



Exploring the Impact of Probiotics on the Gut Ecosystem and Morpho-Histology in Fish: Current Knowledge of Tilapia

Remy Ntakirutimana^{a,b*}, Fredrick Juma Syanya^a and Paul Mwangi^c

^a *Department of Marine Science, School of Industrial Fisheries, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin-602022, Kerala, India.*

^b *Faculty of Sciences, Centre for Research in Natural and Environmental Sciences, University of Burundi, POB: 2700 Bujumbura, Burundi.*

^c *Department of Biotechnology, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin-602022, Kerala, India.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author RN contributed to the conceptualization, performed the literature review, drafted the manuscript, and participated in the revision and editing of the manuscript. Authors FJS collected the literature, and reviewed and edited the text. Author PM contributed to the review and editing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJFAR/2023/v25i3670

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106103>

Review Article

Received: 12/07/2023

Accepted: 16/09/2023

Published: 22/09/2023

ABSTRACT

As global demand for fish as a food source continues to rise, addressing challenges within the aquaculture industry and meeting consumer needs becomes imperative. Enhancing fish growth and safeguarding against microbial pathogens are among these challenges. Understanding the

*Corresponding author: Email: ntare93@cusat.ac.in;

intestinal microbiome holds promise for achieving these goals and benefiting the aquaculture sector with a particular focus on tilapia, which has gained increased attention in recent research. Probiotics, live microorganisms administered at appropriate levels, can foster a balanced gut microbiota in animals. This can yield advantages like pathogen defence, improved digestion, enhanced growth, and increased survival rates in Tilapia. Probiotics are emerging as tools to promote healthy intestinal environments in Tilapia and other fish species, with potential to positively influence gut structure, ecosystem, growth, and disease resistance. The dynamic interplay between tilapia and their intestinal microbiota has garnered significant attention, affecting tilapia's health, performance, and overall well-being. This review compiles research findings on the influence of probiotics on tilapia intestinal ecosystems, morpho-histological structures, and enzymatic activities. It outlines their impact on gut microbiota composition in tilapia, demonstrating their ability to increase beneficial bacteria while reducing pathogenic strains. Probiotics can enhance intestinal morphology in tilapia, promoting villi development, goblet cell density, and mucous layer thickness. Additionally, they influence digestive enzyme activity in tilapia, resulting in improved nutrient absorption and tilapia growth. Although this field is continually evolving, the progress in deciphering probiotics' complex interactions with tilapia guts underscores their potential benefits in advancing tilapia aquaculture practices.

Keywords: *Probiotics; fish and shellfish; gut microbiota; intestine; histology; morphology; digestive activity; enzymatic activity.*

1. INTRODUCTION

With the increasing demand for fish as food, it is important to address the challenges of the industry and meet the needs of consumers. One of the challenges is the need to improve fish growth and protect them from microbial pathogens [1–3]. By understanding the intestinal microbiome, valuable insights can be gained to achieve these goals and provide useful information to the aquaculture industry [4–6]. Probiotics are living microorganisms that, when administered at appropriate doses, can promote a healthy balance of gut bacteria in animals. This can result in various benefits such as preventing the invasion of harmful pathogens, improving digestion, promoting growth, and increasing survival rates [7–9]. In this context, probiotics have emerged as promising tools to promote and maintain a healthy intestinal environment in fish species [10–12]. By providing beneficial microorganisms, probiotics have the potential to positively influence the intestinal structure and ecosystem of fish, resulting in improved growth, disease resistance, and overall performance [13–16]. To understand the impact of probiotics, it is essential to delve into the concept of the fish gut ecosystem [17,18]. The complex relationship between fish and their intestinal microbiota has become a fascinating area of research in recent years [19], [20]. Among the various factors influencing the well-being of fish, the composition and functionality of their intestinal microbiota have received particular attention [21–24]. Understanding the effects of probiotics on the

fish intestinal environment and structure (Fig. 1) is essential for advancing aquaculture practices, sustainable fish production, and overall ecosystem health [25]. While the field is still evolving, significant progress has been made in unraveling the complex interactions between probiotics and the fish gut [17], [19], [26]. This review aims to compile information from research findings on the influence of probiotics on the ecosystem and morpho-histology of the host fish's intestine with an accent put on tilapia which seemed to get attention on research. It will provide a comprehensive overview of this topic and predict future perspectives on the use of probiotics in aquaculture.

2. METHODS

Various studies evaluated by peers and published in different journals on the impact of probiotic use in aquaculture on the structure and environment of fish intestines have been assessed and understood using a systematic research design in this article review. For a more concise update, the consulted articles are those published in approximately five and a half years, ranging from 2018 to 2023 (except for publications that provide general informations on certain topics). This review is limited to the results of original research conducted on the use of probiotics in finfish worldwide, and these results should include the effects on the structure and environment of the intestines. Platforms such as Google Scholar, ProQuest, indexing databases like Scopus, Web of Science,




Fig. 1. Some effects of probiotics on fish gut. Competition with pathogens on space (a), creation of antimicrobial substances (b), competition on nutrients, hormones and minerals (c), improvement of mucosal adhesion (d), enhancement of efficiency of the epithelial barrier (e). (Credit: [27])

PubMed, publishers like Elsevier (Science Direct), Springer Nature, Wiley, Taylor and Francis, and journal websites were consulted to gather a total of 136 published articles used as literature sources for this review. Thanks to its irreplaceable effectiveness in tracking the evolution of a scientific principle, the review conducted here is of the narrative type.

2.1 Impact of Probiotics on Gut Microbiota

Microbial interactions, ranging from beneficial to harmful, are fluid and influenced by environmental conditions. Host-microbe symbioses within microbial communities can involve multiple and diverse interactions, including cooperation and competition [28]. Understanding these interplays is crucial, especially when examining their impact on fish gut microbiota (Fig. 2). The fish gut microbiota plays a crucial role in digestion, nutrient absorption, immune system regulation, and overall health [21], [29–32]. The impact of

probiotics on fish gut microbiota can be both positive and complex, and it largely depends on various factors such as the species of fish, the specific probiotic strains used, the dosage, and the environmental conditions [33–38]. The administration of probiotics has been demonstrated to positively influence the composition and diversity of the intestinal microbiota in fish [39], [40]. Several studies have been conducted to assess the effects of probiotics on the composition of the gut microbiota in different species of fish and its interactions with beneficial and pathogenic bacteria [41], [42]. The research on tilapia, which appears to have received increased attention from researchers on this topic in recent years, is shown in Table 1.

The administration of *Lactococcus lactis* JCM5805 disrupts the abundance of intestinal bacteria and alters the profiles of intestinal microbial metabolites. This supports the hypothesis that this strain affects the composition of the intestinal flora community, modifies

intestinal metabolism, and ultimately improves the host's growth and immunity [43]. Additionally, multi-species probiotic supplementation in *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* fry increased the diversity and richness of the gut microbiota, contributing to improved gut health and disease resistance [10]. Probiotics play a role in shaping the total number of bacteria in the fish intestinal tract. For instance, in a study by [44], the application of *B. subtilis* as a dietary supplement with malic acid resulted in a significant decrease in the total number of bacteria in the intestines and feces of Nile tilapia. Similarly, [45] demonstrated that different farming systems influenced the composition of the intestinal microbiota, with *B. subtilis* supplementation leading to increased abundance of beneficial bacteria in fish intestines. In another study by [7], the administration of *B. subtilis* endospores in Nile tilapia feed resulted in increased microbial diversity and stability in the gut ecosystem. Moreover, [8] showed that using the biofloc system with probiotics led to the highest total number of bacteria in the intestinal microbiota of Nile tilapia, as compared to the conventional system. In the same context, probiotics play a dual role, reducing the number of certain groups or phyla while simultaneously promoting the increase of other groups. Various studies have demonstrated the positive impact of probiotic supplementation on the growth of beneficial bacteria, such as lactic acid bacteria, *Bacillus spp.*, and other potential probiotic strains, while concurrently inhibiting the proliferation of harmful bacteria [46–49]. For instance, [50] employed high-throughput sequencing and found higher levels of the three main phyla - planctomycetes, proteobacteria, and chloroflexes - in all groups supplemented with probiotics *Clostridium butyricum* compared to the control group. Additionally, [51] conducted a study on Nile tilapia's intestinal microbiota, revealing a significant decrease in the genus *Plesiomonas* (potential pathogens) and a notable increase in potentially probiotic *Rhizobium* and *Achromobacter* in groups fed with probiotics *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* JCM1136 and *Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis* JCM5805. Moreover, the introduction of *B. subtilis* var. *natto* as a feed supplement also influenced the gut microbial composition in Nile tilapia. This supplementation significantly increased *Lactobacillaceae*, *Firmicutes*, *Chromatiales*, and *Rhodobacteria*, as well as the *Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes* ratio [52]. Similarly, the administration of *Rummeliibacillus stabekisii* as a probiotic in Nile tilapia resulted in a substantial

increase in the abundance of potentially probiotic bacteria from the genera *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus spp.*, while reducing the abundance of potential pathogenic bacteria, such as *Streptococcus* and *Staphylococcus spp.* [53]. Additionally, [54] concluded that feeding tilapia a diet containing *Bacillus velezensis* LF01 could potentially impact the intestinal flora by promoting the accumulation of more beneficial microorganisms and inhibiting the growth of potential pathogens, such as *Edwardsiella* and *Plesiomonas*. This positive effect of probiotics on the intestinal microbiota was further supported by the study conducted by [55], [56]. They observed that *B. subtilis* and *B. licheniformis* supplementation led to higher richness and habitability indices in the Nile tilapia's intestines, along with changes in bacterial phyla composition, including a decrease in the percentage of *Proteobacteria* and an increase in potentially probiotic phyla *Firmicutes*, *Fusobacteria*, and *Bacteroidetes*.

Probiotics are capable of favorably modulating the fish's intestinal microbiota by promoting the growth of beneficial bacteria and inhibiting the proliferation of pathogenic bacteria. This can lead to an improvement in the diversity of the intestinal microbiota and the overall health of the host. The interactions between probiotics and the intestinal microbiota play a crucial role in these beneficial effects. As a result of these phenomena, there is a significant improvement in the survival and growth of fish fed with probiotic supplementation. Similarly, probiotics create and maintain a favorable environment in the intestinal tract, as evidenced by [57] who demonstrated that the high survival rate of tilapia against *S. agalactiae* could be attributed to the fact that *B. subtilis* and *E. faecalis* provided a better micro-ecological intestinal environment. [58] analyzed microbial networks and also showed that supplementing with strains of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* as probiotics with enzymes improved microbial interactions while increasing the abundance of lactic acid bacteria and *Bacillus* species.

Probiotics significantly impact the microbial composition of fish gut microbiota by introducing beneficial microorganisms or enhancing existing growth. This shift in microbial populations leads to a more diverse and healthier gut microbiota, improving digestion, nutrient absorption, and overall fish health. Probiotics engage in competitive exclusion, limiting harmful microbes' growth and creating space for beneficial ones.

They also produce metabolites like short-chain fatty acids, which promote beneficial bacteria growth while inhibiting pathogen proliferation. The introduction of probiotics can result in increased beneficial bacteria, which improve digestion and disease prevention. Additionally,

the competition-driven decrease in harmful microbes is crucial for preventing infections and diseases. The microbial composition altered by probiotics can lead to a shift towards greater diversity, which is associated with improved gut function and resilience.




Fig. 2. Microbial interactions in fish gut. They can change from mutual beneficial relation to harmful relations (A). Relations between host and microbes refers to its participation to different relations with microbial communities in its gut (B). Gut microbial communities are in different types of interrelations (C). (Credit: [28])

Table 1. Effects of some probiotics in the gut of Tilapia

Probiotic species	Host species	Effects in host gut (in comparison to the control group.)	Reference
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (+ malic acid)	Nile tilapia	Reduction of intestinal pH; decrease in the intestinal bacterial count	[44]
<i>Bacillus</i> species (TCMBS) + herbal	Nile tilapia	Significant increase in β -defensin and lysozyme	[59]
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (endospores)	Nile tilapia	Positive regulation of gene expression of the two pro-inflammatory cytokines TNF- α and IL-1 β	[7]
<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> JCM1136 & <i>Lactococcus lactis</i> subsp. <i>lactis</i> JCM5805	Nile tilapia	Higher expression of immunity-related genes TNF- α , IFN- γ , lysozyme (lyzc), heat shock protein 70 (hsp70), and IL-1 β ; Significant increase in intestinal microvillar density and length; Significant reduction of <i>Plesiomonas</i> (potential pathogens); Significant increase in <i>Rhizobium</i> and <i>Achromobacter</i> (potential probiotics).	[51]
<i>Rummeliibacillus stabekisii</i>	Nile tilapia	Increase in the abundance of potential probiotics (<i>Bacillus</i> and <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp.); Reduction in the abundance of potential pathogenic bacteria (<i>Streptococcus</i> and <i>Staphylococcus</i> spp.); Significant increase	[53]

Probiotic species	Host species	Effects in host gut (in comparison to the control group.)	Reference
		in intestinal digestive enzymes protease, cellulase, and xylanase	
<i>Bacillus velezensis</i> LF01	Nile tilapia	Significant increase in genes related to the immune system (C3, lysozyme c, and MHC-II β); Accumulation of more beneficial microorganisms; Inhibition of the growth of potentially pathogenic <i>Edwardsiella</i> and <i>Plesiomonas</i>	[54]
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> JCM5805	Nile tilapia	Alteration of intestinal bacterial abundance; Modification of intestinal microbial metabolic profiles; Strong correlation between certain families of intestinal flora and altered metabolites	[18]
<i>Bacillus cereus</i> NY5, <i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Nile tilapia	Significant increase in length and density of intestinal microvilli; significant increase in expression of the lysozyme type c gene (lyzc); Substantial enhancement of indigenous intestinal bacterial communities in tilapia (potential probiotics).	[43]

Table 1. (continued)

Probiotic species	Host species	Effects in host gut (in comparison to the control group.)	Reference
<i>Clostridium butyricum</i>	Red Tilapia (<i>O. niloticus</i> x <i>O. aureus</i>)	Significant increase in villus height; Reduction of intestinal <i>E. coli</i> count; Increase in potentially probiotic phyla (Planctomycetes, Proteobacteria, Chloroflexes).	[50]
<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	Nile tilapia	Increase in proximal fat content; Improvement in digestibility of crude proteins, calcium, and phosphorus; Enhancement of microbial interactions; Increase in the abundance of lactic acid bacteria and <i>Bacillus</i> species.	[58]
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> & <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	Nile tilapia	Increase in lipase activity, sucrase-isomaltase (SI) activity, lysozyme (LYZ) activity, complement component C3 activity, total antioxidant capacity (T-AOC), and catalase (CAT) activity; Improvement in length, width, and muscular thickness of intestinal villi; Enhancement of the intestinal microecological environment.	[57]
<i>Clostridium autoethanogenum</i> (its protein)	Gift Nile tilapia	Increase in sodium/potassium-ATPase transporter; Decrease in malondialdehyde (MDA) content; Increase in glutathione (GSH) content and superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity; Regulation of kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1), nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx); Regulation of target of rapamycin (TOR) immune target, peptide transporter 1 β (Pept 1 β), complement component 3 (C3), and interferon gamma (IFN- γ); Regulation of transforming growth	[60]

Probiotic species	Host species	Effects in host gut (in comparison to the control group.)	Reference
		factor beta (TGF- β) by 5% and 10% CaP.	
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> & <i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	Nile tilapia	Increase in the abundance of Fusobacteria and Bacteroidetes phyla, and a lower percentage of Proteobacteria; Improvement in enzymatic activities in the anterior, medial, and posterior portions of the intestine.	[55]
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> spores	Nile tilapia	Increase in the relative abundance of Cetobacterium, Gemmobacter, and Bacillus.	[45]
<i>Vibrio</i> sp. & <i>Bacillus cereus</i>	Nile tilapia	Significant increase in intestinal activity of α -amylase, cellulase, and protease	[61]

Table 1. (continued)

Probiotic species	Host species	Effects in host gut (in comparison to the control group.)	Reference
<i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i>	Nile tilapia	Significant increase in villus height and mucin secretion; Improvement in trypsin, lipase, and amylase activities in different intestinal segments; Increase in expression levels of hif1 α , zo-1, and occludin in the anterior, middle, and posterior intestine; Enhancement of α -diversity indices (Shannon and Simpson) of the intestinal microbiota; Increase in Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria; Reduction of Fusobacteria; Increase in bacterial species diversity and interrelations.	[62]
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> & <i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i>	Nile tilapia	Highest total number of intestinal microbiota bacteria; Positive impact on digestive enzyme activity (lipase and amylase); Improvement of intestinal morphology of Nile tilapia	[8]
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> var. <i>natto</i>	Nile tilapia	Significant increase in Lactobacillaceae, Firmicutes, Chromatiales, and Rhodobacteria; Significant increase in altered major bioactive metabolites, peonidine-3-glucoside, L-Tyrosine, 1-Deoxy-1-(N6-lysino)-D-fructose; Increase in palmitoleic acid, 5-KETE, and tangeretin; Improvement in the intestinal immune network	[52]
<i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> & <i>B. pumilus</i>	Nile tilapia	Increase in whole intestinal lengths, heights of anterior and terminal intestinal villi, and the number of anterior goblet cells	[63]
<i>Bacillus mojavensis</i> B191 & <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> MRS11	Nile tilapia	Increase in goblet cells, intestinal villus length, microvillus length, microvillus density, and perimeter ratio in the intestine	[49]
<i>B. amyloliquefaciens</i> , <i>B. subtilis</i> , <i>B. licheniformis</i> , <i>B. pumilus</i>	Nile tilapia	Alteration in the length of intestinal villi across the three intestinal segments; changes in inter-villus space measurements in the anterior portion of the intestine; increased number of goblet cells in the anterior intestine.	[64]

2.2 Influence of Probiotics on intestinal Morpho-Histology

As a natural segue from the impact of probiotics on fish gut microbiota, it becomes evident that these beneficial microorganisms not only shape the microbial composition but also have notable effects on the structural aspects of the intestines, including villi height, cellular morphology, and goblet cell density.

Probiotics can have notable effects on the structure of the intestines, affecting aspects like villi height, crypt depth, and surface area. Increased villi height leads to better digestion and nutrient absorption, improving overall fish growth and health [65–67]. Probiotics can influence histology by affecting cellular morphology, organization, and differentiation within the intestinal lining. They promote healthy cellular arrangement within the intestinal epithelium, maintaining tight junctions between epithelial cells, which play a crucial role in preventing leaky gut syndrome [68–70]. Goblet cells, specialized cells in the intestinal lining, produce mucus, which can be stimulated by probiotics to reinforce the mucosal barrier, shielding the gut from pathogens and maintaining proper gut function [71–76].

Usually bacterial strains [77–80] or yeast [81–85] probiotics can influence the intestinal environment and have effects on the morphology and histology of fish intestines. They can also play a reparative role in the damaged intestines of fish [86], [87]. Probiotics have been shown to positively influence fish intestinal morphology, mucosal thickness, goblet cell density, and epithelial cells proliferation.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that probiotics positively influence the structure and intestinal morphology of fish [82], [88], [89]. They can improve the development of the intestinal mucosa [90–92], leading to an increase in the height and surface area of the villi [93], [94]. Villi are small finger-like projections in the intestinal wall that play a crucial role in nutrient absorption for fish [95] as well as for shrimp [96–98]. Intestinal villi serve a dual purpose: they not only absorb nutrients from the animals but also possess the ability to discourage the colonization of harmful bacteria [99], [100]. Healthier and more developed intestinal morphology can enhance nutrient absorption efficiency and improve the overall digestive capacity of fish [101–103]. For example, [104] demonstrated that

the probiotic *B. amyloliquefaciens* US573 increased the length and abundance of villi in European sea bass. Similarly, in synergy with β -glucan, *Lactobacillus plantarum* significantly increased the length of tilapia intestine villi [105]. Interestingly, *Lactobacillus plantarum* had no effect on the length and thickness of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) villi after 90 days of feeding [106], but significantly increased the villi height in the same species after 60 days of trials [107] and the villi length in tilapia after 14 days of dietary supplementation [108]. *Lactobacillus helveticus* also positively improved the height and width of the villi in pond loach (*Misgurnus anguillicaudatus*) after 8 weeks of dietary supplementation [100]. Similarly, in a 56-day experiment, the strains *Bacillus coagulans*, *B. licheniformis*, and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* significantly increased the height and width of intestinal villi in northern whiting (*Sillago sihama*) [96]. *Bacillus mojavensis* B191 and *Bacillus subtilis* MRS11 also can affect the structure of intestinal villi in Nile tilapia. In fact, [49] found that the height and density of microvilli were significantly higher in fish fed with a diet supplemented with *Bacillus mojavensis* B191 and/or *Bacillus subtilis* MRS11. Additionally, the fish treated with probiotics appeared to have a healthy brush border with organized and tight microvilli structures compared to those fed a probiotic-free diet.

Probiotics also stimulate the proliferation of epithelial cells lining the intestine [109], which can help maintain the integrity and barrier function of the intestine [110]. It has also been demonstrated that probiotics increase the thickness of the mucous layer in the fish intestine [111], [112] and shrimps [79], [97]. A thicker mucous layer can offer better protection against pathogens and toxins [20]. Multi-species probiotics promote an increase in the muscular thickness of the intestine in Nile tilapia [81], [88]. Similarly, an increase in the muscular thickness of the intestine in herbivorous carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) has been observed [113]. [114] also made similar observations when supplementing the diet of Northern snakehead (*Channa argus*) with the lactic acid bacteria *Lactococcus lactis* L19 and *Enterococcus faecalis* W24.

Probiotics also affect the intestinal histology of fish by influencing goblet cells [115–118]. Studies have reported that probiotics can lead to changes in the number and size of goblet cells in the intestinal epithelium [99], [119], especially by

increasing their density [120], [121], resulting in increased mucus production, which contributes to the health and integrity of the intestine [122]. Indeed, goblet cells are responsible for mucus production [123], which serves as a protective barrier for the intestinal wall [124], [125]. An increase in the number of goblet cells can enhance the mucosal defense of the intestine, thus reducing the risk of pathogen invasion [126] and inflammation [127]. In their study, [49] showed that tilapia fed with a diet containing the probiotics *B. mojavensis* B191 and *B. subtilis* MRS11 had a greater number of goblet cells along the epithelium compared to those fed with a regular diet. The results of [128] study also indicated that the use of commercial multi-species probiotics significantly improved mucus-secreting goblet cells and mucous fold enlargement in Gangetic mystus (*Mystus cavasius*). Similarly, *B. amyloliquefaciens* US573 promotes an increase in the number of goblet cells in European seabass [104].

2.3 Impact of Probiotics on Enzymatic and Digestive Activities

Having explored how probiotics exert significant effects on the structural aspects of the intestines and mucosal health, we now delve into their multifaceted role in enhancing enzymatic and digestive activities, which ultimately contribute to improved nutrient absorption, growth, and overall health in fish.

The digestive and enzymatic systems of fish are indispensable for facilitating the absorption of nutrients derived from their food sources. The intricate compounds present in fish diet must undergo decomposition into smaller, more readily absorbable constituents, enabling their utilization for energy, growth, and various physiological functions [60], [129]. Enzymes represent biological molecules that facilitate chemical reactions within living organisms. In the context of fish, multiple categories of macromolecules, including proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids, undergo enzymatic hydrolysis into smaller subunits. This process is essential to enable subsequent absorption across intestinal walls. Numerous pivotal enzymes, such as proteases, amylases, and lipases, are involved in the degradation of these macromolecules [129]. The utilization of probiotics in fish aquaculture holds promise in influencing enzymatic and digestive activities. The interaction between probiotics and the digestive system is multifaceted, involving the enhancement of enzyme activity and the

subsequent improvement of nutrient absorption. This has implications for the growth, health, and overall performance of fish in aquaculture systems. Supplementation with probiotics and enzymes enhances nutrient availability, intestinal health, and microbiome stability by augmenting enzymatic digestion of nutrients like calcium, phosphorus, and crude proteins within the proximal and mid intestines [55], [58]. Research indicates that specific strains of probiotics possess the capability to enhance the activity of digestive enzymes such as proteases [44], amylases, lysozymes, and lipases [130] within the intestines of fish. Consequently, the production of extracellular enzymes has been a pivotal consideration in the selection of probiotics to improve food digestibility, nutrient availability, and host growth [61], [108].

Used as a probiotic, *Rummeliibacillus stabekisii* has the potential to significantly enhance the production of intestinal digestive enzymes such as protease, cellulase, and xylanase, as demonstrated in the study by [53] involving Nile tilapia. Similarly, strains *B. cereus* CC27 and *Vibrio sp.* CC8, isolated from milkfish, can positively enhance intestinal protease activity, intestinal cellulase activity, and amylase activity in Nile tilapia [61]. The addition of probiotics to a biofloc system can exert a positive impact on digestive enzyme activities, particularly the fish's lipase and amylase. In this context, the introduction of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *B. subtilis* probiotics into the biofloc system has shown to positively influence lipase and amylase enzyme activities in Nile tilapia [8]. The expression of the lysozyme gene can be significantly augmented through the incorporation of probiotics into the fish's habitat and diet. These beneficial bacteria can thereby stimulate the fish's immune system, consequently elevating lysozyme enzyme synthesis. A study conducted by [43] substantiates this observation by demonstrating that the supplementation of probiotics *B. subtilis* and *B. cereus* NY5 significantly elevated the expression of the lysozyme c-type gene in juvenile tilapia. Certain strains of probiotics can influence lipase activity and fat digestion by exhibiting a positive correlation between specific probiotic bacterial strains and heightened lipase enzyme activity in the digestive system. For instance, strains like *Enterococcus faecalis* and *B. subtilis* demonstrate their association with lipase, LYZ, CAT, C3, T-AOC, and SI activities in tilapia [57]. Probiotic-enriched feeds can enhance the functionality of digestive enzymes within fish

intestines, concurrently raising levels of antioxidant enzymes to fortify resistance against oxidative stress. This augmentation can lead to improved cellular health and heightened oxidative stress resistance. An illustrative instance involves the combination of *B. licheniformis* HGA8B and *Paenibacillus polymyxa* HGA4C, which, when administered to Nile tilapia, significantly elevated both antioxidant and digestive enzymes in the intestine [11]. A diverse range of fish enzymes can be enhanced through the introduction of a monospecific probiotic. This suggests that the probiotic's effects may extend to various aspects of fish biology beyond specific enzyme types. For instance, activities of protease, amylase, catalase, superoxide dismutase, and lysozyme were notably enhanced through supplementation with *B. velezensis* GY65 in supplemented groups compared to control groups in mandarin fish [131]. Various segments of the intestine may exhibit pH variations, nutrient availability, and other factors that influence the types of microorganisms present. Probiotics could potentially exert targeted effects on these local conditions, resulting in variations in enzymatic activities. The application of probiotics can also lead to distinct enzymatic activities in different parts of the intestine. This implies that probiotics might have influenced local microbial communities and the production of specific enzymes in each intestinal section. For instance, the middle, anterior, and posterior portions of the intestine showed distinct enzymatic activities after the application of *B. licheniformis* and *B. subtilis* in Nile tilapia [55]. Furthermore, dietary supplementation of Nile tilapia with *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *B. subtilis* stimulated specific amylase activity in the anterior intestine [132].

By enhancing the activity of these enzymes, probiotics contribute to a more efficient process of digestion [133–135]. This, in turn, can lead to improved nutrient absorption, thereby promoting fish growth and overall health. Indeed, the heightened enzymatic activity resulting from probiotic supplementation facilitates the breakdown of dietary components, such as proteins, carbohydrates, and lipids. This decomposition into smaller, easily digestible units allows the fish to more effectively absorb essential nutrients [136]. As a result, fish receiving probiotics as part of their diet are likely to exhibit enhanced food utilization and improved growth rates. The synergy among probiotics, digestive enzymes, and nutrient absorption underscores the potential of probiotic

interventions not only to enhance fish health but also to contribute to the efficiency and sustainability of aquaculture practices.

3. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

When fish possess a healthy intestinal environment and enhanced nutrient absorption, their overall health and growth performance tend to experience positive effects. Probiotics can thus, through their actions on intestinal microbial composition, morpho-histological structure, and intestinal digestive and enzymatic activity, lead to improved weight gain, enhanced food utilization, and a reduction in the occurrence of digestive disorders. It is noteworthy that the efficacy of probiotics may be influenced by various factors, including fish species, specific probiotic strains utilized, dosage and duration of probiotic administration, and the environmental conditions of the fish rearing system. In aquaculture practice, the following conclusions and suggestions can be highlighted:

- In practical terms, by incorporating specific probiotics into fish feed, aquaculture operations can potentially reduce the incidence of diseases and improve the overall health of fish stocks.
- It is very important to select the right probiotic strains for specific fish species and environmental conditions. Aquaculture operators can use this knowledge to make informed choices about which probiotics to incorporate into their feed formulations.
- By incorporating probiotics that enhance specific enzyme activities, aquaculture producers can improve the digestibility of feed ingredients. This leads to more efficient nutrient utilization by fish, reducing feed wastage and production costs.
- With the implications for the management of aquaculture systems, especially those exposed to variable or challenging conditions, Probiotic supplementation can help fish better cope with stressors, improving their overall resilience.
- By improving nutrient absorption and reducing the need for antibiotics or other disease treatments, probiotics contribute to more sustainable aquaculture practices. This can lead to reduced environmental impact and an eco-friendlier approach to fish farming.
- Research on the effects of probiotics in Tilapia and other fish species should expand

beyond examining the gut and encompass additional areas like the skin, gills, flesh quality (including nutrient, mineral, and elemental content), and the water conditions in which they are bred. Therefore, we strongly recommend conducting further studies that explore how probiotics influence a broader range of enzymes while considering the various factors that impact the activity of digestive enzymes in fish.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Ghorl I, Tabassum M, Ahmad T, Zuberl A, Imran M. *Geotrichum candidum* enhanced the Enterococcus faecium impact in improving physiology, and health of *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton, 1822) by modulating gut microbiome under mimic aquaculture conditions. Turk J Fish Aquat Sci. 2018; 18(11):1255-67. DOI: 10.4194/1303-2712-v18_11_02.
2. Lertwanakarn T, Trongwongsa P, Yingsakmongkol S, Khemthong M, Tattiyapong P, Surachetpong W. Antiviral activity of ribavirin against tilapia tilapinevirus in fish cells. Pathogens. 2021;10(12). DOI: 10.3390/pathogens10121616
3. Syanya FJ, Litabas JA, Mathia WM, Ntakirutimana R. Nutritional fish diseases in aquaculture: A human health hazard or mythical theory: An overview. Eur J Nutr Food Saf. 2023;15(8):41-58. DOI: 10.9734/ejnf/2023/v15i81326.
4. Dhillon O, Bhatnagar A. Gut colonization and permanence of the probiotics in *Labeo calbasu* (Hamilton, 1822). Egypt J of Aquatic Biolo and Fish. 2020;24(7):427-40. DOI: 10.21608/ejabf.2020.125853.
5. Diwan AD, Harke SN, Gopalkrishna , Panche AN. Aquaculture industry prospective from gut microbiome of fish and shellfish: an overview. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). John Wiley and Sons Inc. 2022;106(2):441-69. DOI: 10.1111/jpn.13619
6. Yukgehnaish K, Kumar P, Sivachandran P, Marimuthu K, Arshad A, Paray BA et al. Gut microbiota metagenomics in aquaculture: factors influencing gut microbiome and its physiological role in fish. Rev Aquacult. 2020;12(3):1903-27. DOI: 10.1111/raq.12416.
7. Galagarza OA, Smith SA, Drahos DJ, Eifert JD, Williams RC, Kuhn DD. Modulation of innate immunity in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) by dietary supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis* endospores. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2018;83:171-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.08.062
8. Haraz YG, Shourbela RM, El-Hawarry WN, Mansour AM, Elblehi SS. Performance of juvenile *Oreochromis niloticus* (Nile tilapia) raised in conventional and biofloc technology systems as influenced by probiotic water supplementation. Aquaculture. 2023;566. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.739180.
9. Waiyamitra P, Zoral MA, Saengtienchai A, Luengnaruemitchai A, Decamp O, Gorgoglione B et al. Probiotics modulate tilapia resistance and immune response against tilapia lake virus infection. Pathogens. 2020;9(11):1-15. DOI: 10.3390/pathogens9110919
10. Abdel-Latif HMR, Chaklader MR, Shukry M, Ahmed HA, Khallaf MA. A multispecies probiotic modulates growth, digestive enzymes, immunity, hepatic antioxidant activity, and disease resistance of *Pangasianodon hypophthalmus* fingerlings. Aquaculture. 2023;563. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738948.
11. Jose MS, Arun D, Neethu S, Radhakrishnan EK, Jyothis M. Probiotic *Paenibacillus polymyxa* HGA4C and *Bacillus licheniformis* HGA8B combination improved growth performance, enzymatic profile, gene expression and disease resistance in *Oreochromis niloticus*. Microb Pathog. 2023;174:105951. DOI: 10.1016/j.micpath.2022.105951
12. Syanya FJ, Mathia WM, Harikrishnan M. Current status and trend on the adoption of fish feed additives for sustainable tilapia aquaculture production: A review. Asian J Fish Aquat Res. 2023;22(3): 10-25. DOI: 10.9734/ajfar/2023/v22i3571
13. Bondad-Reantaso MG, MacKinnon B, Karunasagar I, Fridman S, Alday-Sanz V, Brun E et al. Review of alternatives to antibiotic use in aquaculture. John Wiley and Sons Inc.. Reviews in Aquaculture. 2023;15(4):1421-51. DOI: 10.1111/raq.12786.

14. Melo-Bolívar JF, Ruiz Pardo RY, Hume ME, Villamil Díaz LM. Multistrain probiotics use in main commercially cultured freshwater fish: a systematic review of evidence. *Rev Aquacult. John Wiley and Sons Inc.* 2021;13(4):1758-80. DOI: 10.1111/raq.12543.
15. Vallejo-Cordoba B, Castro-López C, García HS, González-Córdova AF, Hernández-Mendoza A. Postbiotics and paraprobiotics: A review of current evidence and emerging trends. *Adv Food Nutr Res. Academic Press Inc.* . 2020;94. DOI: 10.1016/bs.afnr.2020.06.001
16. Kuebutornye FKA, Abarike ED, Lu Y. A review on the application of Bacillus as probiotics in aquaculture. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2019;87. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.02.010
17. Thanh NC, Devi Rajeswari V, Narayanan M, Kandasamy S, Chinnathambi A, Ali Alharbi S et al. Evaluation of probiotic susceptibility of virulent *Aeromonas* sp. by a study on gut histology of *Cyprinus carpio*. *Process Biochem.* 2021;111:154-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.procbio.2021.10.023.
18. Xia Y, Yu EM, Lu M, Xie J. Effects of probiotic supplementation on gut microbiota as well as metabolite profiles within Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquaculture.* 2020;527. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735428.
19. Foyosal MJ, Nguyen TTT, Chaklader MR, Siddik MAB, Tay CY, Fotedar R et al. Marked variations in gut microbiota and some innate immune responses of fresh water crayfish, marron (*Cherax cainii*, Austin 2002) fed dietary supplementation of *Clostridium butyricum*. *PeerJ.* 2019;7:e7553. DOI: 10.7717/peerj.7553
20. Amoah K, Tan B, Zhang S, Chi S, Yang Q, Liu H et al.. Host gut-derived Bacillus probiotics supplementation improves growth performance, serum and liver immunity, gut health, and resistive capacity against *Vibrio harveyi* infection in hybrid grouper (*♀Epinephelus fuscoguttatus* × *♂Epinephelus lanceolatus*). *Anim Nutr.* 2023;14:163-84. DOI: 10.1016/j.aninu.2023.05.005
21. Luan Y, Li M, Zhou W, Yao Y, Yang Y, Zhang Z et al. The fish microbiota: research progress and potential applications. Elsevier Ltd. Engineering; 2023. DOI: 10.1016/j.eng.2022.12.011.
22. Foucault P, Gallet A, Duval C, Marie B, Duperron S. Gut microbiota and holobiont metabolome composition of the medaka fish (*Oryzias latipes*) are affected by a short exposure to the cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa*. *Aquat Toxicol.* 2022;253:106329. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquatox.2022.106329
23. Toxqui-Rodríguez S, Naya-Català F, Sitjà-Bobadilla A, Piazzon MC, Pérez-Sánchez J. Fish microbiomics: strengths and limitations of MinION sequencing of gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) intestinal microbiota. *Aquaculture.* 2023;569. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2023.739388.
24. Li Z, Lu T, Li M, Mortimer M, Guo LH. Direct and gut microbiota-mediated toxicities of environmental antibiotics to fish and aquatic invertebrates. *Chemosphere.* 2023;329. Elsevier Ltd:138692. DOI: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.138692
25. Gallo BD, Farrell JM, Leydet BF. Fish gut microbiome: A primer to an emerging discipline in the fisheries sciences. *Fisheries.* 2020;45(5):271-82. DOI: 10.1002/fsh.10379
26. Lalitha N, Ronald BSM, Chitra MA, Hemalatha S, Senthilkumar TMA, Muralidhar M. Characterization of lactic acid Bacteria from the Gut of *Penaeus vannamei* as Potential probiotic. *IJAR.* 2022;(Of). DOI: 10.18805/IJAR.B-4983.
27. Sharma SR, Parveen S, Singh J. Exploración del potencial de los probióticos como agente de biocontrol. *Salud Sci.* 2023;3:409. DOI: 10.56294/saludcyt2023409.
28. Egan S, Fukatsu T, Francino MP. Opportunities and challenges to microbial symbiosis research in the microbiome era. *Front Microbiol.* 2020;11:1150. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01150
29. Ringø E, Zhou Z, Vecino JLG, Wadsworth S, Romero J, Kroghdal Á et al. Effect of dietary components on the gut microbiota of aquatic animals. A never-ending story? *Aquacult Nutr.* 2016;22(2):219-82. DOI: 10.1111/anu.12346
30. Monzón-Atienza L, Bravo J, Serradell A, Montero D, Gómez-Mercader A, Acosta F. Current status of probiotics in European sea bass aquaculture as one important Mediterranean and Atlantic commercial

- species: A review. *Animals (Basel)*. 2023; 13(14).
DOI: 10.3390/ani13142369
31. Diwan AD, Harke SN, Panche AN. Host-microbiome interaction in fish and shellfish: an overview. *Fish Shellfish Immunol Rep*. 2023;4:100091.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsirep.2023.100091
 32. Ibrahim MD. Evolution of probiotics in aquatic world: Potential effects, the current status in Egypt and recent prospectives. *J Adv Res*. 2015;6(6):765-91.
DOI: 10.1016/j.jare.2013.12.004, PMID 26644914.
 33. Zhao C, Men X, Dang Y, Zhou Y, Ren Y. Probiotics mediate intestinal microbiome and microbiota-derived metabolites regulating the growth and immunity of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Microbiol Spectr*. 2023;11(2):e0398022.
DOI: 10.1128/spectrum.03980-22
 34. Wu HJ, Wu E. The role of gut microbiota in immune homeostasis and autoimmunity. *Gut Microbes*. 2012;3(1):4-14.
DOI: 10.4161/gmic.19320
 35. Talwar C, Nagar S, Lal R, Negi RK. Fish gut microbiome: Current approaches and future perspectives. *Indian J Microbiol*. 2018;58(4):397-414.
DOI: 10.1007/s12088-018-0760-y, PMID 30262950.
 36. Wuertz S, Schroeder A, Wanka KM. Probiotics in fish nutrition—Long-standing household remedy or native nutraceuticals? *Water*. 2021;13(10).
DOI: 10.3390/w13101348.
 37. Fijan S. Microorganisms with claimed probiotic properties: An overview of recent literature. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2014;11(5):4745-67.
DOI: 10.3390/ijerph110504745, PMID 24859749.
 38. El-Saadony MT, Alagawany M, Patra AK, Kar I, Tiwari R, Dawood MAO et al. The functionality of probiotics in aquaculture: An overview. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*. 2021;117.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2021.07.007
 39. Ghorri I, Tubassam M, Ahmad T, Zuberi A, Imran M. Gut microbiome modulation mediated by probiotics: positive impact on growth and health status of *Labeo rohita*. *Front Physiol*. 2022;13:949559.
DOI: 10.3389/fphys.2022.949559
 40. Hasan I, Rimoldi S, Saroglia G, Terova G. Sustainable fish feeds with insects and probiotics positively affect freshwater and marine fish gut microbiota. *Animals (Basel)*. 2023;13(10).
DOI: 10.3390/ani13101633
 41. Egerton S, Culloty S, Whooley J, Stanton C, Ross RP. The gut microbiota of marine fish. *Front Microbiol (Vol. 9, Issue MAY)*. Frontiers Media S.A. 2018;9:873.
DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00873
 42. Ringø E, Harikrishnan R, Soltani M, Ghosh K. The effect of gut microbiota and probiotics on metabolism in fish and shrimp. *Animals (Basel)*. 2022;12(21).
DOI: 10.3390/ani12213016
 43. Xia Y, Wang M, Gao F, Lu M, Chen G. Effects of dietary probiotic supplementation on the growth, gut health and disease resistance of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Anim Nutr*. 2020;6(1):69-79.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aninu.2019.07.002
 44. Hassaan MS, Soltan MA, Jarmolowicz S, Abdo HS. Combined effects of dietary malic acid and *Bacillus subtilis* on growth, gut microbiota and blood parameters of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquacult Nutr*. 2018;24(1): 83-93.
DOI: 10.1111/anu.12536
 45. Deng Y, Verdegem MCJ, Eding E, Kokou F. Effect of rearing systems and dietary probiotic supplementation on the growth and gut microbiota of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) larvae. *Aquaculture*. 2022;546.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737297
 46. Giri SS, Jun JW, Yun S, Kim HJ, Kim SG, Kang JW et al. Characterisation of lactic acid bacteria isolated from the gut of *Cyprinus carpio* that may be effective against lead toxicity. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins*. 2019;11(1):65-73.
DOI: 10.1007/s12602-017-9367-6, PMID 29285742
 47. Giri SS, Yun S, Jun JW, Kim HJ, Kim SG, Kang JW et al. Therapeutic effect of intestinal autochthonous *Lactobacillus reuteri* P16 against waterborne lead toxicity in *Cyprinus carpio*. *Front Immunol*. 2018;9(AUG):1824.
DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01824
 48. Pillinger M, Weber B, Standen B, Schmid MC, Kesselring JC. Multi-strain probiotics show increased protection of intestinal epithelial cells against pathogens in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Aquaculture*. 2022;560.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2022.738487.

49. Etyemez Büyükdeveci M, Cengizler İ, Balcázar JL, Demirkale İ. Effects of two host-associated probiotics *Bacillus mojavensis* B191 and *Bacillus subtilis* MRS11 on growth performance, intestinal morphology, expression of immune-related genes and disease resistance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) against *Streptococcus iniae*. *Dev Comp Immunol.* 2023;138:104553. DOI: 10.1016/j.dci.2022.104553,
50. Poolsawat L, Li X, He M, Ji D, Leng X. *Clostridium butyricum* as probiotic for promoting growth performance, feed utilization, gut health and microbiota community of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* × *O. aureus*). *Aquacult Nutr.* 2020;26(3):657-70. DOI: 10.1111/anu.13025.
51. Xia Y, Lu M, Chen G, Cao J, Gao F, Wang M et al. Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* JCM1136 and *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* JCM5805 on the growth, intestinal microbiota, morphology, immune response and disease resistance of juvenile Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2018;76:368-79. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2018.03.020
52. Pan PK, Wang KT, Wu TM, Chen YY, Nan FH, Wu YS. Heat inactive *Bacillus subtilis* var. *natto* regulate Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) intestine microbiota and metabolites involved in the intestine phagosome response. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2023;134:108567. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2023.108567
53. Tan HY, Chen SW, Hu SY. Improvements in the growth performance, immunity, disease resistance, and gut microbiota by the probiotic *Rummeliibacillus stabekisii* in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2019;92:265-75. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.06.027
54. Zhang D, Gao Y, Ke X, Yi M, Liu Z, Han X et al. *Bacillus velezensis* LF01: in vitro antimicrobial activity against fish pathogens, growth performance enhancement, and disease resistance against streptococcosis in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* 2019;103(21-22):9023-35. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-019-10176-8
55. Tachibana L, Telli GS, Dias Dde C, Gonçalves GS, Guimarães MC, Ishikawa CM et al. *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis* in diets for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*): Effects on growth performance, gut microbiota modulation and innate immunology. *Aquacult Res.* 2021;52(4):1630-42. DOI: 10.1111/are.15016
56. Tachibana L, Telli GS, de Carla Dias D, Gonçalves GS, Ishikawa CM, Cavalcante RB et al. Effect of feeding strategy of probiotic *Enterococcus faecium* on growth performance, hematologic, biochemical parameters and non-specific immune response of Nile tilapia. *Aquacult Rep.* 2020;16. DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2020.100277.
57. Liu Q, Wen L, Pan X, Huang Y, Du X, Qin J et al. Dietary supplementation of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* can effectively improve the growth performance, immunity, and resistance of tilapia against *Streptococcus agalactiae*. *Aquacult Nutr.* 2021;27(4):1160-72. DOI: 10.1111/anu.13256.
58. Maas RM, Deng Y, Dersjant-Li Y, Petit J, Verdegem MCJ, Schrama JW et al. Exogenous enzymes and probiotics alter digestion kinetics, volatile fatty acid content and microbial interactions in the gut of Nile tilapia. *Sci Rep.* 2021;11(1):8221. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-87408-3
59. Abarike ED, Jian J, Tang J, Cai J, Yu H, Lihua C et al. Influence of traditional Chinese medicine and *Bacillus* species (TCMBS) on growth, immune response and disease resistance in Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquacult Res.* 2018;49(7):2366-75. DOI: 10.1111/are.13691.
60. Maulu S, Hualiang L, Ke J, Ren M, Ge X, Huang D et al. Dietary *Clostridium autoethanogenum* protein modulates intestinal absorption, antioxidant status, and immune response in GIFT (*Oreochromis niloticus*) juveniles. *Aquacult Res.* 2021;52(11):5787-99. DOI: 10.1111/are.15454.
61. Hortillosa EM, Amar MJA, Nuñal SN, Pedroso FL, Ferriols VMEN. Effects of putative dietary probiotics from the gut of milkfish (*Chanos Chanos*) on the growth performance and intestinal enzymatic activities of juvenile Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquacult Res.* 2022;53(1):98-108. DOI: 10.1111/are.15556.
62. Li W, Huang X, Lu X, Jiang B, Liu C, Huang Y et al. Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus reuteri* on growth

- performance, nutrient retention, gut health and microbiota of the Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquacult Rep.* 2022;26.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2022.101275.
63. Elsabagh M, Mohamed R, Moustafa EM, Hamza A, Farrag F, Decamp O et al. Assessing the impact of *Bacillus* strains mixture probiotic on water quality, growth performance, blood profile and intestinal morphology of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Aquacult Nutr.* 2018;24(6):1613-22.
DOI: 10.1111/anu.12797.
 64. Ghalwash HR, Salah AS, El-Nokrashy AM, Abozeid AM, Zaki VH, Mohamed RA. Dietary supplementation with *Bacillus* species improves growth, intestinal histomorphology, innate immunity, antioxidative status and expression of growth and appetite-regulating genes of Nile tilapia fingerlings. *Aquacult Res.* 2022;53(4):1378-94.
DOI: 10.1111/are.15671.
 65. Ahmad R, Yu YH, Hsiao FSH, Su CH, Liu HC, Tobin I et al. Influence of heat stress on poultry growth performance, intestinal inflammation, and immune function and potential mitigation by probiotics. *Animals (Basel).* 2022;12(17).
DOI: 10.3390/ani12172297
 66. Chen P, Xu T, Zhang C, Tong X, Shaikat A, He Y et al. Effects of probiotics and gut microbiota on bone metabolism in chickens: A review. *Metabolites.* 2022;12(10).
DOI: 10.3390/metabo12101000
 67. Obianwuna UE, Agbai Kalu N, Wang J, Zhang H, Qi G, Qiu K et al. Recent trends on mitigative effect of probiotics on oxidative-stress-induced gut dysfunction in broilers under necrotic enteritis challenge: a review. *Antioxidants (Basel).* 2023;12(4).
DOI: 10.3390/antiox12040911
 68. Ahmed I, Li Z, Shahzad S, Naveed S, Khan AK, Ahmed A et al. Potential probiotics role in excluding antibiotic resistance. *J Food Qual.* 2022;2022:1-20.
DOI: 10.1155/2022/5590004.
 69. Yadav S, Jha R. Strategies to modulate the intestinal microbiota and their effects on nutrient utilization, performance, and health of poultry. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* 2019;10(1). BioMed Central Ltd:2.
DOI: 10.1186/s40104-018-0310-9
 70. Jha R, Das R, Oak S, Mishra P. Probiotics (Direct-fed microbials) in poultry nutrition and their effects on nutrient utilization, growth and laying performance, and gut health: A systematic review. *Animals (Basel).* 2020;10(10).
DOI: 10.3390/ani10101863,
 71. Duangnumsawang Y, Zentek J, Goodarzi Boroojeni F. Development and functional properties of intestinal mucus layer in poultry. *Front Immunol.* 2021;12. Frontiers Media S.A:745849.
DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.745849.
 72. Martini E, Krug SM, Siegmund B, Neurath MF, Becker C. Mend your fences: The epithelial barrier and its relationship with mucosal immunity in inflammatory bowel disease. *Elsevier Inc. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2017;4(1):33-46.
DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2017.03.007
 73. Yang S, Yu M. Role of goblet cells in intestinal barrier and mucosal immunity. *J Inflamm Res Press Ltd.* 2021;14.
DOI: 10.2147/JIR.S318327
 74. Pelaseyed T, Bergström JH, Gustafsson JK, Ermund A, Birchenough GMH, Schütte A et al. The mucus and mucins of the goblet cells and enterocytes provide the first defense line of the gastrointestinal tract and interact with the immune system. *Immunol Rev. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.* 2014;260(1):8-20.
DOI: 10.1111/imr.12182
 75. Zheng D, Liwinski T, Elinav E. Interaction between microbiota and immunity in health and disease. *Cell Res. Springer Nature.* 2020;30(6):492-506.
DOI: 10.1038/s41422-020-0332-7
 76. Gyawali I, Zhou G, Xu G, Li G, Wang Y, Zeng Y et al. Supplementation of microencapsulated probiotics modulates gut health and intestinal microbiota. *Food Sci Nutr.* 2023;11(8):4547-61. DOI: 10.1002/fsn3.3414
 77. Borges N, Keller-Costa T, Sanches-Fernandes GMM, Louvado A, Gomes NCM, Costa R. Annual review of animal biosciences bacteriome structure, function, and probiotics in Fish larviculture: The good, the bad, and the gaps; 2020.
DOI: 10.1146/annurev-animal-062920.
 78. Langlois L, Akhtar N, Tam KC, Dixon B, Reid G. Fishing for the right probiotic: host-microbe interactions at the interface of effective aquaculture strategies. *FEMS Microbiol Rev.* 2021;45(6).
DOI: 10.1093/femsre/fuab030
 79. Li H, Tian X, Dong S. Growth performance, non-specific immunity, intestinal histology

- and disease resistance of *Litopenaeus vannamei* fed on a diet supplemented with live cells of *Clostridium butyricum*. *Aquaculture*. 2019;498:470-81.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.09.003.
80. Wang AR, Ran C, Ringø E, Zhou ZG. Progress in fish gastrointestinal microbiota research. *Rev Aquacult*. 2018;10(3):626-40.
DOI: 10.1111/raq.12191.
 81. Islam SMM, Rohani MF, Shahjahan M. Probiotic yeast enhances growth performance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) through morphological modifications of intestine. *Aquacult Rep*. 2021;21.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2021.100800
 82. Jahan N, Islam SMM, Rohani MF, Hossain MT, Shahjahan M. Probiotic yeast enhances growth performance of rohu (*Labeo rohita*) through upgrading hematology, and intestinal microbiota and morphology. *Aquaculture*. 2021;545.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737243.
 83. Reyes-Becerril M, Alamillo E, Angulo C. Probiotic and immunomodulatory activity of marine yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica* Strains and response against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* in fish. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins*. 2021;13(5):1292-305.
DOI: 10.1007/s12602-021-09769-5
 84. Siddik MAB, Foysal MJ, Fotedar R, Francis DS, Gupta SK. Probiotic yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* coupled with *Lactobacillus casei* modulates physiological performance and promotes gut microbiota in juvenile barramundi, *Lates calcarifer*. *Aquaculture*. 2022;546.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737346
 85. Zhaxi Y, Meng X, Wang W, Wang L, He Z, Zhang X et al. Duan-Nai-An, A yeast probiotic, improves intestinal mucosa integrity and immune function in weaned piglets. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):4556.
DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-61279-6
 86. Shang X, Yu P, Yin Y, Zhang Y, Lu Y, Mao Q et al. Effect of selenium-rich *Bacillus subtilis* against mercury-induced intestinal damage repair and oxidative stress in common carp. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2021;239:108851.
DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpc.2020.108851
 87. Yang HL, Liu ZY, Jin YM, Liu ZX, Zhang BY, Yuan ZH et al. Preventive and reparative functions of host-associated probiotics against soybean meal induced growth, immune suppression and gut injury in Japanese sea bass (*Lateolabrax japonicus*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol*. 2022;128:651-63.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2022.08.034
 88. Hossain MK, Hossain MM, Mim ZT, Khatun H, Hossain MT, Shahjahan M. Multi-species probiotics improve growth, intestinal microbiota and morphology of Indian major carp mrigal *Cirrhinus cirrhosus*. *Saudi J Biol Sci*. 2022; 29(9):103399.
DOI: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2022.103399
 89. Tabassum T, Sofi Uddin Mahamud AGM, Acharjee TK, Hassan R, Akter Snigdha T, Islam T et al. Probiotic supplementations improve growth, water quality, hematology, gut microbiota and intestinal morphology of Nile tilapia. *Aquacult Rep*. 2021;21.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2021.100972.
 90. Ngamkala S, Satchasataporn K, Setthawongsin C, Raksajit W. Histopathological study and intestinal mucous cell responses against *Aeromonas hydrophila* in Nile tilapia administered with *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG. *Vet World*. 2020;13(5):967-74.
DOI: 10.14202/vetworld.2020.967-974
 91. Nimalan N, Sørensen SL, Fečkaninová A, Koščová J, Mudroňová D, Gancarčíková S et al. Mucosal barrier status in Atlantic salmon fed marine or plant-based diets supplemented with probiotics. *Aquaculture*. 2022;547.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737516.
 92. Nimalan N, Sørensen SL, Fečkaninová A, Koščová J, Mudroňová D, Gancarčíková S et al. Supplementation of lactic acid bacteria has positive effects on the mucosal health of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) fed soybean meal. *Aquacult Rep*. 2023;28.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2022.101461.
 93. Kuebutornye FKA, Wang Z, Lu Y, Abarike ED, Sakyi ME, Li Y et al. Effects of three host-associated *Bacillus* species on mucosal immunity and gut health of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* and its resistance against *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*. 2020;97:83-95.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.12.046
 94. Zhang DX, Kang YH, Zhan S, Zhao ZL, Jin SN, Chen C et al. Effect of *Bacillus velezensis* on *Aeromonas veronii*-induced intestinal mucosal barrier function damage and inflammation in crucian carp

- (*Carassius auratus*). Front Microbiol. 2019;10:2663.
DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02663
95. Lin SM, Zhou XM, Zhou YL, Kuang WM, Chen YJ, Luo L et al. Intestinal morphology, immunity and microbiota response to dietary fibers in largemouth bass, *Micropterus salmoides*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2020;103:135-42.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2020.04.070
 96. Amoah K, Huang QC, Tan BP, Zhang S, Chi SY, Yang QH et al. Dietary supplementation of probiotic *Bacillus coagulans* ATCC 7050, improves the growth performance, intestinal morphology, microflora, immune response, and disease confrontation of Pacific white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2019;87:796-808.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.02.029
 97. Liang F, Li C, Hou T, Wen C, Kong S, Ma D et al. Effects of chitosan–gentamicin conjugate supplement on non-specific immunity, aquaculture water, intestinal histology and microbiota of pacific white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Mar Drugs. 2020;18(8).
DOI: 10.3390/MD18080419
 98. Zhang JJ, Yang HL, Yan YY, Zhang CX, Ye J, Sun Y. Effects of fish origin probiotics on growth performance, immune response and intestinal health of shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) fed diets with fish meal partially replaced by soybean meal. Aquacult Nutr. 2020;26(4):1255-65.
DOI: 10.1111/anu.13081.
 99. Yang G, Cao H, Jiang W, Hu B, Jian S, Wen C et al. Dietary supplementation of *Bacillus cereus* as probiotics in Pengze crucian carp (*Carassius auratus* var. Pengze): Effects on growth performance, fillet quality, serum biochemical parameters and intestinal histology. Aquacult Res. 2019;50(8):2207-17.
DOI: 10.1111/are.14102.
 100. Yang G, Cui X, Liu S, Lu J, Hou X, Meng W et al. Effects of dietary *Lactobacillus helveticus* on the growth rate, disease resistance and intestinal health of pond loach (*Misgurnus anguillicaudatus*). Aquaculture. 2021;544.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737038.
 101. Jiang L, Zhou X, Yu J, Bao S, Li J, Wu Q et al. Fermented tea residue improved growth performance, liver antioxidant capacity, intestinal morphology and resistance to *Aeromonas hydrophila* infection in juvenile largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*). Front Mar Sci. 2022;9. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2022.999947.
 102. Laice LM, Corrêa Filho RAC, Ventura AS, Farias KNN, Silva ALdo N, Fernandes CE et al. Use of symbiotics in biofloc (BFT)-based Nile tilapia culture: production performance, intestinal morphometry and hematological parameters. Aquaculture. 2021;530.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735715.
 103. Li W, Liu B, Liu Z, Yin Y, Xu G, Han M et al. Effect of dietary histamine on intestinal morphology, inflammatory status, and gut microbiota in yellow catfish (*Pelteobagrus fulvidraco*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2021;117:95-103.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2021.07.017
 104. Chouayekh H, Farhat-Khemakhem A, Karray F, Boubaker I, Mhiri N, Ben Abdallah MB et al. Effects of dietary supplementation with *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* US573 on intestinal morphology and gut microbiota of European sea bass. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 2023;15(1):30-43.
DOI: 10.1007/s12602-022-09974-w
 105. Dawood MAO, Magouz FI, Salem MFI, Elbially ZI, Abdel-Daim HA. Synergetic effects of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and β -glucan on digestive enzyme activity, intestinal morphology, growth, fatty acid, and glucose-related gene expression of genetically improved farmed tilapia. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins. 2020;12(2): 389-99.
DOI: 10.1007/s12602-019-09552-7,
 106. Enferadi MHN, Mohammadzadeh F, Soltani M, Bahri AH, Sheikhzadeh N. Effects of *Lactobacillus plantarum* on growth performance, proteolytic enzymes activity and intestine morphology in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Turk J Fish Aquat Sci. 2018;18(2):351-6. DOI: 10.4194/1303-2712-v18_2_14.
 107. Dabbagh A, Oraji H, Amirkolaie AK, Ansari Z. Effect of *Lactobacillus plantarum* probiotic and different levels of soybean meal on growth and intestinal histomorphometry in rainbow trout diet. Aquat Anim Nutr. 2021;7(4):61-79. doi: 10.22124/janb.2022.22593.1173.
 108. Dawood MAO, Magouz FI, Salem MFI, Abdel-Daim HA. Modulation of digestive enzyme activity, blood health, oxidative responses and growth-related gene expression in GIFT by heat

- killed *Lactobacillus plantarum* (L-137). *Aquaculture*. 2019;505:127-36. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.02.053.
109. Suryaningsih W, Maulana R, Istiqomah I, Isnansetyo A. In vitro adhesion of *Bacillus* sp. and *Enterobacter* sp. probiotics on intestinal epithelial cells of red tilapia (*Oreochromis sp.*) and the application effects on the fish growth and survival rate. *IOP Conf S Earth Environ Sci*. 2021;919(1). DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/919/1/012056.
 110. González-Félix ML, Gatlin DM, Urquidez-Bejarano P, de la Reé-Rodríguez C, Duarte-Rodríguez L, Sánchez F et al. Effects of commercial dietary prebiotic and probiotic supplements on growth, innate immune responses, and intestinal microbiota and histology of *Totoaba macdonaldi*. *Aquaculture*. 2018;491:239-51. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.03.031.
 111. Nikiforov-Nikishin A, Nikiforov-Nikishin D, Kochetkov N, Smorodinskaya S, Klimov V. The influence of probiotics of different microbiological composition on histology of the gastrointestinal tract of juvenile *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Microsc Res Tech*. 2022;85(2):538-47. DOI: 10.1002/jemt.23927
 112. Nikiforov-Nikishin D, Kochetkov N, Klimov V, Bugaev O. Effects of chelated complexes and probiotics on histological and morphometric parameters of the gastrointestinal tract of juvenile carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *N Z J Zool*. 2023;50(3):394-405. DOI: 10.1080/03014223.2022.2082495.
 113. Chen X, Xie J, Liu Z, Yin P, Chen M, Liu Y et al. Modulation of growth performance, non-specific immunity, intestinal morphology, the response to hypoxia stress and resistance to *Aeromonas hydrophila* of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) by dietary supplementation of a multi-strain probiotic. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2020;231:108724. DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpc.2020.108724
 114. Kong Y, Li M, Chu G, Liu H, Shan X, Wang G et al. The positive effects of single or conjoint administration of lactic acid bacteria on *Channa argus*: Digestive enzyme activity, antioxidant capacity, intestinal microbiota and morphology. *Aquaculture*. 2021;531. DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2020.735852.
 115. Jayaprakash S, Parvathi K. Influences of Isolated Gut probiotics *Bacillus subtilis* on intestinal histological Changes in the Freshwater fish *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton, 1822) fingerlings. *laetsd J Adv Res Appl Sci*. 2019;6(6).
 116. Melo-Bolívar JF, Ruiz Pardo RY, Quintanilla-Carvajal MX, Díaz LE, Alzate JF, Junca H et al. Evaluation of dietary single probiotic isolates and probiotic multistrain consortia in growth performance, gut histology, gut microbiota, immune regulation, and infection resistance of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*, shows superior monostrain performance. *Fish Shellfish Immunol*. 2023;140:108928. DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2023.108928
 117. Mirabdollah Elahi SS, Mirnejad R, Kazempoor R, Sotoodehnejadnematalahi F. Study of the histopathologic effects of probiotic *Lactobacillus acidophilus* in exposure to *E. coli* O157: H7 in zebrafish intestine. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2020;22(4). DOI: 10.5812/ircmj.99400.
 118. Oliveira FC, Kasai RYD, Fernandes CE, Souza da Silva W, de Campos CM. Probiotic, prebiotic and Synbiotics supplementation on growth performance and intestinal histomorphometry *Pseudoplatystoma reticulatum* larvae. *J Appl Aquacult*. 2022;34(2):279-93. DOI: 10.1080/10454438.2020.1841060.
 119. Jaramillo-Torres A, Rawling MD, Rodiles A, Mikalsen HE, Johansen LH, Tinsley J et al. Influence of dietary supplementation of probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M during the transition from freshwater to seawater on intestinal health and microbiota of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.). *Front Microbiol*. 2019;10(SEP):2243. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02243
 120. Al-Hisnawi A, Rodiles A, Rawling MD, Castex M, Waines P, Gioacchini G et al. Dietary probiotic *Pediococcus acidilactici* MA18/5M modulates the intestinal microbiota and stimulates intestinal immunity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *J World Aquacult Soc*. 2019;50(6):1133-51. DOI: 10.1111/jwas.12642.
 121. Haque MM, Hasan NA, Eltholth MM, Saha P, Mely SS, Rahman T et al. Assessing the impacts of in-feed probiotic on the growth performance and health condition of *Pangasius* (*Pangasianodon*

- hypophthalmus*) in a farm trial. Aquacult Rep. 2021;20.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2021.100699
122. Al-Yassir F, Khoder G, Sugathan S, Saseedharan P, Al Menhali A, Karam SM. Modulation of stem cell progeny by probiotics during regeneration of gastric mucosal erosions. Biology. 2021;10(7).
DOI: 10.3390/biology10070596
123. Back SJ, Park SJ, Moon JS, Lee SB, Jo SJ, Nam TJ et al. The effects of dietary heat-killed probiotics bacteria additives in low-fishmeal feed on growth performance, immune responses, and intestinal morphology in juvenile olive flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus*. Aquacult Rep. 2020;18.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2020.100415
124. Qin L, Xiang J, Xiong F, Wang G, Zou H, Li W et al. Effects of *Bacillus licheniformis* on the growth, antioxidant capacity, intestinal barrier and disease resistance of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2020;97:344-50.
DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2019.12.040
125. Wu Y, Jha R, Li A, Liu H, Zhang Z, Zhang C et al. Probiotics (*Lactobacillus plantarum* HNU082) supplementation relieves ulcerative colitis by affecting intestinal barrier functions, immunity-related gene expression, gut microbiota, and metabolic pathways in mice. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(6):e0165122.
DOI: 10.1128/spectrum.01651-22
126. Spirina E, Romanova E, Romanov V, Lyubomirova V, Shadyeva L, Shlenkina T et al. Pathology of cells and tissues of the gastrointestinal tract of African catfish in high-tech industrial aquaculture. IOP Conf S Earth Environ Sci. 2019;403(1).
DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/403/1/012220.
127. Du RY, Zhang HQ, Chen JX, Zhu J, He JY, Luo L et al. Effects of dietary *Bacillus subtilis* DSM 32315 supplementation on the growth, immunity and intestinal morphology, microbiota and inflammatory response of juvenile largemouth bass *Micropterus salmoides*. Aquacult Nutr. 2021;27(6):2119-31.
DOI: 10.1111/anu.13347.
128. Gaffar MA, Zaman MK, Islam MS, Islam M, Hossain MK, Shahriar SIM et al. Effects of probiotics on growth, survival, and intestinal and liver morphometry of Gangetic *Mystus (Mystus cavasius)*. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2023;30(7):103683.
DOI: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2023.103683
129. Assan D, Kuebutornye FKA, Hlordzi V, Chen H, Mraz J, Mustapha UF et al. Effects of probiotics on digestive enzymes of fish (finfish and shellfish); Status and prospects: A mini review. Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol (Medline). 2022;257.
DOI: 10.1016/j.cbpb.2021.110653
130. Fei H, Lin G, Dong, Zheng C, Cai, Huang M, Meng, Qian SC, Wu Z, Ju, Sun C, Shi Z, Guang, Li J, You, Han B, Nan. Effects of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Yarrowia lipolytica* lipase 2 on immunology and growth performance of Hybrid sturgeon. Fish and Shellfish Immunology. 2018; 82.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2018.08.031
131. Wang J, Zhang D, Wang Y, Liu Z, Liu L, Shi C. Probiotic effects of the *Bacillus velezensis* GY65 strain in the mandarin fish, *Siniperca chuatsi*. Aquacult Rep. 2021;21.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aqrep.2021.100902.
132. Guimarães MC, da Silva Guimarães AIC, Natori MM, Alarcon MFF, Dias Dde C, Ishikawa CM et al. Oral administration of *Bacillus subtilis* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* modulates the gut microbiota and increases the amylase activity of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquacult Int. 2021;29(1):91-104.
DOI: 10.1007/s10499-020-00612-2.
133. Ghodrati M, Hosseini Shekarabi SP, Rajabi Islami H, Shenavar Masouleh A, Shamsaie Mehrgan M. Singular or combined dietary administration of multi-strain probiotics and multi-enzyme influences growth, body composition, digestive enzyme activity, and intestinal morphology in Siberian sturgeon (*Acipenser baerii*). Aquacult Nutr. 2021;27(4):966-76.
DOI: 10.1111/anu.13238.
134. Mohammadian T, Monjezi N, Peyghan R, Mohammadian B. Effects of dietary probiotic supplements on growth, digestive enzymes activity, intestinal histomorphology and innate immunity of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*): A field study. Aquaculture. 2022;549.
DOI: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.737787.
135. Darafsh F, Soltani M, Abdolhay HA, Shamsaei Mehrejan M. Improvement of growth performance, digestive enzymes and body composition of Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) following feeding on probiotics: *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Bacillus*

- subtilis* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.
Aquacult Res. 2020;51(3):957-64.
DOI: 10.1111/are.14440.
136. Abdel-Ghany HM, Salem MES, Abouelkhier SS, Helal AM. Effect of a cocktail of enzymes and probiotics on the growth and the bacterial enumeration in gut and effluents of red tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* x *O. mossambicus*). Egypt J Aquat Res. 2020;46(3): 289-94.
DOI: 10.1016/j.ejar.2020.07.001

© 2023 Ntakirutimana et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106103>