Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Reza Rastmanesh, American Physical Society, United States

REVIEWED BY Fatima Ezzahra Housni, Instituto de Investigaciones en Comportamiento Alimentario y Nutrición (IICAN), Mexico Smith Nkhata, Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources. Malawi

*CORRESPONDENCE Haiqin Fang Izi fanghaiqin@cfsa.net.cn Huzhong Li Iihuzhong@cfsa.net.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 20 February 2024 ACCEPTED 05 April 2024 PUBLISHED 18 April 2024

CITATION

Li N, Cong L, Wang H, Chen Y, Liu Z, Li M, Yang D, Li H and Fang H (2024) Establishing a nutrition calculation model for catering food according to the influencing factors of energy and nutrient content in food processing. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1388645. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1388645

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Cong, Wang, Chen, Liu, Li, Yang, Li and Fang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Establishing a nutrition calculation model for catering food according to the influencing factors of energy and nutrient content in food processing

Nan Li^{1,2†}, Liangzi Cong^{3†}, Heng Wang^{4†}, Yamin Chen¹, Zhaowei Liu⁵, Mingliang Li⁵, Dong Yang⁶, Huzhong Li^{1*}and Haigin Fang^{1*}

¹NHC Key Laboratory of Food Safety Risk Assessment, China National Center for Food Safety Risk Assessment, Beijing, China, ²School of Biological Science and Technology, University of Jinan, Jinan, China, ³Huaiyin District Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Jinan, China, ⁴Key Laboratory of Health Risk Factors for Seafood of Zhejiang Province, Zhoushan Municipal Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Zhoushan, China, ⁵School of Computer and Control Engineering, YanTai University, Yantai, China, ⁶Department of Computer Science, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, United States

Objective: This study aimed to establish an accurate and efficient scientific calculation model for the nutritional composition of catering food to estimate energy and nutrient content of catering food.

Methods: We constructed a scientific raw material classification database based on the Chinese food composition table by calculating the representative values of each food raw material type. Using China's common cooking methods, we cooked 150 dishes including grains, meat, poultry, fish, eggs, and vegetables and established a database showing the raw and cooked ratios of various food materials by calculating the ratio of raw to cooked and the China Total Diet Research database. The effects of various cooking methods on the nutritional composition of catering food were analyzed to determine correction factors for such methods on the nutritional components. Finally, we linked the raw material classification, raw and cooked ratio, and nutritional component correction factor databases to establish a model for calculating the nutritional components of catering food. The model was verified with nine representative Chinese dishes.

Results: We have completed the construction of an accurate and efficient scientific calculation model for the nutritional composition of catering food, which improves the accuracy of nutrition composition calculation.

Conclusion: The model constructed in this study was scientific, accurate, and efficient, thereby promising in facilitating the accurate calculation and correct labeling of nutritional components in catering food.

KEYWORDS

catering food, nutritional components, calculation model, raw and cooked ratio, correction factor

1 Introduction

With the development of society and the acceleration of the pace of life, an increasing number of people chose to dine out in China (1). The nutritional quality of food supplied provided by the catering industry is of particular concerning, as these foods may account for

a significant proportion of the daily food intake, and even of the total intake of the population. However, many consumers are less aware of the energy, fat, and sodium (Na) content of the food provided by restaurants, and excessive consumption of these foods increases the risk of chronic diseases, such as coronary heart disease (2, 3).

The nutrition label of catering food can help consumers understand the nutrient type and content in various dishes and guide them to make reasonable choices according to their own needs to improve their quality of life (4, 5). In December 2020, the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China issued "Guidelines for Nutritional Labeling of Catering Food." This guideline clearly defines the basic labeling content (energy, fat, and Na) and optional labeling content (protein, carbohydrates, sugar, minerals, and vitamins) (6). Since the release of this guideline, catering enterprises have provided nutrient content labels. However, the accuracy of the calculated nutritional components is uncertain. Therefore, it is necessary to establish a scientific and accurate model for calculating and labeling the nutrient content of catering food.

Currently, data from the nutritional composition database of catering food are primarily based on the Chinese food composition tables (7, 8). It is difficult to scientifically classify and calculate some food subcategories with different names in different regions (9), and the nutrient composition of the same food can vary with the maturity of the food materials. Therefore, a scientific nutrient classification database and a raw and cooked ratio database of food materials are necessary for a nutritional composition calculation model. In addition, considering the diverse cooking methods in traditional Chinese food, it is necessary to explore the impact of different cooking methods on nutritional components, in order to determine the differences between the calculated value and the measured value of nutritional components in catering food, and to identify the correction factors for the effects of these cooking methods.

Therefore, we established three databases regarding the raw material classification database, raw-to-ripe ratio of materials, and correction factors. Using computer technology, we linked these databases to our calculation model. Furthermore, we validated the model using nine test dishes and found that the model is scientific and reasonable, indicating its potential in providing technical support for the accurate calculation and correct identification of nutritional components in catering food.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

After interviewing with 24 nutrition experts and 18 workers in the catering food industry thrice, we formulated a food sampling plan for different cooking methods (Table 1). We then included 150 samples encompassing the following five categories: grains, meat (chicken, pig, beef, and mutton), fish, eggs, and vegetables (green leafy vegetables and potatoes). The sampling principle followed the requirements of national food safety risk monitoring (10). From different supermarkets, farmers' markets, and e-commerce platforms (Carrefour supermarkets, local farmers' markets, and Jing dong online malls) in Beijing, three raw material samples were collected at 2 kg each for each ingredient type (rice, noodles, chicken breast, chicken

leg, pork belly, pork legs, brisket, beef tendon, leg of lamb, grass carp, scallops, shrimp, eggs, leafy vegetables, potato). We strictly follow the basic principles of timely sampling, rapid transportation, complete information, and safe storage to collect, transport, preserve, and cook these samples.

2.2 Weighing and cooking

After collecting the raw material samples, we processed the food according to the plan with different cooking methods. A total of 150 dishes were cooked. Before cooking, we weighed and recorded the raw materials and seasonings (oil, salt, chicken essence, soy sauce, curd, vinegar, and sugar). The ingredients accurate to 1 g, and the seasonings accurate to 0.1 g. Supplementary Figure S1 shows the weighing procedure. After weighing, we cooked the food, conforming to the traditional Chinese cooking methods, including steaming, boiling (usually with soy sauce), and stir-frying. Supplementary Figure S2 illustrates the cooking process of 150 dishes and some finished dishes. Dishes with different cooking methods were weighed after cooking.

2.3 Nutrient composition detection method and quality control

On the basis of the Guidelines for Nutritional Labeling of Catering Foods, we detected fat, protein, carbohydrate and Na in the 150 sample dishes after cooking. Before detection, the inedible parts such as bones/ shells were removed and weighed. Fat, protein, ash, and Na were determined according to the relevant national food safety standards (11–14). Carbohydrate content was calculated using the following formula: carbohydrate = total mass – water – ash – protein – fat.

The standard substance, which was used for quality control, was protein/fat in milk powder (FD080-QC) purchased from LGC Technology. Na [GBW10045 (GSB-23)] and ash (RM-18023) in milk powder were both purchased from the Center Testing International Group Co., Ltd. Based on the experimental scheme, the detection results were compared to verify the reliability of the detection method.

2.4 Establishment of a nutritional composition calculation model for catering food

In this study, we classified the raw food materials according to the nutritional composition data in the Chinese food composition tables and calculated the representative value of nutrients. Based on this classification, we established a scientific nutritional composition classification database with a wider coverage. Afterward, we selected rice, noodles, beef, pork, mutton, chicken, fish, eggs, potatoes, and green vegetables, which contributed major of nutrients intake in Chinese cooking. Using the most common cooking methods (steaming, boiling, stir-frying, and deep-frying), we cooked 150 Chinese dishes. Thereafter, the nutrients were detected in the laboratory and calculated according to a nutrition calculation formula. Subsequently, we compared the differences between the detected and calculated values of macronutrients and Na in 150 dishes by the various cooking methods. The main effects

TABLE 1 Number of catering food processing plans.

Catering food categories (classified by main ingredients)		Subclass	Number of samples	Processing method	Corresponding dishes
Grain		Rice	9	Steaming, boiling, stir-frying	Steamed rice, boiled rice, stir-fried rice
		Noodles	9	Boiling, stir-frying	Noodles in soup, lao mein, chow mein
	Chicken	Chicken breast	9	Stewing (with soy sauce), deep-frying, stir-frying	Braised chicken breast, fried chicken breast (covered with flour), fried chicken
Meat		Chicken leg	12	Stewing, deep-frying, steaming, roasting	Braised chicken thighs, steamed chicken thighs (cut into pieces and steamed), fried chicken thighs (covered with flour), baked chicken thighs
	Pork	pork belly	12	Steaming, Stewing (with soy sauce), stir-frying, roasting	Braised Pork, Button Pork, Shredded Pork with Green Pepper, Roasted Pork
		Pork legs	12	Steaming, Stewing (with soy sauce), stir-frying, deep- frying	Steamed pork with vermicelli, braised pork, roasted pork, crispy pork
	Beef and mutton	Brisket	9	Stewing (with soy sauce), stir- frying, roasting	Braised beef brisket, roast beef brisket, stir-fried beef brisket
		Beef tendon	9	Stewing (with soy sauce), stir- frying, roasting	Stir-fried beef, roast beef tendon with sauce, braised beef tendon
		Leg of lamb	9	Stewing (with soy sauce), stir- frying, roasting	(Cumin) lamb, roast lamb, braised lamb leg
		Grass carp	12	Steaming, Stewing (with soy sauce), stir-frying, roasting	Braised grass carp, fried grass carp, steamed grass carp, grilled grass carp
Fish and shrimp		Scallops	9	Steaming, Stewing (with soy sauce), deep-frying	Steamed scallops, braised scallops, fried scallop pieces
		Shrimp	9	Steaming, Stewing (with soy sauce), deep-frying	Prawns in oil, steamed prawns, boiled prawns
Egg		Eggs	9	Stewing (with soy sauce), stir- frying	Scrambled eggs with green peppers, boiled eggs, fried eggs
		Leafy vegetables	6	Quick-boiling, stir-frying	Vegetable stir-fry with stir-fried greens and boiled greens
Vegetables		Rootstock (potato)	9	Stewing (with soy sauce), stir- frying, roasting, deep-frying	Hot and sour shredded potatoes, roasted potatoes, french fries (slices), boiled potatoes
Total		150 copies			

of the cooking methods on the macronutrients and Na for each ingredient were analyzed, and the correction factors were determined according to the influence of the different cooking methods. In addition, we calculated the actual raw and cooked ratio of different ingredients in 150 dishes and established a raw and cooked ratio database based on the various cooking methods. Finally, we linked the nutrient composition classification, raw and cooked ratio, and correction factor databases to the calculation model. We verified the accuracy of the model's calculation results by comparing the calculated and the detected values of nutritional components in nine dishes.

2.4.1 Establishment of a nutritional component classification database for catering food raw materials

We first referred the nutritional composition data of food raw materials to the China Food Composition Tables to establish the general category of each food raw material; then, we divided the general category into subcategories (Figure 1). After that, we assigned representative values of nutritional components to each subcategory to ensure the reference of nutritional components for the same or similar categories.



2.4.2 Establishment of a raw and cooked ratio database

To represent the raw and cooked ratio of the food, we calculated the mean value of the raw and cooked ratio of food samples in different provinces included in the Fifth China Total Diet Study (15). First, the grains (rice, flour), poultry meat, livestock meat, fish, shrimp, eggs, and vegetables (green leafy vegetables and potatoes) that contribute greatly to energy and macronutrients (carbohydrates, protein, and fat) were selected and then cooked by steaming, boiling, stir-frying, deep-frying, and roasting. Next, we weighed the raw materials and finished products of the catering food and calculated the actual raw and cooked ratio, thereby establishing a raw and cooked ratio database based on cooking methods.

2.4.3 Establishment of a calculation model program

The calculation of nutritional components of catering food should be to multiply the content of nutritional components in the raw materials by the quality and obtain the amount of nutritional components in each portion. At the same time, it should be considered that the difference of the quality of raw materials and finished products. Therefore, the quality of cooked food should be obtained by combining the ratio of raw and cooked food, and then the value of nutritional components of catering food in the quality of 100 g cooked food should be calculated. At present, the nutritional content of raw materials has a corresponding database, that is, the Chinese food composition table, so it is possible to calculate the nutritional content of catering food through the model. The calculation model program of the present study was based on Browser/Server architecture. For the calculation of the nutritional value of the catering food, in the back-end code, the list of ingredients to be calculated is used as input, and the list contains the nutrient content information of each ingredient (content per 100g) and the quality of the ingredients. In the calculation process, first, the list of ingredients is traversed to calculate the content of each nutrient in each ingredient. After that, the calculated nutrient content of each food material is summed according to the type, and obtained the nutrient content of the catering food.

Nutrient calculation formula is:

$$Sum_{Nutrients} = \sum_{i}^{n} \left(Nutrients_{i} \times \frac{quantity_{i}}{100} \right)$$

Where "n" represents the number of ingredients, "i" represents ingredient i, "Nutrients_i" represents the nutrient content of the ingredient i, and "quantity_i" represents the quality of the ingredient i. The calculation formula of nutrient reference value is:

$$NutrientsNRV_{act} = \frac{sum_{Nutrients}}{NutrientsNRV_{rec}}$$

In the formula, *NutrientsNRV_{act}* represents the actual nutrient reference value of the catering food, and *NutrientsNRV_{rec}* represents the recommended nutrient intake.

The above calculation content pseudo-code can be expressed as:

Nutrient and reference value calculation
Input: foodlist
Output: NutrientsSum and NrvAct
1: N=foodlist.size()
2: NutrientsSum = ()
3: for $i = 0$; $i \le N$; $i \in i + 1$ do
4: Quantaity=foodlist[i]
5: NutrientsSum = NutrientsSum + (Nutrients ' quantity/100)
6: end
7: NrvAct=NutrientsSum/NrvRec
8: return NutrientsSum; NrvAct

Note:

- 1. In the specific calculation process, Nutrients_i can be replaced by any nutrient, which is an abstract expression here.
- 2. Pseudo-code is only the general calculation process of the program, which is a method to describe the calculation logic.

Based on the above nutrient calculation, the calculation model was designed using two formulas, as shown in Equation 1 and Equation 2. Finally, a calculation model program with user-friendly interface is established. Users could freely enter food information, such as food name, and description, quantity into the system. Food material information was directly linked to the food database in this system. After entering the name of the ingredient, the system would automatically search and caculate for relevant information.

Equation 1 Calculation formula for nutrient composition

$$C (nutrients, excluding sodium and fat) / 100g = Food quality of edible part (raw)(g) \times nutrient content of each food (g / 100g) \times ratio of raw-to-cooked weight (g) (1)$$

$$C(\text{fat or sodium})/100g = Food quality of edible part(raw)(g) \times nutrient content(g/100g) \times ratio of raw-to-cooked + Cooking oil or salt(g) \times 100g (2)$$

2.4.4 Calculation model program correction

It has been reported that nutrients in food are affected by cooking methods (16–19). By practical operation in the present study, we find the difference between the detection values and the calculated values of catering foods under different cooking methods, such as the fat content of roast beef is higher than that of stewed beef of the same piece of beef. After computing the ratio of the calculated and detection values, it is obvious that many ratios were ≤ 0.5 or ≥ 2 , indicating a large difference between these two values. This study proposes correction factors under different cooking methods used to calculate the nutritional components.

By identifying the ratio of the calculated and detection values of raw materials for different cooking methods, we established a correction factor database between these values and linked it to the model to correct the model. Equation 3 and 4 show the corrected model.

Equation 3 Corrected calculation model. Calculation formula for nutrient composition

 $C (nutrients, excluding sodium and fat) / 100g = Food quality of edible part (raw)(g) \times$ $nutrient content of each food (g / 100g) \times$ ratio of raw-to-cooked × correction factorweight (g) (3)

Equation 4 Corrected calculation model. Sodium and fat calculation formula.

```
C(\text{fat or sodium}) / 100g = Food quality of edible part(raw)(g) \times 
nutrient content(g / 100g) \times 
ratio of raw-to-cooked × correction factor + 
Cooking oil or salt(g) × 100g (4) 
weight(g) (4)
```

Note: The "Food quality of edible part (raw)" in Equations 1—4 refers to the weight of the edible part of the raw material before cooking food. "Nutrient content" refers to the content value of each nutrient in the raw material in the Chinese food composition table, and "weight"

is the weight of the food after cooking. In this study, the amount of fat not fully absorbed by food in food or edible oil was also included.

2.4.5 Validation of the corrected model

Based on the common catering food of Chinese residents, we selected and weighed various food raw materials (such as rice, eggs, chicken, pork, zucchini, lettuce, broccoli, etc) and seasonings, and then processed nine dishes. Subsequently, we detected the actual nutritional components (total fat, carbohydrate, protein, and Na) and energy values of these dishes. We used the model before and after correction to calculate these nutritional components and energy values. Thereafter, we compared the difference between the calculated values of the model and the detected values before and after correction.

2.4.6 Data analysis

The detection values of nutrient content in various catering foods were analyzed by IBM SPSS Statistics version 26 (IBM SPSS Inc., Chicago, United States), and the data were statistically analyzed in the form of mean \pm standard deviation.

3 Results

3.1 Raw and cooked ratio calculation results

Generally speaking, as food material matures, their quality and nutrient content will change. In this study, we calculated the raw and cooked ratio of 150 samples and established the raw and cooked ratio database. Table 2 shows the results.

3.2 Detection results of the sample dishes

Using the detection method, we identified the nutritional components of the 150 samples. Table 3 shows the results.

3.3 Nutrient composition model calculation results of the sample dishes

Using the established model, we calculated the nutritional components of the 150 sample dishes (Table 4).

3.4 Model calibration

According to the screening principle of the ratio of the calculation value to the detection value of ≤ 0.5 or ≥ 2 , we corrected the calculation models of the nutritional components. Table 5 enumerates the examples of specific corrections.

3.5 Validation results of the corrected model

Using the model before and after correction, we calculated the nutritional components and energy values of nine selected dishes and

TABLE 2 Calculation results of the raw and cooked ratio of the processed food samples.

Category	Dishes name	Cooking way	Ratio
	Steamed Rice	Steaming	0.49
Rice	Fried Rice	Stir-frying	0.48
NT 11	Noodles in Soup	Boiling	0.84
Noodles	Fried Noodles	Stir-frying	0.78
	Braised Chicken Breast	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.05
Chicken breast	Deep-fried chicken breast (covered with flour) ^a	Deep-frying	1.25
	Fried Chicken	Stir-frying	0.97
	Braised Chicken Thighs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.22
Chicken Legs	Steamed chicken thighs (cut into pieces and steamed) ^a	Steaming	0.95
	Fried Chicken Legs (Flour Coated) ^a	Deep-frying	1.08
	Grilled chicken thighs	Roasting	1.43
Pork Five-	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.28
flower	Stir-fried Shredded Pork with Green Pepper	Stir-frying	1.05
	Steamed Pork in Vermicelli	Steaming	0.65
Pork shank	Small crispy pork (breaded and fried)	Deep-frying	1.25
	Back-pot meat	Stir-frying	1.12
	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.26
	Braised Beef Brisket	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.35
Beef Brisket	Roast Beef Brisket	Roasting	1.07
	Stir Fried Beef Brisket	Stir-frying	1.16
	Stir Fried Beef	Stir-frying	1.06
Beef Tendon	Roast Beef Tendon with Sauce	Roasting	1.10
	Braised Beef Tendon	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.34
	(Cumin) Lamb	Stir-frying	1.21
Leg of lamb	Roast Lamb	Roasting	1.24
Leg of fallio	Braised Lamb Shank	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.47
	Braised Grass Carp	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.96
Grass carp	Deep Fried Grass Carp	Deep-frying	1.11
	Steamed Grass Carp	Steaming	1.01
	Grilled Grass Carp	Roasting	1.11

TABLE 2 (Continued)

Category	Dishes name	Cooking way	Ratio
	Steamed hairtail	Steaming	1.09
Hairtail	Braised hairtail	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.91
	Deep Fried hairtail Pieces	Deep-frying	1.17
	Stewed Prawns	Deep-frying	0.82
Chrimn	Steamed Prawns	Steaming	1.27
	Boiled Prawns	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.13
Egg Pakchoi cabbage	Scrambled Eggs with Green Peppers	Stir-frying	0.92
	Boiled Eggs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.01
	Fried Eggs	Stir-frying	1.14
	Stir Fried pakchoi cabbage	Stir-frying	1.05
	Quick-boiledpPakchoi cabbage	Quick-boiling	1.00
	Spicy and sour shredded potatoes	Stir-frying	1.12
Potatoes	Fried French fries (slices)	Deep-frying	1.53
Hairtail Shrimp Egg Pakchoi cabbage Potatoes	Boiled potatoes	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.05

compared their calculated and detected values. Figure 2 illustrates the results.

4 Discussion

4.1 Construction of a raw material classification database

The nutritional components of catering food raw materials have a fundamental and direct impact on the calculation of the nutritional components of dishes (9). Therefore, a professional nutritional component database is needed for the correct labeling of catering food. Currently, the nutritional composition database of catering food primarily refers to the China Food Composition Tables 2002 and 2004 (7, 8). However, considering the significant differences in China's geographical environment, the types and nutritional characteristics of raw food materials are diverse. For example, the same type of raw food materials may have different varieties or names. Considering the different environments in different provinces, the same raw materials may have different nutritional components, making it difficult to include the entire catering ingredient in the composition table. This study established a scientific classification database of catering food raw materials. The average values of each nutrient in each type of food ingredients were calculated as the representative values of each nutrient in this type of food raw materials. These representative values were then used to replace the new varieties of this food type, thereby greatly improving the accuracy of the calculation of the catering food's nutritional components.

4.2 Effect of cooking on nutritional components

Based on different food matrices, different cooking methods have different effects on various nutritional components in catering food. For carbohydrate-rich cereal and potato foods, boiling and stir-frying have a greater impact on their carbohydrate content, deep-frying had a greater impact on their fat, and stewing and stir-frying had a greater impact on protein. Relevant results have also been reported in previous studies. For example, one study found that three cooking methods, namely, steaming, boiling, and deep-frying, can lose starch and reduce sugar in sweet potato, and the degree of loss from high to low was observed in deep-frying, boiling, and steaming (20). Furthermore, when food is deep-fried or stir-fried, the protein is severely damaged (21, 22).

For fish, meat, and eggs with high fat content, deep-frying affects fat the most, steaming greatly affects protein, and stewing and stirfrying mostly affect carbohydrates. Fat reportedly becomes seriously damaged when the oil temperature is excessively high in the process of deep-frying or stir-frying (23, 24). Compared with steaming and stir-frying, boiling alone can maintain a higher content of amino acids and fatty acids, but it can also induce the production of saturated fatty acids (25). However, cooking studies on foal meat from the Galicia Mountains revealed that steaming and stewing did not affect the total essential amino acids and nonessential amino acids (26). For vegetables, stir-frying affects the nutrients the most. Therefore, for traditional Chinese food, boiling, stir-frying, and deep-frying clearly affect the nutritional components of catering food.

4.3 Establishment of a calculation model

It is very difficult to identify the nutritional information of catering food, because the nutritional components are influenced by the raw materials and processing methods, they are difficult to be accurately calculated, and the cost of detection is too high. Through the classification database, the practical problem of some raw materials do not have nutrient composition data in the Food Composition Table is solved, and all most dishes can be included in the model calculation. After the influencing factors in the processing of catering food are corrected, the data calculated by the model are closer to the true value of the dishes. In this study, we successfully constructed a scientific, accurate, and efficient calculation model for the nutritional components, indicating its potential in providing technical support for the accurate calculation and correct identification of nutritional components in catering food. Accurate nutrition information identification will help consumers make scientific choices and maintain physical health.

4.4 Limitations of the study

This model established in this study improved the accuracy of calculation by taking into account as many key influential factors to

TABLE 3 Detection value of macronutrients in catering food.

Category	Dishes name	Cooking way	Total fat g/100 g	Protein g/100 g	Sodium g/100 g	Carbohydrate g/100 g
	Rice	Boiling	1.12 ± 0.59	3.16 ± 0.15	0.0014 ± 0.0004	59.73 ± 14.33
Rice	Steamed rice	Steaming	1.22 ± 0.11	3.77 ± 0.28	0.0051 ± 0.0007	53.39 ± 2.45
	Fried rice	Stir-frying	5.97 ± 2.85	3.21 ± 0.44	0.487 ± 0.0954	47.8 ± 6.89
Naadlaa	Soup noodles	Boiling	1.53 ± 1.31	4.61 ± 0.46	0.0029 ± 0.0002	44.74 ± 1.89
Noodles	Fried noodles	Stir-frying	4.35 ± 1.38	6.25 ± 0.26	0.4837 ± 0.0205	53.69 ± 1.54
	Braised chicken breast	Stewing (with soy sauce)	5.14 ± 1.58	24.91 ± 0.21	0.273 ± 0.053	13.9 ± 2.07
Chicken breast	Deep-fried chicken breast (breaded with flour)	Deep-frying	9.4 ± 2.14	29.66 ± 3.23	0.2277 ± 0.0612	13.84 ± 2.47
	Fried Chicken	Stir-frying	7.05 ± 2.16	21.43 ± 3.76	0.1893 ± 0.025	16.4 ± 4.22
	Braised Chicken Legs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	8.87 ± 2.7	21.21 ± 2.14	0.425 ± 0.0965	14.83 ± 1.64
Chicken Legs	Steamed chicken thighs (cut into pieces and steamed)	Steaming	8.13 ± 1.6	21.47 ± 1.34	0.2617 ± 0.01	12.45 ± 0.81
	Fried chicken thighs (coated with flour)	Deep-frying	12.74 ± 0.6	22.27 ± 0.85	0.3687 ± 0.0438	14.84 ± 2.65
	Grilled Chicken Legs	Roasting	9.7 ± 1.42	24.61 ± 0.44	0.8093 ± 0.1361	13.86 ± 1.36
Pork belly	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	40.27 ± 9.79	19.03 ± 1.11	0.3167 ± 0.0898	10.36 ± 5.32
	Steamed pork practice	Steaming	24.6 ± 14.32	18.4 ± 3.63	0.251 ± 0.1191	14.47 ± 3.41
	Stir-fried Shredded Pork with Green Pepper	Stir-frying	31.24 ± 9.89	18.62 ± 3.32	0.4323 ± 0.0498	11.1 ± 1.68
	Grilled Pork	Roasting	35.98 ± 12.02	18.55 ± 4.12	0.24 ± 0.0148	11.47 ± 1.13
	Steamed pork with vermicelli	Steaming	13.42 ± 6.66	14.23 ± 2.79	0.7963 ± 0.042	27.72 ± 2.44
Pork Legs	Small crispy pork (coated with flour and fried)	Deep-frying	15.83 ± 1.68	25.92 ± 4.35	0.6317 ± 0.2183	17.56 ± 3.46
	Pasteurized pork	Stir-frying	34.1 ± 21.23	16.83 ± 4.67	0.5587 ± 0.1042	7.85 ± 8.73
	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	29.88 ± 12.04	21.77 ± 4.91	0.4813 ± 0.099	11.84 ± 0.93
	Braised Beef Brisket	Stewing (with soy sauce)	24 ± 2.49	22.78 ± 1.5	0.686 ± 0.2842	12.54 ± 2.7
Brisket	Grilled Beef Brisket	Roasting	16.11 ± 11.87	18.28 ± 4.82	0.349 ± 0.1615	19.78 ± 13.52
	Stir Fried Beef Brisket	Stir-frying	25.2 ± 11.52	17.5 ± 3.71	0.794 ± 0.1455	11.07 ± 2.85
	Stir Fried Beef	Stir-frying	11.87 ± 4.65	22.39 ± 1.86	0.9497 ± 0.2829	9.88 ± 4.4
Beef Tendon	Grilled Beef Tendon with Sauce	Roasting	6.9 ± 1.38	23.07 ± 1.45	0.4377 ± 0.0941	16.93 ± 0.28
	Braised Beef Tendon	Stewing (with soy sauce)	17.02 ± 7.6	25.4 ± 1.6	0.6823 ± 0.1627	13.63 ± 3.95
	(Cumin) Lamb	Stir-frying	24.47 ± 11.5	22.17 ± 3.71	0.6925 ± 0.1138	8.79 ± 2.09
Leg of lamb	Roast Lamb	Roasting	18.38 ± 1.42	25.27 ± 3.08	0.524 ± 0.0226	9.14 ± 1.74
reg of Idillo	Braised Lamb Shank	Stewing (with soy sauce)	19.57 ± 0.21	28.48 ± 1.91	0.577 ± 0.1117	4.63 ± 0.73

Category	Dishes name	Cooking way	Total fat g/100 g	Protein g/100 g	Sodium g/100 g	Carbohydrate g/100 g
	Braised Grass Carp	Stewing (with soy sauce)	15 ± 3.73	15.59 ± 0.79	0.7773 ± 0.0917	16.38 ± 2.24
Grass carp	Fried Grass Carp	Deep-frying	8.39 ± 4.33	21.53 ± 0.54	0.41 ± 0.0806	19.27 ± 1.73
	Steamed Grass Carp	Steaming	3.85 ± 0.94	20.33 ± 2.28	0.6653 ± 0.1049	16.56 ± 2.57
	Grilled Grass Carp	Roasting	8.5 ± 3.45	24.87 ± 1.97	0.571 ± 0.0676	11.68 ± 0.6
	Steamed Scallop	Steaming	4.1 ± 0.25	21.87 ± 1.56	0.6107 ± 0.0408	14.76 ± 2.11
Scallops	Braised Scallops	Stewing (with soy sauce)	8.55 ± 1.55	19.49 ± 1.07	0.7253 ± 0.0804	15.89 ± 2.58
	Deep Fried Scallop Pieces	Deep-frying	14.54 ± 4.87	23.74 ± 0.9	0.6553 ± 0.1562	12.69 ± 2.4
Shrimp	Prawns in oil	Deep-frying	9.24 ± 1.88	21.03 ± 1.45	0.483 ± 0.0759	23.56 ± 2.19
	Steamed shrimp	Steaming	3.02 ± 1.05	23.29 ± 3.08	0.7947 ± 0.1456	12.91 ± 1.49
	Boiled Shrimp	Stewing (with soy sauce)	2.35 ± 0.31	21.03 ± 3.43	0.178 ± 0.0823	13.89 ± 1.16
Eggs	Scrambled eggs with green peppers	Stir-frying	25.4 ± 2.78	11.12 ± 1.38	0.8295 ± 0.1519	8.77 ± 1.38
	Hard-boiled eggs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	11.24 ± 2.37	14.34 ± 1.56	0.1613 ± 0.0164	13.97 ± 1.42
	Fried Eggs	Stir-frying	24.56 ± 2.18	13.9 ± 0.58	0.1788 ± 0.0142	11.65 ± 2.51
Pakchoi cabbage	Stir Fried Pakchoi cabbage	Stir-frying	7.13 ± 2.8	1.98 ± 0.09	0.8767 ± 0.1747	17.89 ± 4
	Quick-boiled Pakchoi cabbage	Quick-boiling	3.72 ± 0.76	1.51 ± 0.31	0.0805 ± 0.0193	22.39 ± 0.63
Potatoes	Hot and Sour Shredded Potatoes	Stir-frying	10 ± 2.32	1.23 ± 0.15	0.6153 ± 0.1569	25.53 ± 1.57
	Baked Potatoes	Roasting	24.57 ± 2.7	2.65 ± 0.79	0.0076 ± 0.0055	29.26 ± 5.79
	French fries (chips)	Deep-frying	7.38 ± 0.44	2.73 ± 0.81	0.0141 ± 0.0071	33.56 ± 1.72
	boiled potatoes	Boiling	3.77 ± 1.04	2.13 ± 0.39	0.5337 ± 0.2191	28.37 ± 1.47

TABLE 3 (Continued)

reduce the errors. However, this study has some limitations. Chinese food is extremely rich in raw materials and cooking methods. This model only investigates and corrects for the most common cooking methods through the most commonly consumed meat (pig, beef, sheep, chicken, fish) and cereals (rice), which are only a small part of Chinese food. So we should further improve this model by multiple verification and relevant data supplement, analyze each influencing factor on the nutrition content of foods for catering.

5 Conclusion

Catering food nutrition labeling can help consumers identify the type and content of nutrients in dishes. A scientific, accurate, and efficient calculation model is the key to accurately calculate and label catering food nutrients. In this study, we established three complete databases of food classification, raw and cooked ratio, and correction factors according to different cooking methods. Using computer technology, we linked these databases to the calculation model. Ultimately, we were able to develop a scientific, accurate, and efficient calculation model of the nutritional components of catering food to provide support for the accurate calculation and correct identification of nutritional components.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

NL: Data curation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. LC: Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. HW: Validation, Writing – original draft. ZL: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. ML: Software, Writing – original draft. DY: Software, Writing – original draft. HL: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. HF: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. YC: Formal analysis, Writing – original draft.

TABLE 4. Coloridated values of measurements of the semandar dishes with different evolution methods		
 TABLE 4. Calculated values of macronutrients of the sample disnes with different cooking method 	d values of macronutrients of the sample dishes	with different cooking methods.

Category	Dish name	Cooking method	Model calculates nutritional value			
			Total fat g/100 g	Protein g/100 g	Sodium g/100 g	Carbohydrate g/100 g
	Rice	Boiling	0.62	3.41	0.0005	37.47
Rice	Steamed rice	Steaming	0.76	3.23	0.0046	35.48
	Fried rice	Stir-frying	10.05	6.98	0.2295	68.91
Noodles	Soup noodles	Boiling	0.06	4.39	0.0210	34.33
woodes	Fried noodles	Stir-frying	15.24	20.27	0.2261	32.26
	Braised chicken breast	Stewing (with soy sauce)	4.77	22.94	0.3808	1.01
Chicken breast	Deep-fried chicken breast (breaded with flour)	Deep-frying	46.52	24.99	0.5104	1.30
	Fried Chicken	Stir-frying	28.63	20.73	0.4245	1.08
	Braised Chicken Legs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	5.98	12.28	0.2069	0.02
	Steamed chicken thighs (cut into pieces and steamed)	Steaming	6.46	18.52	0.1040	0.03
Chicken Legs	Fried chicken thighs (coated with flour)	Deep-frying	18.83	18.59	0.4129	1.30
	Grilled Chicken Legs	Roasting	11.17	26.23	0.9632	4.58
Pork belly	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	30.76	14.40	0.3509	1.14
	Steamed pork practice	Steaming	28.88	15.12	0.2579	0.47
	Stir-fried Shredded Pork with Green Pepper	Stir-frying	27.02	12.08	0.1010	3.47
	Grilled Pork	Roasting	30.68	13.88	0.0930	2.85
	Steamed pork with vermicelli	Steaming	16.23	12.05	0.1672	4.31
Pork Legs	Small crispy pork (coated with flour and fried)	Deep-frying	45.09	28.47	0.6683	10.05
	Pasteurized pork	Stir-frying	23.20	34.17	0.3828	8.28
	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	26.24	15.01	0.1059	6.87
	Braised Beef Brisket	Stewing (with soy sauce)	23.85	11.16	0.1261	1.09
Brisket	Grilled Beef Brisket	Roasting	31.22	17.27	0.1196	2.01
	Stir Fried Beef Brisket	Stir-frying	36.19	17.64	0.2580	0.67
	Stir Fried Beef	Stir-frying	10.19	21.05	0.1859	0.39
Beef Tendon	Grilled Beef Tendon with Sauce	Roasting	17.82	60.10	0.3190	7.70
	Braised Beef Tendon	Stewing (with soy sauce)	8.10	15.74	0.4907	2.04
	(Cumin) Lamb	Stir-frying	11.11	16.91	0.2043	0.65
Leg of lamb	Roast Lamb	Roasting	10.75	16.94	0.1787	0.56
	Braised Lamb Shank	Stewing (with soy sauce)	12.46	24.37	0.1977	0.27
	Braised Grass Carp	Stewing (with soy sauce)	9.58	4.72	0.1969	2.06
Grass carp	Fried Grass Carp	Deep-frying	9.60	7.49	0.1985	6.08
Glass carp	Steamed Grass Carp	Steaming	4.35	14.33	0.6949	0.42
	Grilled Grass Carp	Roasting	34.22	45.66	1.0224	0.15
	Steamed hairtail	Steaming	8.94	38.96	1.8415	7.68
Hairtail	Braised hairtail	Stewing (with soy sauce)	8.04	5.35	0.1396	1.51
	Deep Fried hairtail Pieces	Deep-frying	8.53	9.92	0.2946	6.46
	Prawns in oil	Deep-frying	14.65	15.84	0.4560	14.03
Shrimp	Steamed shrimp	Steaming	2.71	39.10	0.8164	2.66
	Boiled Shrimp	Quick-boiling	2.21	42.11	0.7947	2.63

TABLE 4 (Continued)

Category	Dish name	Cooking method	Model calculates nutritional value			
			Total fat g/100 g	Protein g/100 g	Sodium g/100 g	Carbohydrate g/100 g
	Scrambled eggs with green peppers	Stir-frying	11.07	6.71	0.4120	5.84
Eggs	Hard-boiled eggs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	6.52	15.22	0.1891	5.43
	Fried Eggs	Stir-frying	32.66	9.70	0.0767	0.81
Pakchoi	Stir Fried Pakchoi cabbage	Stir-frying	8.76	1.10	0.8042	2.21
cabbage	Quick-boiled Pakchoi cabbage	Quick-boiling	0.00	1.23	0.1210	2.47
Potatoes	Hot and Sour Shredded Potatoes	Stir-frying	29.70	5.63	1.2167	47.70
	Baked Potatoes	Roasting	3.21	1.74	0.0043	14.78
	French fries (chips)	Deep-frying	16.50	1.45	0.0041	12.32
	Boiled potatoes	Stewing (with soy sauce)	4.20	0.76	0.0361	5.97

TABLE 5 Ratio of the calculation value to the detection value^a.

Category	Dish name	Cooking method	Ratio			
			Total fat	Protein	Sodium	Carbohydrate
Rice	Rice	Boiling	0.55	1.08	0.36*	0.63
	Steamed rice	Steaming	0.62	0.86	0.90	0.66
	Fried rice	Stir-frying	1.68	2.17*	0.47*	1.44
Noodles	Soup noodles	Boiling	0.04*	0.95	7.24*	0.77
Noodies	Fried noodles	Stir-frying	3.50*	3.24*	0.47*	0.60
Chicken breast	Braised chicken breast	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.93	0.92	1.39	0.07*
	Deep-fried chicken breast (breaded with flour)	Deep frying	4.95*	0.84	2.24*	0.09*
	Fried Chicken	Stir-frying	4.06*	0.97	2.24*	0.07*
Chicken Legs	Braised Chicken Legs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.67	0.58	0.49*	0.00*
	Steamed chicken thighs (cut into pieces and steamed)	Steaming	0.79	0.86	0.40*	0.00*
	Fried chicken thighs (coated with flour)	Deep frying	1.48	0.83	1.12	0.09*
	Grilled Chicken Legs	Roasting	1.15	1.07	1.19	0.33*
	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.76	0.76	1.11	0.11*
	Steamed pork practice	Steaming	1.17	0.82	1.03	0.03*
Pork belly	Stir-fried Shredded Pork with Green Pepper	Stir-frying	0.86	0.65	0.23*	0.31*
	Grilled Pork	Roasting	0.85	0.75	0.39*	0.25*
	Steamed pork with vermicelli	Steaming	1.21	0.85	0.21*	0.16*
Pork Legs	Small crispy pork (coated with flour and fried)	Deep frying	2.85*	1.10	1.06	0.57
	Pasteurized pork	Stir-frying	0.68	2.03*	0.69	1.06
	Braised Pork	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.88	0.69	0.22*	0.58

Category	Dish name	Cooking method			Ratio	
			Total fat	Protein	Sodium	Carbohydrate
	Braised Beef Brisket	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.99	0.49*	0.18*	0.09*
Brisket	Grilled Beef Brisket	Roasting	1.94	0.94	0.34*	0.10*
	Stir Fried Beef Brisket	Stir-frying	1.44	1.01	0.32*	0.06*
	Stir Fried Beef	Stir-frying	0.86	0.94	0.20*	0.04*
Beef Tendon	Grilled Beef Tendon with Sauce	Roasting	2.58*	2.61*	0.73	0.45*
	Braised Beef Tendon	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.48*	0.62	0.72	0.15*
	(Cumin) Lamb	Stir-frying	0.45*	0.76	0.30*	0.07*
Leg of lamb	Roast Lamb	Roasting	0.58	0.67	0.34*	0.06*
	Braised Lamb Shank	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.64	0.86	0.34*	0.06*
	Braised Grass Carp	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.64	0.30*	0.25*	0.13*
Grass carp	Fried Grass Carp	Deep frying	1.14	0.35*	0.48*	0.32*
	Steamed Grass Carp	Steaming	1.13	0.70	1.04	0.03*
	Grilled Grass Carp	Roasting	4.03*	1.84	1.79	0.01*
	Steamed hairtail	Steaming	2.18*	1.78	3.02*	0.52
Hairtail	Braised hairtail	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.94	0.27*	0.19*	0.10*
	Deep Fried hairtail Pieces	Deep frying	0.59	0.42*	0.45*	0.51
	Prawns in oil	deep frying	1.59	0.75	0.94	0.60
Shrimp	Steamed shrimp	Steaming	0.90	1.68	1.03	0.21*
	Boiled Shrimp	Quick-boiling	0.94	2.00*	4.46*	0.19*
	Scrambled eggs with green peppers	Stir-frying	0.44*	0.60	0.50*	0.67
Eggs	Hard-boiled eggs	Stewing (with soy sauce)	0.58	1.06	1.17	0.39*
	Fried Eggs	Stir-frying	1.33	0.70	0.43*	0.07*
Pakchoi cabbage	Stir Fried Pakchoi cabbage	Stir-frying	1.23	0.56	0.92	0.12*
	Quick-boiled Pakchoi cabbage	Quick-boiling	0.00*	0.81	1.50	0.11*
Potatoes	Hot and Sour Shredded Potatoes	Stir-frying	2.97*	4.58*	1.98	1.87
	Baked Potatoes	Roasting	0.13*	0.66	0.57	0.51
	French fries (chips)	Deep frying	2.24*	0.53	0.29*	0.37*
	Boiled potatoes	Stewing (with soy sauce)	1.11	0.36*	0.07*	0.21*

TABLE 5 (Continued)

^aRatio = Model values of nutritional components / Detection values of nutritional components. ^{*}The ratio between the caculation value and the detection value is ≤ 0.5 or \geq .

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by World Health Organization Representative Office in China (20220303NUT) and China National Center for Food Safety Risk Assessment high-level talent team construction 523 project.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the editor and reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions to improve the quality of this paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



Author disclaimer

The views expressed in this report are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the policies or views of the World Health Organization.

References

1. Du WW, Li Y, Zhang JG, Zhang B, Zhang PH, Wang HJ. Action on salt in China: an overview of restaurant intervention study. *Acta Nutrimenta Sin.* (2022) 44:112–20. doi: 10.13325/j.cnki.acta.nutr.sin.2022.02.004

2. World Health Organization. *Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases:* Report of a joint WHO/FAO expert consultation. Geneva: WHO (2003).

3. Burton S, Howlett E, Tangari AH. Food for thought: how will the nutrition Labeling of quick service restaurant menu items influence consumers' product evaluations, purchase intentions, and choices? *J Retail.* (2009) 85:258–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jretai.2009.04.007

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2024.1388645/ full#supplementary-material

4. World Health Organization. Salt reduction and iodine fortification strategies in public health. Australia: WHO (2013).

5. World Health Organization. *Global action plan for the prevention and control of NCDs 2013–2020.* Geneva: WHO (2013).

6. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Notice on the issuance of guidelines on nutrition Labeling of catering food and other 3 guidelines Available at: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/sps/s7885u/202012/95a58c9edaa645e1adab956e27 8c2794.shtml

7. Yang YX, Wang GY, Pan XC. Chinese food composition 2002. Beijing: Beijing Medical University Press (2002).

8. National Institute for Nutrition and Health Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention In: *Chinese food composition 2004*. Beijing: Beijing Medical University Press (2005)

9. Li L, Zhou SS. Investigation on the issues of implementing nutrition labeling for Chinese dishes. *Chin J Food Hygiene*. (2011) 5:455–8. doi: 10.13590/j.cjfh.2011.05.013

10. China National Center for Food Safety Risk Assessment. National Food Contaminants and hazardous factors risk monitoring and work manual for 2020. (2019). Available at: https://www.doc 88.com/p-80329237912352.html

11. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. National standards for food safety determination of fat in food (GB 5009.6-2016). (n.d.). Available at: http://down.foodmate.net/standard/sort/3/50382.html

12. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. National standards for food safety determination of protein in food (GB 5009.5-2016). (n.d.). Available at: http://down.foodmate.net/standard/sort/3/50381.html

13. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. National standards for food safety determination of ash in food (GB 5009.4-2016). (n.d.). Available at: http://down.foodmate.net/standard/sort/3/49326.html

14. National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. National standards for food safety determination of multielement in food (GB 5009.268-2016). (n.d.). Available at: http://down.foodmate.net/standard/sort/3/50423.html

15. Wu YN, Zhao YF, Li JG. *The fifth China Total diet study*. Beijing: China Science Publishing & Media Ltd. (Cspm) (2018).

16. Liu LL, Gao CX, Jiang TH, et al. Effects of cooking methods and conditions on nutritional content of potato tubers. *J Chin Cereals Oils Assoc.* (2023) 38:61–70. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1003-0174.2023.07.011

17. Huang QH, Xiong J, Wu L. Influence of different cooking methods on the nutrients in food. *Food Eng.* (2013) 126:62–4. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-6044.2013.01.021

18. Jiang YT. Discussion on the influence of cooking processing on different nutrients and countermeasures. *Nutr Health.* (2023) 29:108–10. doi: 10.16736/j.cnki.cn41-1434/ts.2023.15.027

19. Liu Q, Tarn R, Lynch D, Skjodt NM. Physicochemical properties of dry matter and starch from potatoes grown in Canada. *Food Chem*. (2007) 105:897–907. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2007.04.034

20. Chen WH, Huang LL. Effects on sweet potato nutrient of different cooking methods. *Food Sci Technol.* (2013) 38:88–91. doi: 10.13684/j.cnki.spkj.2013.01.045

21. Zhou GX. Influence of different cooking methods on food nutrients. *China Food*. (2021) 8:89–91.

22. Gál R, Kameník J, Salek RN, Polášek Z, Macharáčková B, Valenta T, et al. Research note: impact of applied thermal treatment on textural, and sensory properties and cooking loss of selected chicken and Turkey cuts as affected by cooking technique. *Poult Sci.* (2022) 101:101923. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.101923

23. Zhou Z. Explore the influence of different cooking methods on food nutrients. *China Food Safety Magazine*. (2020) 39. doi: 10.16043/j.cnki.cfs.2020.30.031

24. Purchas RW, Wilkinson BH, Carruthers F, Jackson F. A comparison of the nutrient content of uncooked and cooked lean from New Zealand beef and lamb. *J Food Compos Anal.* (2014) 35:75–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jfca.2014.04.008

25. Li Quanquan L, Jie CY, et al. Effect of different cooking methods on nutritional intake and different storage treatments on nutritional losses of abalone. *Food Chem.* (2022) 377:132047. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.132047

26. Domínguez R, Borrajo P, Lorenzo JM. The effect of cooking methods on nutritional value of foal meat. *J Food Compos Anal.* (2015) 43:61–7. doi: 10.1016/j. jfca.2015.04.007

Li et al.