

Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology

40(46): 1-8, 2021; Article no.CJAST.83842 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

## Effect of Different Post-emergence Herbicides Tank Mix with Atrazine on Nutrient uptake and Chlorophyll Content in Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

G. R. Mali<sup>a\*</sup>, A. Verma<sup>a</sup> and Bharat D. Malunjker<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, Udaipur, Rajasthan 313001, India.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2021/v40i4631628

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83842

**Original Research Article** 

Received 20 October 2021 Accepted 25 December 2021 Published 27 December 2021

## ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted on clay loam soil during *kharif*, 2017 at Instructional Agronomy Farm, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur to find out the best chemical weed management practices in maize. The experiment comprising 13 treatment combinations *i.e.* weedy check, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 10 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 20 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + halosulfuron 0.09 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 10 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + halosulfuron 0.09 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 20 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 days after sowing. All the weed control treatments

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: gm01051994@gmail.com;

which was statistically at par with post-emergence application of atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 DAS (4963 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The maximum uptake of total nitrogen (144.49 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and phosphorus (34.99 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) by the crop was observed under application of atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, while maximum uptake of potassium (130.42 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded in atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 20 DAS which were significantly higher over all other herbicidal treatments.

Keywords: Maize; atrazine; post emergence; chlorophyll; nutrient.

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Maize (Zea mays L.) is the third most important cereal in the world; it is one of the most versatile crops having wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions. It is also known as "Queen of Cereals". Maize in India, contribute nearly 9% in the national food basket and more than `100 billion to the agricultural GDP at current price, cultivated on 9.25 m ha area with production of 23.67 m t at a productivity of 2.53 t ha<sup>1</sup>. In Rajasthan, this crop occupied 0.90 m ha area with production of 1.60 m t and productivity of 1.70 t h<sup>-1</sup> [1]. Being rainy season crop, it has high yielding crop but weed infestation is one of the major constraints in cultivation of maize. The most critical period for crop-weed competition is first six weeks after planting of crop which may reduce yield by 28-100%.During this period, weeding is essentially required .Physical and mechanical means are expensive, and many times timely operations are not possible due to continuous rains in monsoon season [2] (Dass et al., 2012).

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out at research farm Rajasthan college of Agriculture, Udaipur, of during kharif, 2017 (24°35' N latitude and 73°42' E longitude.an altitude of 582.5 meter above mean sea level). The soil of experimental had low in nitrogen (249.2 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in phosphorus (21.6 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) , high in potassium  $(378.7 \text{ Kg ha}^{-1})$  and slightly alkaline (P<sup>H</sup> 8.1) and calcarious in nature. The soil of the experimental field was clay loam in texture. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 13 treatment combination which were replicated thrice tested were as follows weedy check, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 10 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 20 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + halosulfuron 0.09 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 10 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + halosulfuron 0.09 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + halosulfuron 0.09 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

PoE at 20 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 10 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 20 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 10 DAS, atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS, and atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + topramezone 0.025 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 20 DAS. The result were analyzed taking consideration of weed parameters such as weed dry matter at harvest nutrient content and uptake by weed and plant parameter such as nutrient (Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Potassium) content and uptake plant and grain yield.

The dry matter of weeds obtained at harvest was ground and analyzed for N, P, K and S contents as detailed for plant analysis. Nutrient N, P, K and S uptake by weeds at harvest were computed by following formula and expressed in terms of Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Nutrient uptake  
Nutrient content in weeds (%)  
= 
$$\frac{x \text{ Weed dry matter (Kg ha - 1)}}{100}$$

by weeds (Kg ha 
$$- 1$$
)

Fresh leaf sample were collected at 30 and 60 DAS from crop, and immediately were taken to lab, washed with distil water and dried with blotting paper. A sample of 100 mg was taken from each experimental unit in mortar and pestle. The sample was ground well with 80 per cent acetone and filtered into a 25 ml volumetric flask the volume was raised and absorbance was recorded. The Chlorophyll content was estimated as per standard procedure [3].

Chlorophyll content  
= 
$$\left(\frac{20.2(A645) + 8.02(A663)}{a \times 1000 \times W}\right) X V$$
  
(mg g<sup>-1</sup> f. w.)

Nutrient	Method of analysis	Reference
Nitrogen	Nesseler's reagent colorimetric method	Lindner [4]
Phosphorus	Ammonium vanadomolybdo phosphoric acid yellow colour method	Richards [5]
Potassium	Flame photometer method	Jackson [6]

Table 1. Methods for determination of nutrient content

Where,

a = length of light path in cell (1 cm)

V = volume of extract in ml

W = fresh weight of leaf sample in g

For estimation of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents, representative plant samples were collected at harvest, oven dried and ground to fine powder and nutrient contents in grain and straw were estimated as per the method given in Table 1.

The uptake of nutrient by grain and stover at harvest were calculated by using following formula:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Grain/stover (Kg ha - 1)} \\ \mbox{Nutrient uptake by Nutrient content in grain} \\ = \frac{/\mbox{stover (\%) X Grain/stover yield (Kg ha - 1)}}{100} \end{array}$ 

Total nutrient uptake by the crop was computed by summing up the uptake by both grain and stover.

#### 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Weed Flora

Maize was heavily infested with mixed flora of monocot and dicot weeds mainly consisted of *Echinochloa colona*, *Dinebra retroflexa*, *Commelina benghalensis* and *Amaranthus viridis*, *Trianathema portulacastrum and Digeria arvensis* respectively.

### 3.2 Effect on Weed

# 3.2.1 Nutrient content and uptake by weed at harvest

The data explicit that there was no significant effect of weed management practices on N, P, and K content in weed.

Nutrient uptake by weeds was maximum in weedy check plots where uncontrolled weed growth throughout the crop season resulted in a

loss of 33.05 kg N, 6.57 kg P and 24.68 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2). Herbicidal weed control treatments brought about significant reduction in NPK uptake by weeds. Reduction in weed dry matter through tank mix application of atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS was accompanied by corresponding reduction in per hectare removal of N, P and K. The nutrient uptake by weeds is a direct function of its dry matter and nutrient content. The nutrient depletion by weeds in the present study also substantiates this fact.

The minimum nutrient uptake was recorded by weed controlling through atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS (0.49 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 0.10 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> and 0.39 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>). This weed control treatment was found statistically superior over the rest of treatments. The correlation study also indicates a positive significant correlation between weed biomass and NPK uptake by weeds.

### 3.3 Effect on Crop

All the weed control measures tended to improve the nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium hv grain and stover significantly compared to weedy check (Table 4). Nutrient uptake by crop is primarily a function of yield and nutrient content. The highest NPK uptake was recorded with atrazine 0.5 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>+ tembotrione 0.125 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> PoE at 15 DAS (Table 5) which might be due to weed competition decreased crop had concurrently increased in nutrient availability, better crop growth and higher crop biomass belguoo with production more nutrient content.Similar results were also reported by Patel et al. [7] and Choudhary et al. [8].

In general, the aforesaid improvements seems to be on account of their direct impact through least crop-weed competition while, indirect effect might be on account of least competition for plant growth inputs *viz.*, light, space, water and nutrient etc. Better weed control also resulted in increased chlorophyll content of leaves which can be attributed to greater nutrient availability of nutrients specially nitrogen which has a significant role to play in chlorophyll synthesis

Treatment	Nutrient c	ontent (%) in we	ed	Nutrient upt	ake by (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> ) we	ed	
	Ν	Р	K	Ν	Р	K	
Weedy check	1.74	0.35	1.30	33.05	6.57	24.68	
Atrazine at 10 DAS	1.74	0.34	1.35	7.21	1.42	5.57	
Atrazine at 15 DAS	1.75	0.36	1.31	8.93	1.84	6.69	
Atrazine at 20 DAS	1.72	0.32	1.27	11.41	2.14	8.46	
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 10 DAS	1.74	0.36	1.30	6.18	1.26	4.62	
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 15 DAS	1.75	0.34	1.34	7.53	1.48	5.75	
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 20 DAS	1.70	0.30	1.27	9.90	1.75	7.41	
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 10 DAS	1.73	0.33	1.29	1.34	0.26	1.00	
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 15 DAS	1.70	0.34	1.33	0.49	0.10	0.39	
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 20 DAS	1.74	0.34	1.31	0.90	0.18	0.68	
Atrazine + Topramezone at 10 DAS	1.77	0.33	1.32	1.41	0.26	1.05	
Atrazine + Topramezone at 15 DAS	1.80	0.32	1.34	0.60	0.11	0.45	
Atrazine + Topramezone at 20 DAS	1.68	0.32	1.25	1.55	0.30	1.15	
SEm <u>+</u>	0.025	0.012	0.030	0.251	0.106	0.190	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	0.734	0.309	0.554	

## Table 2. Effect of herbicides on nutrient content and uptake by weed

Treatments		Yield (Kg ha⁻¹)	Chlorophyll content(mg g <sup>-1</sup> fresh wt.)		
	Grain yield	Stover yield	Biological yield	At 30 DAS	At 60 DAS
Weedy check	2367	4009	6376	1.10	1.63
Atrazine at 10 DAS	3821	5624	9444	1.12	1.73
Atrazine at 15 DAS	3290	5272	8563	1.12	1.66
Atrazine at 20 DAS	3579	4886	8465	1.11	1.65
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 10 DAS	3225	4717	7942	1.12	1.65
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 15 DAS	3194	5071	8265	1.11	1.58
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 20 DAS	3587	5382	8969	1.10	1.65
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 10 DAS	4829	7018	11847	1.13	1.65
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 15 DAS	5240	7028	12269	1.15	1.71
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 20 DAS	4963	6885	11847	1.14	1.67
Atrazine + Topramezone at 10 DAS	4892	6773	11664	1.13	1.68
Atrazine + Topramezone at 15 DAS	4638	6947	11584	1.13	1.68
Atrazine + Topramezone at 20 DAS	4598	6886	11483	1.13	1.67
SEm <u>+</u>	232	250	344	0.009	0.037
CD (P=0.05)	679	729	1004	NS	NS

## Table 3. Effect of herbicide on yield (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and chlorophyll content in maize

Treatment	N	utrient content (%)	)in Grain	Nutrient content (%)in Stover			
	Ν	Р	K	Ν	Р	K	
Weedy check	1.70	0.31	0.41	0.56	0.15	1.38	
Atrazine at 10 DAS	1.71	0.34	0.42	0.74	0.15	1.41	
Atrazine at 15 DAS	1.80	0.32	0.43	0.82	0.16	1.42	
Atrazine at 20 DAS	1.90	0.41	0.44	0.84	0.17	1.41	
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 10 DAS	1.72	0.41	0.42	0.85	0.17	1.40	
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 15 DAS	1.73	0.44	0.44	0.85	0.16	1.48	
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 20 DAS	1.81	0.42	0.44	0.70	0.16	1.47	
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 10 DAS	1.83	0.45	0.43	0.72	0.17	1.43	
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 15 DAS	1.81	0.42	0.43	0.71	0.18	1.42	
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 20 DAS	1.75	0.41	0.44	0.83	0.17	1.42	
Atrazine + Topramezone at 10 DAS	1.80	0.41	0.43	0.82	0.17	1.43	
Atrazine + Topramezone at 15 DAS	1.81	0.40	0.44	0.82	0.16	1.40	
Atrazine + Topramezone at 20 DAS	1.81	0.40	0.43	0.83	0.16	1.60	
SEm <u>+</u>	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	
CD (P=0.05)	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.11	

## Table 4. Effect of herbicides on nutrient content (N,P and K) in maize at harvest

Treatment	Nutrient uptake by Grain (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Nutrient uptake by Stover (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Total nutrient uptake by Plant (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	Ν	Р	K	Ν	Р	K	Ν	P	K
Weedy check	40.23	7.29	9.80	22.45	6.13	55.09	62.69	13.42	64.89
Atrazine at 10 DAS	65.20	12.92	16.04	41.34	8.53	79.34	106.54	21.45	95.38
Atrazine at 15 DAS	59.36	10.61	14.28	43.11	8.45	74.82	102.48	19.06	89.10
Atrazine at 20 DAS	67.94	14.74	15.77	41.11	8.26	68.80	109.05	23.00	84.56
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 10 DAS	55.52	13.28	13.56	39.87	7.82	66.10	95.39	21.10	79.66
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 15 DAS	55.25	14.16	14.08	42.92	8.35	75.25	98.17	22.51	89.34
Atrazine + Halosulfuron at 20 DAS	64.89	15.06	15.89	37.74	8.78	79.00	102.63	23.85	94.88
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 10 DAS	88.40	21.50	20.87	50.37	11.86	100.48	138.76	33.36	121.35
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 15 DAS	94.71	22.23	22.42	49.78	12.77	99.81	144.49	34.99	122.23
Atrazine + Tembotrione at 20 DAS	86.74	20.37	21.78	57.26	11.64	97.97	144.00	32.01	119.75
Atrazine + Topramezone at 10 DAS	87.93	19.96	20.81	55.73	11.42	97.33	143.65	31.38	118.14
Atrazine + Topramezone at 15 DAS	84.03	18.47	20.19	56.65	11.21	97.27	140.68	29.68	117.46
Atrazine + Topramezone at 20 DAS	83.30	18.40	19.91	57.14	11.34	110.51	140.44	29.74	130.42
SEm <u>+</u>	4.26	1.08	0.99	1.98	0.41	4.89	4.68	1.14	4.96
CD (P=0.05)	12.43	3.15	2.90	5.78	1.20	14.27	13.65	3.32	14.48

## Table 5. Effect of herbicides on nutrient uptake (N,P and K) by plant (grain and stover) at harvest in maize

Leaf chlorophyll content is often highly correlated with leaf N status, photosynthetic capacity, and RuBP carboxylase activity.

The data presented in Table 3 showed that herbicide had no significant effect on chlorophyll content (mg  $g^{-1}$  fresh weight) in maize plant at 30 DAS however, resulted highest chlorophyll content (1.15 mg  $g^{-1}$  fresh weight) under treatment PoE at 15 DAS.

At 60 DAS, the results showed that herbicide alone and mixture had no significant effect on chlorophyll content (mg  $g^{-1}$  fresh weigh t) on maize plant.

### 4. CONCLUSION

It could be concluded that under the conditions of the current experiment that the tank mix application of atrazine 0.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> + tembotrione 0.125 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> at 15 DAS has positive effects on chlorophyll , nutrient content and uptake by maize along with corresponding reduction in per hectare removal of N, P and K by weed and highest grain yield (5240 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>),net return (₹ 71009 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and BC ratio (2.57). However, the findings are based on one year experimentation that need to be validated through further research to formulate recommendation.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Agricultural Statistics at a Glance. Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India. 2016:103-105.
- Chopra P, Angiras NN. Effect of tillage and weed management on productivity and nutrient uptake of maize (*Zea mays*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2008;53:66-69.
- 3. Arnon DI. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplast, polyphenoxidase in *Beta vulgaries*. Plant Physiology. 1949;24:1-15.
- 4. Lindner RC. Rapid analytical method for some of the more common substances of plant and soil. Plant Physiology. 1944;19:76-84.
- 5. Richards LA. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkaline soils. USDA Handbook No. 1968:60.
- Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi; 1973.
- Patel VJ, Upadhyay PN, Patel JB, Meisuriya MI.. Effect of herbicide mixture on weeds in kharif maize (*Zea mays* L.) under middle Gujarat conditions. Indian Journal of Weed Science. 2006;38:54-57.
- Choudhary P, Nepalia V, Singh D.. Effect of weed control and sulphur on productivity of quality protein maize (*Zea mays*), dynamicsof associated weeds and relative nutrient uptake. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2013;58:534 – 538.

© 2021 Mali et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/83842