23 ^b ±0.06	6.43e±0.06
BSF		10.43 [†] ±0.06	6.82f±0.03	8.43 ^e ±0.06	6.30d±0.01	$7.00^{d} \pm 0.00$	4.90b±0.00	3.83 [°] ±0.06	4.57a±0.06	5.23 ^b ±0.06	6.20c±0.01
STF		9.50±0.01	6.21±0.01	16.90±0.14	5.68±0.03	16.44±0.00	4.20±0.00	15.20±0.10	4.06±0.33	15.06±0.10	3.96±0.60
MCF	Fe(ppm)	0.403 ^a ±0.51	0.10d±0.02	0.403 ^a ±0.51	0.10d±0.02	0.239 ^a ±0.31	0.06b±0.00	0.073 ^a ±0.00	0.10d±0.00	0.107 ^a ±0.01	0.13e±0.00
BSF		0.403 ^a ±0.51	0.10d±0.02	0.11 ^a ±0.00	0.08c±0.00	$0.05^{a} \pm 0.00$	0.06b±0.00	0.043 ^a ±0.00	0.070b±0.00	$0.098^{a} \pm 0.00$	0.032a±0.02
STF		0.047±0.00	0.12±0.00	0.067±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.05±0.00	0.02±0.01	0.04±0.00	0.02±0.00	0.03±0.00

Table 6. Mineral Composition of peeled and unpeeled-boiled aerial potato after using different fermentation methods

Bukola et al.; EJNFS, 14(3): 27-38, 2022; Article no.EJNFS.83274

fermentation. Minerals are important constituents of human diet as they serve as cofactors for many physiological and metabolic processes [18]. Iron as an essential mineral required for a number of biological functions, including proper functioning of the immune system, electron transfer reactions, gene regulation, cell growth and differentiation as well as binding and transport of oxygen [19]. Iron is also important in the diet of both pregnant and nursing mothers, infants, convalescing patients and the elderly to prevent anemia [20]. Potassium and sodium may reduce the incidence of hypertension as it would not induce high blood pressure, which is the major cause of cardiovascular diseases [21]. The presence of calcium implies it could prevent bone diseases and also enhances the effective use of iron in the system [22]. Magnesium is required for the action of more than 300 enzymes in the body, where it participates in several significant physiological functions in the maintenance of good health and glucose homeostasis [18]. Magnesium plays а fundamental role in most reactions involving phosphate transfer and is also believed to be essential in the structural stability of nucleic acids and intestinal absorption [23].

The mineral compositions showed that the mineral contents was higher in unfermented than the fermented samples. The fact that the minerals reduced during fermentation is an indication that the microorganisms in the fermenting liquid make use of the minerals. According to Mouquet-River et al. [24], if not for these microorganisms utilizing these minerals, it would have increased. The reduction in the concentration of the minerals in the fermented samples could also be attributed to the fact that the supernatant was discarded and not included in the analysis [25]. The decreased values of minerals obtained could also be attributed to the higher rate of fermentation (anaerobic) of the aerial potato soaked in water resulting in higher levels of organic acids which in turn form soluble complexes with several minerals which were subsequently discarded with the liquid [25]. The increased calcium content from 9.06±0.05 and 7.73±0.03 at the initial to 11.57±0.01 and 9.03±0.06 at the end of the fermentation (Table 5) in the peeled-blanched and unpeeledblanched aerial potato (Table 5) suggests a positive role of fermentation on calcium availability in aerial potato which could be attributed to the removal of antinutrients by blanching [26].

4. CONCLUSION

The different processing the samples were subjected to, especially the fermentation caused some significant changes in the chemical composition of moisture, crude protein and crude fiber contents of the samples. It caused an increase in the values of nutrients and some elemental components of the yam. It is therefore, recommended that subjecting the yam to fermentation will be of great advantage in helping to suppress the increasing food insecurity and converting the abandon tubers into edible final products.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rayamajhi MB, Rohrig E, Lake EC, Smith MC. Phenological synchrony between a weed (*Dioscorea bulbifera*) and a biocontrol agent (*Liloceris cheni*) in the introduced range, Florida. Biocontrol Science and Technology. 2021;1-20.
- Ikiriza H, Ogwang PE, Peter EL, Hedmon O, Muwonge T. *Dioscorea bulbifera*, a highly threatened African medicinal plant. Cogent Biology. 2019;5(1):1631561.
- 3. Odeghe OB, Adikwu E, Ojiego C. Phytochemical and antioxidant assessments of *Dioscorea bulbifera* stem tuber. Biomedical and Biotechnology Research Journal. 2020;4(4):305.
- Kundu BB, Vanni K, Farheen A, Jha P, Pandey DK, Kumar V. Dioscorea bulbifera, L.: A review of its ethnobotany, pharmacology and conservation needs. South African Journal of Botany. 2021;140:365-374.
- 5. AOAC. Official methods of Analysis 14th (edition), Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington DC. 2005;125 -576.
- Adejuwon KP, Osundahunbi OF, Akinola SA, Oluwamukomi MO. Effect of fermentation on nutritional quality, growth and hematological parameters of rats fed sorghum-soybean-orange flesh sweet potato complementary diet. Food Science and Nutrition. 2021;9(2):639 – 650.
- 7. Mahesh MS, Madhu M. Biological treatment of crop residues for ruminant

feeding: A review. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2013;12 (27):4221-4231.

- Keishing S, Banu T, Umadevi M. Effect of fermentation on the nutrient content, antioxidant and antidiabetic activities of Hawaijar, an indigenous fermented soya of Manipur, Indian. Journal of Human Nutrition and Food Science. 2015;3(3):1066
- Abonyi FO, Iyi EO, Machebe NS. Effects of feeding sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) leaves on growth performance and nutrient digestibility of rabbits. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2012;11(15):3709-3712.
- Ogbuagu MN. Nutritive and Anti-Nutritive Composition of the Wild (In-Edible) Species of *Dioscorea bulbifera* (Potato Yam) and *Dioscorea dumentorum* (Bitter Yam). Journal of Food Technology. 2008;6 (5):224-226.
- 11. Olatoye KK, Arueya GL. Nutrient and Phytochemical composition of flour made from selected cultivars of aerial yam in Nigeria. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. 2019;79:23-27.
- Bolaniran T, Ogidi CO, Akinyele BJ. Nutritional value and safety of air potato *Dioscorea bulbifera* L. fermented with *Pleurotus ostreatus* and *Calocybe indica*. Brazilian Journal of Biological Sciences. 2019;6(13):467-482.
- Mohite BV, Chaudhari GA, Ingale HS, Mahajan VN. Effect of fermentation and processing on in vitro mineral estimation of selected fermented foods. International Food Research Journal. 2013;3:1373-1377.
- 14. Mordenti AL, Giaretta E, Campidonico L, Parazza P, Formigoni A. A review regarding the use of molasses in animal nutrition. *Animals.* 2021;11(1) :115.
- Mensah JK, Okoli RI, Ohaju-Obodo JO, Eifediyi K. Phytochemical, nutritional and medical properties of some leafy vegetables consumed by Edo people of Nigeria. Africa. Journal of Biotechnology. 2008;7:2304-2309.
- 16. Arawande JO, Borokini FB. Comparative study on chemical composition and functional properties of three Nigerian legumes (jack beans, pigeon pea and cowpea). Journal of Emerging Trends in

Engineering and Applied Sciences. 2010;1(1):89–95.

- Maduforo AN. Effects of fermentation on the nutrient and antinutrient composition of African yam beans seeds and pearl millet grains. International Journal of Science and Techno Ledge. 2014;2(12): 169 -175.
- Bolajoko IO, Babatunji EO, Foluso OO, Abidemi PK, Andrew RO. Comparative study on proximate, functional, mineral, and antinutrient composition of fermented, defatted, and protein isolate of *Parkia biglobosa* seed. Food Science and Nutrition. 2017;5(1):139-147.
- Siddiqui K, Bawazeer N, Joy S. Variation in macro and trace elements in progression of type 2 diabetes. Scientific World Journal. 2014;20:461–591.
- 20. Benson CS, Shah A, Stanworth SJ, Klein AA. The effect of ion deficiency and anaemia on women's health. Anaesthesia. 2021;76:84-95.
- 21. Du SA, Neiman C, Batis H, Wang B, Zhang J, Popkin BM. Understanding the patterns and trends of sodium intake, and potassium intake, sodium to potassium ratio and their effect on China. hypertension in American Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2014;99:334-343.
- 22. Adeyeye El. Proximate, mineral and antinutrient composition of dika nut (*Irvingia gabonensis*) kernel. Food Science. 2013;58:14902–14906.
- 23. Khan AR, Awan FR. Metals in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. Journal of Diabetes and Metabolic Disorder. 2014;13:16.
- Mouquet-River C, Icard-Verniere C, Guyot JP, Rochette I. Composition pattern, biochemical composition and nutritional value of fermented pearl millet gruels in Burkina Faso. International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition. 2008;59(8):716-729.
- 25. Adane T, Shimelis A, Negussie R, Tilahun B, Hahi DG. Effect of processing method on the proximate, mineral contents and antinutritional factors of Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) growth in Ethiopia. African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development. 2013;13(2):1-18.
- 26. Chongtham N, Bisht MS, Premlata T, Baiwa KH. Quality improvement of bamboo

shoots by removal of antinutrients using different processing techniques.

ournal of Food Science Technology. 2021;1-11.

© 2022 Bukola et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83274