



Evaluation of Different Microbial Inoculum on Mung Bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) Growth, Development and Nutrient Availability

Vikash Singh ^{a^o*}, Adesh Singh ^{a[#]}, Shikhar Verma ^{a^o}, Mausmi Rastogi ^{a^o},
Praveen Kumar Yadav ^{b^o} and Vivek Kumar ^{b^o}

^a Department of Agronomy, COA, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.) – 250110, India.

^b Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, COA, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.) – 250110, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2031155

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88421>

Original Research Article

Received 08 April 2022
Accepted 15 June 2022
Published 20 June 2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on Mung Bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) during the autumny season of 2020-21 at Technology Park of Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.). The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD). Results revealed that the growth parameters of mung bean viz., number of (331229 plants/ha), plant height (67.7 cm/plant), trifoliolate leaves/plant (12.7), number of branches/plant (4.5), dry matter accumulation (18.7 g/plant), leaf area index (5.72), CGR (7.6 g/m²/day) 50-at harvest and grain yield of (1,106 kg/ha) improved by various treatments over control, being highest under NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg. Similarly, this treatment also produced an accumulation of 59.8% more dry matter/plant than control. However, application of NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg recorded higher available N, P, K and Zn followed by NPK Consortia @ 20 ml/kg and RDF (20:40 kg/ha).

Keywords: KSB; liquid biofertilizer; microbial inoculums; NPK consortia; PSB; ZSB.

^oM.Sc. Scholar;

[#]Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: Vikashsingh090797@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Pulses are an excellent source of dietary proteins and can play an important role in fulfilling the requirements of a rapidly increasing population. Pulse production is very low and has become a challenging problem to meet the need of an increasing population of our country. On average, pulses contain 22-25 % protein, as against 8-10 % in cereals. A good amount of lysine is also present in the pulses. Mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) belongs to the family fabaceae or leguminaceae. Being a short duration crop and having wider adaptability, it can be grown in *autumny* as well as in summer season [1].

The yield of summer green gram is comparatively more than that of an *autumny* crop mainly because of controlled moisture conditions through irrigation, abundant sunshine and less pest and disease infestation. It is a short duration crop therefore has less water requirement as compared to summer crops. Moreover, it is drought resistant that can withstand adverse environmental conditions, and hence successfully be grown in rainfed areas. Mung bean is a good source of protein (25%) with good amount of lysine content (460 mg/g) and tryptophan (60 mg/g). It also has remarkable quantity of ascorbic acid when sprouted and also bear riboflavin (0.21 mg/100 g) and minerals (3.84 g/100 g). Mung bean sprouts are a rich source of vitamin C (8 mg/100 g) [2]. Phosphorus like nitrogen is an essential nutrient. Currently, soils have available P with 51% are in the low category 40% are in the medium category and 9% are in the high category. Plants are only available with 25% to 30% of applied P and the remaining P is converted into insoluble P [3]. The phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms increased phosphorus uptake as compared to controls with and without chemical fertilizers. Bio-fertilizers play an important role in increasing the availability of nitrogen and phosphorus [4]. Inoculation of seeds with *Rhizobium* culture is a low-cost method of nitrogen fertilization in legume and has been found beneficial to enhance the soil quality by providing more biological fixation of atmospheric nitrogen which may be helpful in boosting up production [5]. In recent years, several strains of fungi and phosphate solubilizing bacteria have been isolated. The mechanism of action of these microorganisms involves secretion of organic acids which lower the pH and increase the availability of sparingly soluble phosphorus

sources. Inoculation of seed with PSB culture may increase the production and productivity of mungbean crop [6]. NPK Consortia is a liquid biofertilizer which contain a combination of *Azotobacter*, *Acetobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Rhizobium*, PSB and Potassium mobilizing bacteria to facilitate availability of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium to crops. Liquid biofertilizers are a good alternative to chemical fertilizers. It aids in the preservation of organisms, their delivery to their destination, and the enhancement of their activity. Liquid biofertilizers are liquid mixtures that include not only the desired microbe and its nutrients, but also particular cell protectants or compounds that promote the creation of resting spores or cysts for prolonged shelf life and resistance to adverse conditions. Liquid biofertilizers have a longer shelf life than chemical fertilizers [7]. In Western U.P., wheat is harvested in the month of April, after that most probably rice/sorghum/pearl millet is grown in this zone. Instead of growing these cereals during this period growing of *autumny* mungbean may be a good option for effective utilization of the land and inputs, besides improving the soil fertility and productivity [8]. Further, the present soil management techniques/practices largely depends on inorganic chemical-based fertilizer application which caused a serious threat to environment and human health. KSB and ZSB application showed synergistic effects on N, P and K uptake. Further, soil fertility was also found to be improved due to application of potassium and zinc solubilizing microorganism in mungbean [9]. KSB has the potential to increase K availability in soils making it a valuable tool for crop establishment in K-limited soils. ZSB has the potential to convert the insoluble form of zinc in the soil to a soluble form making it easily bio-available to plants for growth development and final yield while also retaining soil health and fertility.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted at Technology Park, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (U.P.) in 2020-21 located at a latitude of 29° 4' North and longitude of 77° 42' East with an elevation of 228 meter above the mean sea level. The field was low in organic carbon and available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus, potassium and zinc. Plant population counted from each plot area at the time of harvesting was considered for recording the plant population at

harvest stage. The height of previously tagged five plants were measured at harvest stage. Height of main shoot base from the ground surface up to growing tip of main stem was measured. The average plant height was calculated by taking the mean of heights of 5 plants and expressed in cm. The total number of trifoliolate leaves from 5 tagged plants were counted and thus the average number of trifoliolate leaves/plant was recorded by dividing it by five. Total number of branches/plant was recorded at harvest time from the previously five tagged plants. The number of branches/plant excluding main axis were recorded from the total number of branches in all selected plants and by dividing it by five. Five random plant samples from outer row of each plot were uprooted and washed thoroughly at harvest. Thereafter, samples of different plants were sun-dried and then put in an oven at $65 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ for 48 hours or till the constant dry weight is attained and the dry weight of individual plant was recorded by dividing the total dry weight by five. It is the ratio between leaf area and land area. It was recorded at harvest stage of crop. For this purpose, five plants were selected randomly in second row of each treatment plot. Their leaf area was measured with the help of leaf area meter and then leaf area index was calculated with the help of following formula given by Watson [10]:

$$\text{LAI} = (\text{Leaf area (cm}^2\text{)})/(\text{Land area (cm}^2\text{)})$$

CGR was worked out through the standard procedures as:

$$\text{CGR} = (W_2 - W_1)/(T_2 - T_1)$$

Where,

W_1 and W_2 are dry weight (g/plant) at Time T_1 and T_2 , respectively.

Produce of each net plot was threshed and obtained grains were winnowed, cleaned and weighed. The yield recorded in kg/plot was standardized to 12 % moisture and then converted into kg/ha. Soil samples were collected from 0-15 cm depth from whole plot. These samples were processed and analyzed for various chemical properties in the laboratory of Department of Agronomy, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut. Estimation of total organic carbon was done to assess the amount of organic matter in the soil. To determine the organic carbon content, soil sample of 0.5-1 gm was taken and

treated with chromic acid as given by Walkley and Black using wet oxidation method [11]. Available Nitrogen was determined by alkaline potassium permanganate (KMnO_4) method given by Subbiah and Asija [12]. Before estimation of Available phosphorous, pH of soil sample was determined using pH meter. The pH of soil sample was 7.73 which is in alkaline range so, 0.5M NaHCO_3 extractable method was used [13].

The concentration of available phosphorus in soil was expressed in kg/ha as,

$$\text{Available P (kg/ha)} = \text{ppm of P calculated from standard curve} \times \text{dilution factor} \times 2.24$$

Available soil potassium was extracted using neutral normal ammonium acetate and the content of K in the solution was estimated by a flame photometer [14]. The concentration of available potassium in soil was expressed in kg/ha as,

$$\text{Available K (kg/ha)} = \text{ppm of K calculated from standard curve} \times \text{dilution factor} \times 2.24$$

Available Zn in the soil was extracted by DTPA and Zn, in the extract were determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer as documented by [15] and their concentration was read on Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (GBC-Avanta PM Model).

$$\text{Available Zinc (mg/kg)} = A \times 2$$

Where, A stands for the Zn concentration in aliquot as read from X-axis of standard curve against the sample reading.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A perusal of the data showed that plant population at harvest did not varied significantly due to microbial inoculation in the mung bean. Highest plant population at harvest (3,31,229/ha) was recorded in treatment T_{10} (NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg) and lowest plant population was recorded under control treatment (2,93,370/ha). These results are in accordance with the results obtained by Jat et al. [16] in mung bean. The highest plant height (67.7 cm) at the time of harvest was recorded with the NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg (T_{10}) which was significantly higher over control (53.2 cm) and statistically on par treatment T_9 (67.1 cm), T_2 (66.9 cm), T_8 (65.2 cm), T_7 (64.6 cm) and

T₆ (64.1 cm). Moreover, the increment in height over control was 27.2 %. Further at harvest, the highest number of trifoliolate leaves (12.7) were noticed with NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20ml/kg (T₁₀), which was significantly more over T₅ (10.8) and control (9.8), however rest of the treatments were statistically *on par* with each other. Similar finding was also reported by Shende et al. [17]. Moreover, the lowest number of trifoliolate leaves/plant was recorded in control at all the stages of crop growth. Almost similar trend was also recorded at 50 DAS stage. Dual inoculation in mung bean also remained statistically alike at all the stages of crop growth. However, maximum numbers of branches/plant at 50 DAS (3.2) was recorded in treatment receiving NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg (T₁₀) which remained *on par* to treatment T₂ (3.1) and T₉ (3.1) and significantly superior over rest of the treatments. At harvest, treatment with NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg (T₁₀) resulted into highest number of branches/plant (4.5) followed by T₉ (4.3), which were statistically superior to control. The crop inoculated with NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg (T₁₀) had highest accumulation of dry matter/plant, though it remained *on par* with NPK Consortia @ 20 ml/kg (T₉) and RDF (20:40 kg/ha) but significantly superior over rest of the treatments. Almost similar trend was observed at all the crop growth stages. Further, the rate of increment in RDF, NPK Consortia and NPK Consortia+ZSB applied treatments over control was 55.5, 57.2 and 59.8 %. Maximum leaf area index at harvest was recorded in treatment receiving NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20ml/kg (T₁₀) followed by T₉ and T₂ (5.72 and 5.63, respectively) which were statistically superior to control. However, under control the lowest leaf area index at 50 DAS and harvest stage was noted. However, between 50 DAS to harvest stage, significantly higher crop growth rate was noted under treatment T₁₀ (7.6 g/m²/day) followed by T₉ (7.5 g/m²/day) and T₂ (7.4 g/m²/day) which were *on par* to each other and statistically superior to control. Although, the lowest crop growth rate was recorded under control between 25 to 50 DAS and 50 DAS to harvest stage (9.8 and 2.1 g/m²/day, respectively) And maximum grain yield (1106 kg/ha) was observed with NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20ml/kg (T₁₀) which remained *on par* with treatments T₉ (1053 kg/ha), T₂ (1024 kg/ha), T₇ (970 kg/ha) and T₆ (947 kg/ha) while significantly superior to rest of the treatments. Similar findings were also reported by Yadav et al. [18] and Sharma and Borah [19] in mung bean. Data presented in Table 2

indicated that application of NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg (T₁₀) resulted into highest available nitrogen (205.4 kg/ha) which was statistically *on par* with treatments T₉ (204.2 kg/ha), T₇ (187.7 kg/ha), T₆ (196.3 kg/ha), T₅ (190.5 kg/ha) and T₂ (200.6 kg/ha) and was superior to rest of the treatments. However, the lowest available nitrogen (170.5 kg/ha) was recorded under control. Further data presented indicated that mung bean grown under different microbial inoculums measured higher soil available phosphorus in comparison to control. Crop inoculated with NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg (T₁₀) recorded the maximum available phosphorus (19.8 kg/ha) in soil followed by T₉ (19.0 kg/ha) and T₂ (18.5 kg/ha) being *on par* each other and statistically superior to control. While the minimum available phosphorus (13.0 kg/ha) was recorded in control followed by T₈ (14.5 kg/ha). Data presented in Table 2 revealed that there was significant increase in available potassium under different microbial inoculums in comparison to control. The highest available potassium (214.4 kg/ha) was recorded with the NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20ml/kg (T₁₀) followed by T₉ and T₂ (210.4 kg/ha). However, the rest of the treatments were statistically *on par* to each other in this regard. Moreover, lowest available potassium (180.2 kg/ha) was found under control. Further data presented in Table 2, indicated that there was a significant increase in available zinc among different microbial inoculums, except PSB/KSB/NPK Consortia @ 20ml/kg alone in comparison to control. The maximum available zinc (0.84 mg/ha) was recorded with NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20ml/kg (T₁₀) which was statistically significant over T₉ (0.76 mg/ha), T₃ (0.73 mg/ha) and T₂ (0.74 mg/ha). However rest of the treatments were statistically *on par* to each other, but the minimum available zinc was found in control (0.72 mg/kg). Perusal of data presented in Table 2 revealed that all the microbial inoculums increased organic carbon in soil significantly over control. The crop inoculated with NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20ml/kg (T₁₀) recorded highest organic carbon (0.57 %) which was *on par* with treatments T₉ (0.56 %), T₆ (0.54%) and T₂ (0.55 %) while significantly superior over rest of the treatments. However, the lowest organic carbon (0.45 %) was recorded in control. Highest value of soil available nutrients NPK, zinc and organic carbon was obtained with inoculation of mung bean *viz* NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg. Available nitrogen in soil significantly increased after harvest of crop, which might be possibly due to

more atmospheric nitrogen fixed in the soil due to higher bacterial population in rhizosphere under inoculated condition. Also, application of NPK Consortia enhances the microbial activity which

reflected the possible increase in overall nutrient status of soil. These research findings are similar to those of Shukla et al. [20], and Nama et al. [21] in mung bean.

Table 1. Evaluation of microbial inoculums at harvest on Number of plants/ha, Plant height (cm/plant), Trifoliolate leaves/plant, Number of branches/plant, Dry matter accumulation (g/plant), Leaf Area Index, CGR (g/m²/day) 50-at harvest

Treatments	Number of plants/ha	Plant height (cm/plant)	Trifoliolate leaves/plant	Number of branches/plant	Dry matter accumulation (g/plant)	Leaf Area Index	CGR (g/m ² /day) 50-at harvest	Grain Yield Kg/ha
T ₁ : Control	293370	53.2	9.8	3.2	11.7	3.86	2.1	701
T ₂ : RDF (20:40kg/ha)	326596	66.9	12.3	4.2	18.2	5.63	7.4	1,024
T ₃ : PSB @ 20 ml/kg	311063	59.2	12.0	4.0	14.4	4.36	4.0	910
T ₄ : KSB @ 20 ml/kg	304396	58.6	11.6	3.8	13.9	4.49	4.2	821
T ₅ : ZSB @ 20 ml/kg	306696	59.7	10.8	3.9	14.2	4.56	4.6	887
T ₆ : PSB +KSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	315530	64.1	11.8	4.1	14.8	4.59	5.2	947
T ₇ : PSB + ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	319096	64.6	11.7	3.8	16.2	4.54	4.6	970
T ₈ : KSB+ ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	313363	65.2	12.1	4.0	14.6	4.32	4.3	925
T ₉ : NPK Consortia @ 20 ml/kg	328796	67.1	11.7	4.3	18.4	5.68	7.5	1,053
T ₁₀ : NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	331229	67.7	12.7	4.5	18.7	5.72	7.6	1,106
SEm (±)	11235.6	2.7	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.22	0.5	59
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	8.0	1.4	0.5	2.2	0.65	1.6	176

Table 2. Evaluation of microbial inoculums on Available Nitrogen, phosphorus, Potassium, Zinc, and organic carbon, in soil

Treatments	Available Nitrogen (kg/ha)	Available Phosphorus (kg/ha)	Available Potassium (kg/ha)	Available Zinc (mg/k)	Organic Carbon (%)
T ₁ : Control	170.5	13.0	180.2	0.72	0.45
T ₂ : RDF (20:40kg/ha)	200.6	18.5	210.4	0.74	0.55
T ₃ : PSB @ 20 ml/kg	178.4	15.4	191.3	0.73	0.48
T ₄ : KSB @ 20 ml/kg	182.6	15.8	195.9	0.78	0.49
T ₅ : ZSB @ 20 ml/kg	190.5	17.2	200.5	0.83	0.52
T ₆ : PSB +KSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	196.3	17.7	205.6	0.80	0.54
T ₇ : PSB + ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	187.7	16.6	198.7	0.81	0.51
T ₈ : KSB+ ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	176.3	14.5	185.4	0.83	0.46
T ₉ : NPK Consortia @ 20 ml/kg	204.2	19.0	212.5	0.76	0.56
T ₁₀ : NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg	205.4	19.8	214.4	0.84	0.57
SEm (±)	7.4	0.7	7.1	0.03	0.02
C.D. (P=0.05)	21.8	2.0	21.1	0.08	0.05

4. CONCLUSION

In Western U.P., wheat is harvested in the month of April, after that most probably rice/sorghum/pearl millet is grown in this zone. Instead of growing these cereals during this period growing of *autumn* mung bean with application of NPK Consortia+ZSB, each @ 20 ml/kg can be a good option for effective utilization of the land and inputs, besides improving the soil fertility and productivity.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Biyan SC, Basanti C, Dhuppar P, Rao DS. Summer mung crop production in the context of climate change: An Appraisal. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education. 2012;2:46-48.
2. Hamim H, Choudhary AK. Influence of varying potassium levels on yield, water productivity, profitability and resource-use efficiency in kharif mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) under semi-arid conditions of Afghanistan. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019;89(3).
3. Dey P, Santhi R, Maragatham S, Sellamuthu KM. Status of phosphorus and potassium in the Indian soils vis-à-vis world soils. Indian Journal of Fertilisers. 2017;13(4):44-59.
4. Satyaprakash M, Nikitha T, Reddi EUB, Sadhana B, Vani SS. Phosphorous and phosphate solubilising bacteria and their role in plant nutrition. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(4):2133-2144.
5. Pathak K, Kalita MK, Barman U, Hararik BN, Saha NN. Response of summer mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) to inoculation and nitrogen level in Barak Vally Zone of Assam. Annals of Agricultural Research. 2001;22(1):123-124.
6. Balachandran S, Deotale RD, Hatmode CN, Titare PS, Thorat AW. Effect of bio-fertilizers (pressmud, Rhizobium and PSB) and nutrients (NPK) on morpho-physiological parameters of green gram. Journal of Soils and Crops. 2005;15(2): 442-447.
7. Mandale AF, Mahajan PD, Patil SA, Mane JT, Desai DD. Effect of liquid formulations of Rhizobium inoculation on growth and yield of mung bean. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2021;10(1):1276-1292.
8. Sharma MP, Khurana AS. Biofertilizers, Farmer and parliament. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1997;38:17-18.
9. Navsare RI, Mane SS, Supekar SJ. Effect of potassium and zinc solubilizing microorganism on growth, yield and quality of mungbean. International Journal of Chemical Studies. 2018;6(1):1996-2000.
10. Watson DJ. The physiological basis of variation in yield. Advances in Agronomy. 1952;4:101-145.
11. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Asia Publication House, Bombay. 1973;165-167.
12. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid method for the estimation of nitrogen in soil. Current Science. 1956;26:259-260.
13. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extracting with sodium bicarbonate. United State Department of Agriculture Circulation. 1954;42:939-941.
14. Sparks DL, Page AL, Helmke PA, Loeppert RH. (Eds.). Methods of soil analysis, part 3: Chemical methods. John Wiley & Sons. 2020;14.
15. Lindsay WL, Norvell W. Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. Soil science society of America Journal. 1978;42(3):421-428.
16. Jat RA, Arvadia MK, Tandel B, Patel TU, Mehta RS. Response of saline water irrigated greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) to land configuration, fertilizer and farm yard manure in Tapi command area of south Gujarat. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2012;57(3):270-274.
17. Shende ST, Apte RG, Singh T. Influence of Azotobacter on germination of rice and cotton seeds [India]. Current Science; 1977.
18. Yadav AK, Varghese K, Abraham T. Response of biofertilizers, poultry manure and different levels of phosphorus on nodulation and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.) cv. K-851. Agricultural Science Digest. 2007;27(3):213-215.
19. Sharma P, Borah P. Influence of seed inoculation treatments on yield and quality of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Legume

- Research: An International Journal. 2021; 44(6).
20. Shukla M, Patel RH, Verma R, Deewan P, Dotaniya ML. Effect of bio-organics and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under Middle Gujarat conditions. International Journal of Plant Research. 2013;26(1): 183-187.
21. Nama N, Sharma MK, Meena DS, Yadav RK, Verma P, Sharma YN. Consequence of liquid bio-fertilizers and drought mitigating chemicals on soil physico-chemical properties and nutrient availability of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] under SE-Rajasthan. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2021;10(7): 1366-1370.

© 2022 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/88421>