



# Estimation of Disease Intensity against *Cercospora* Leaf Spot of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Moench through Bio-control Agents with Chemical Fungicides under Prayagraj Condition of India

Debashree Sarangi <sup>a++</sup> and Shashi Tiwari <sup>a#</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007, Uttar Pradesh, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i113235

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108017>

Original Research Article

Received: 08/08/2023

Accepted: 12/10/2023

Published: 14/10/2023

## ABSTRACT

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) also known as bhendi is one of the most common vegetable preferred in every household of India. *Cercospora* leaf spot incited by *Cercospora abelmoschi*. is one of the emerging disease in Uttar Pradesh Region. An experiment was conducted in Central Research Farm ,SHUATS , Prayagraj in Kharif season of 2022 to evaluate the efficacy of bioagents and chemicals viz.,  $T_0$  – Untreated control,  $T_1$  Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma*(4%) ,  $T_2$  - Mancozeb

<sup>++</sup> M. Sc Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Assistant Professor;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author;

(1%) + *Pseudomonas*(4%) ,  $T_3$  Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(4%),  $T_4$  - Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma* (2%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) ,  $T_5$  - Mancozeb (1%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%),  $T_6$  Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%) + *Trichoderma*(2%),  $T_7$  - Mancozeb (1%) against *Cercospora* leaf spot of okra. *C. abelmoschi* initiates with sooty black, angular spots and cause heavy defoliation Studies revealed that minimum disease intensity was observed in  $T_4$  - Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma* (2%) + *Pseudomonas* (2%) and is hereby considered as the best treatment out of all the treatments.

**Keywords:** Mancozeb; *Trichoderma*; *Pseudomonas*; *Bacillus*.

## ABBREVIATIONS

*Term; Definition for the term*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Moench is one of the most widely known species of the family Malvaceae and an economically important vegetable crop grown in tropical climate of temperature range between 25° to 35°C. The name "Okra" derives from one of Niger-Congo group of languages. "Okra" originated in Ethiopia and was then propagated in North Africa, in the Mediterranean, in Arabia and India by the 12th century BC. "Okra" is known by many local names in different parts of the world. It is called lady's finger in England, gumbo in the United States of America, guino-gombo in Spanish, guibeiro in Portuguese and bhindi in India [1].

Okra has nutritional as well as medicinal value. The okra pod is excellent source of iodine which is necessary for the resistant against throat disease like Goiter. It is good for the people suffering from heart weakness. Some studies are being developed targeting okra extract as remedy to manage diabetes. Its ripe seeds are roasted, ground and used as a substitute for coffee in some countries. Mature pods and stems containing crude fibre are used in the paper industry. Okra seeds are a potential source of oil, which consists of linoleic acid up to 47.4% and polyunsaturated fatty acid essential for human nutrition [2].

Okra contains Potassium, Sodium, Magnesium and Calcium as principal elements in pods, which contains 17% seeds. Presence of Iron, Zinc, Manganese and Nickel also has been reported (Moyin-Jesu, 2007).

Fresh pods are low in calories (20/100 g), practically no fat, rich in fiber, and with several valuable nutrients. Okra seed is mainly composed of oligomeric catechins (2.5 mg g<sup>-1</sup> of seeds), while the mesocarp is mainly composed

of hydroxycinnamic (0.2 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and quercetin derivatives (0.3 mg g<sup>-1</sup>). Pods are rich in phenolic compounds with important biological properties like quaternary derivatives, catechin oligomers and hydroxycinnamic derivatives [3].

Okra plant also contains many medicinal properties with it. But before using, it is very necessary to seek advice from a professional. The mucilage can be used as plasma replacement, helpful in washing away toxic substances from the body and have strongly demulcent action [1].

Among the fungal diseases *Cercospora* leaf spot of bhendi incited by *Cercospora* is one of the most economically important in all regions wherever bhendi is grown. In India, two species of *Cercospora* produce leaf spots on bhendi. *C. malayensis* causes brown, irregular spots and *C. abelmoschi* causes sooty black, angular spots [4-6]. Both the leaf spots cause severe defoliation and are common during humid seasons. Now a days, this disease incited by *C. abelmoschi* becomes more severe in southern transition zone of Karnataka. Initially the disease symptoms observed on the lower surface of the leaves as in distinct spots in the form of olivaceous specks. Later on, light brown to grey mouldy growth of the fungus covered the entire lower surface. The infected leaves ultimately dry and defoliate. The disease progress upward from lower leaves and infects stem and fruits and produces similar symptoms [7,8].

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the research plot of the Department of Plant Pathology and Central Research Field, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during the *Kharif* season 2022. The selected site was uniform, cultivable with typical sandy loam soil having good drainage. The treatment was conducted in RBD Design with 7 treatment and control replicated thrice. The field plot was of 2\*2m area.

**Table 1. The treatment details**

S. No	Treatments	Treatment Details
1.	T0	Control
2.	T1	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (4%)
3.	T2	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (4%)
4.	T3	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (4%)
5.	T4	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (2%) + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (2%)
6.	T5	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (2%) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (2%)
7.	T6	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (2%) + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (2%)
8.	T7	Mancozeb (1%)

### 2.1 Disease Severity Scale of *Cercospora* Leaf Spot

Disease intensity was recorded as grades in five randomly selected plants in each plot at different time that is before spraying, 15 days after the first spray and 15 days after the second spray as per the scale of Farrag which is given in Table 2.

### 2.2 Disease Intensity (%)

Percentage of Disease intensity will be recorded at 60,75 and 90 days after incidence of *Cercospora* leaf spot. Percentage of Disease intensity will be calculated in accordance with following formula. The disease will be visually assessed in all the plots at weekly interval from first appearance of disease for each treatment. For each plot the number of infected okra plants will be counted and expressed as a percentage of the total number of okra plants in that plot. The mean percentage disease incidence for each treatment will be obtained from the three replications. The data will be further statistically analyzed [9,10].

Disease intensity (%) formula was given by Wheeler (1969). It is calculated by using the following formula:

$$\text{Disease intensity (\%)} = \frac{\text{Sum of all disease ratings}}{\text{Total no. of ratings} \times \text{Maximum disease groups}} \times 100$$

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Disease Intensity

#### 3.1.1 Disease intensity at 60 DAS

The data presented in Table 3 and depicted in Fig. 3 reveals that maximum Disease intensity of okra at 60 DAS was recorded in T4 - Mancozeb

(1%) + *Trichoderma*(2%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) (14.36) followed by T5 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%) (15.70) and T2 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Pseudomonas*(4%) (18.81) followed by T<sub>1</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma*(4%) (21.03) , T<sub>6</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%) + *Trichoderma*(2%)(23.553), T<sub>3</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(4%) (23.847) as compared to T7 - Mancozeb (1%) (27.18) and T0 – untreated control- (29.18). All the treatments were significant over untreated control. Among the treatments (T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>) were statistically non significant to each other.

#### 3.1.2 Disease intensity at 75 DAS

The data presented in Table 3 and depicted in Fig. 3 reveals that maximum Disease Intensity of okra at 75 DAS was recorded in T4 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma*(2%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) (21.92) followed by T5 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%) (23.84) and T2 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Pseudomonas*(4%) (25.92) followed by T<sub>1</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma*(4%) (27.40) , T<sub>6</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%) + *Trichoderma*(2%)(28.88), T<sub>3</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(4%) (30.81) as compared to T7 - Mancozeb (1%) (33.48) and T0 – untreated control- (36.29). All the treatments were significant over untreated control.

#### 3.1.3 Disease intensity at 90 DAS

The data presented in Table 3 and depicted in Fig. 3 reveals that maximum Disease Intensity of okra at 90 DAS was recorded in T4 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma*(2%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) (26.66) followed by T5 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Pseudomonas*(2%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%) (29.33) and T2 - Mancozeb (1%) +

*Pseudomonas*(4%) (32.33) followed by T<sub>1</sub> Mancozeb (1%) (37.33) and T<sub>0</sub> – untreated Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma*(4%) (34.44) , T<sub>6</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(2%) + *Trichoderma*(2%)(34.51), T<sub>3</sub> Mancozeb (1%) + *Bacillus subtilis*(4%) (36.47) as compared to T<sub>7</sub> - Mancozeb (1%) (37.33) and T<sub>0</sub> – untreated control- (41.18). All the treatments were significant over untreated control. Among the treatments (T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>), (T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>) were statistically non significant to each other.

**Table 2. Disease rating and description**

Disease rating /grade	Description
0	No disease
1	Noticeable spotting with some defoliation (< 25%)
3	Spotting heavy with significant defoliation (< 50%)
5	Very heavy leaf spotting with severe defoliation (< 75%)
7	Numerous spots on few remaining leaves and veryheavy defoliation (< 90%)
9	Very few remaining leaves covered with spots andnearly complete defoliation (<95%)




**Fig. 1. Overview of Disease Infested Leaves**



**Fig. 2. Overview of microscopic view of *Cercospora* sp.**

**Table 3. Effect of treatments on Disease Intensity of *Cercospora* leaf spot of okra at 60,75 and 90 DAS**

Tr. No.	Treatment	Disease Intensity		
		60DAS	75 DAS	90 DAS
T0	Control	29.183 <sup>a</sup>	36.290 <sup>a</sup>	41.18 <sup>a</sup>
T1	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (4%)	21.033 <sup>d</sup>	27.403 <sup>e</sup>	34.44 <sup>c</sup>
T2	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (4%)	18.810 <sup>e</sup>	25.920 <sup>f</sup>	32.33 <sup>d</sup>
T3	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (4%)	23.553 <sup>c</sup>	30.810 <sup>c</sup>	36.47 <sup>b</sup>
T4	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (2%) + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (2%)	14.367 <sup>g</sup>	21.920 <sup>h</sup>	26.66 <sup>f</sup>
T5	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> (2%) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (2%)	15.703 <sup>f</sup>	23.847 <sup>g</sup>	29.33 <sup>e</sup>
T6	Mancozeb (1%) + <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> (2%) + <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> (2%)	23.847 <sup>c</sup>	28.887 <sup>d</sup>	34.51 <sup>c</sup>
T7	Mancozeb (1%)	27.183 <sup>b</sup>	33.480 <sup>b</sup>	37.33 <sup>b</sup>
C.D (5%)		1.009	1.044	1.867



**Fig. 3. Disease Intensity**

### 3.2 Statistical Analysis

The data obtained from the field experiment were statistically analyzed by following the standard procedures (Panse and Sukhatme, 1989). The percentage values were converted to arcsine values wherever required.

**Analysis of variance:** The analysis of variance was worked out to test the significance of F and t-tests. It was carried out according to procedure of RBD analysis for each character. The total variance and degree of freedom were partitioned into three components viz., replications, treatments and error. Analysis of variance was

done under the fixed effect model given below: Let us suppose that there are 'k' treatments applied to 'r' number of replications. These can be represented by the symbols as follows:

Analysis of variance was done under the fixed effect model given below. Let us suppose that there are 'k' treatments applied to 'r' number of replications. These can be represented by the symbols as follows.

### 4. CONCLUSION

based on the observations it can be concluded that the efficacy of combining readily available

and ecologically safe bioagents with synthetic safe mancozeb fungicide for the management of *Cercospora* leaf spot of okra.

From the critical analysis of the present findings, it can be concluded that after the application of all the treatments with three replications, T4 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma* (2%) + *Pseudomonas* (2%) is the best treatment as it showed The Disease Intensity of okra at 60,75 and 90 DAS which was significantly increased by the use of Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma* (4%) + *Pseudomonas* (4%) under Prayagraj Agro climatic conditions. Based on analysis T4 - Mancozeb (1%) + *Trichoderma* (2%) + *Pseudomonas* (2%) is recommended to control the *cercospora* leaf spot disease in Okra. The present findings were limited to one crop season *kharif* under the climatic conditions of Prayagraj, U.P., therefore substantiate the present result more trails are required for further recommendations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Dr. (Mrs.) Shashi Tiwari for her motivation and guidance.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Gemedé HF, Ratta N, Haki GD, Beyene AZWF. Nutritional quality and health benefits of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*): A review. Global Journal of Medical Research. 2014;14(5):2249-4618.
2. Singh P, Chauhan V, Tiwari BK, Chauhan SS, Simon S, Bilal S, Abidi AA. An overview on okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) and its importance as a nutritive vegetable in the world. International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences. 2014;4(2)227-233.
3. Arapitsas. Identification and quantification of polyphenolic compounds from okra seeds and skims. Food Chemistry. 2008;110:1041-1045.
4. Sarangji D, Panigrahi CK. Evaluation of the Growth Parameters with Respect to Bio-control Agents with Chemical Fungicides against *Cercospora* Leaf Spot of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Moench. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2023 Oct 9;13(11):442-50.
5. Maheshwari SK, Choudhary BR, Singh D, Sharma BD, Sharmia SK, Marlitasari E, Sulistyowati L, Kusuma RR. Evaluation of resistance in different varieties/genotypes of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria*) against *Cercospora* leaf spot under field conditions. Indian J of Agr Sci. 2015 Oct 1;85(10):21-4.
6. Rangarajan AK, Balu EJ, Boligala MS, Jagannath A, Ranganathan BN. A low-cost UAV for detection of *Cercospora* leaf spot in okra using deep convolutional neural network. Multimedia Tools and Applications. 2022 Jun;81(15):21565-89.
7. Naik GR, Jayalakshmi K. Evaluation of fungicides against leaf spot of bhendi incited by *Cercospora abelmoschi* under field conditions. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 2017;5(5):1210-1212.
8. Faisal M, Tiwari S. Comparison of bio-agents and botanicals with fungicides against tikka and anthracnose diseases of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). International Journal of Plant Protection. 2015;8(1):45-48.
9. Kumar S, Jaiswal S, Lal AA, Kumar A, Verma A. Influenced of natural products and bio-fungicide against tikka disease of groundnut caused by *Cercospora spp.* The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2017;6(3):213-216.
10. Kumar R, Meena AK, Kumar V, Singh J. Isolation of *Cercospora canescens* and management of *Cercospora* Leaf Spot (*Cercospora canescens*) of moth bean through botanicals. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International. 2022;44(5):57-63.

© 2023 Sarangji and Tiwari; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/108017>